Case	1:04 er 00029 RDB	Document 695	Filed 06/16/09	Page 1 of 261	
					1
		THE UNITED STAT	CT OF MARYLANI		
		NORTHERN D	IVISION		
UN	NITED STATES OF	AMERICA			
	V •		CRIMINA AMD-0	L CASE NO. 4-029	
	ILLIE MITCHELL,				
SF	HELTON HARRIS, HELLY WAYNE MART HAWN GARDNER,	ΓΙΝ,			
	Defendant	- 0			
		/			
	VOLU	JME XXV OF XXXV	II		
		sday, November			
	Balti	more, Maryland			
Befo	ore: Honorable		, Judge		
	Anc	l a Jury			
Appe	earances:				
		of the Governme Eding, Esquire	nt:		
		nlon, Esquire	+ ~l- ~ l l .		
		of Defendant Mi sey Rhodes, Esq			
		Lawlor, Esqui of Defendant Ha			
		Martin, Esquir			
		nery, Esquire of Defendant Ma	rtin•		
		Crowe, Esquire			
		yne, Esquire of Defendant Ga	rdner•		
	Adam H. Ku	rland, Esquire Irn, Esquire			
-					
Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR					
101	n 5515, U.S. Cou West Lombard St Limore, Maryland	reet			
Ī					

1 (Proceedings at 9:38 a.m. Jury not present. Defendants 2 present.) 3 THE COURT: Well, counsel, this has turned into quite a First of all, Mr. Martin, how is Mr. Harris? 4 journey. 5 MR. MARTIN: He appears to be fine, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Good. Mr. Coburn, you have our 7 best wishes. 8 MR. COBURN: Very kind of Your Honor. Very kind. 9 really appreciate it very, very much. 10 THE COURT: Certainly. Belinda just handed me a note 11 and apparently one of the jurors has a family member at Shock 12 Trauma as a result of an incident last night. So I anticipate 13 that she's going to want to be excused, but I'll wait and see. 14 Here's my present thinking, counsel. We'll have all day today. By the way, if you haven't heard, Mr. Johnson is 15 16 still in Baltimore. And I've issued an order extending the writ. 17 So the marshals will be able to get him over here this afternoon. 18 I believe we now have cleared for all counsel Monday to be in 19 session. And I intend to get feedback from the jury. 2.0 It may be that one or more of them have plans for Monday but I'm going to prevail upon them to alter their plans if 2.1 22 they've made any so that they can be here.

I've asked the marshals to make inquiry as to the feasibility of an evening session next week. I'm contemplating perhaps Monday, if an evening session should prove necessary and

23

24

desirable. Either Monday or perhaps Wednesday of next week, to complete the evidence.

Now, for Tuesday, Mr. Coburn, I absolutely, absolutely, unequivocally, fully understand your commitment and would have absolutely, would not want you to do anything other than to attend to that matter. But I remain somewhat hopeful that Mr. Kurland could carry the ball on Tuesday. Whether that's so or not, we can discuss. But that is my hope.

And it could be that we could not bring in the jury on Tuesday and still make good progress. I don't think the charge conference is going to take excessive amounts of time. I don't know how long it might take but I'd be surprised if it takes more than two hours. I would be mildly surprised if it takes more than an hour.

So that's kind of where we are. How many defense witnesses, other than Mr. Johnson, do we have available today?

Mr. Flannery?

MR. FLANNERY: We have at least two, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Two, including the expert?

MR. FLANNERY: That's including the expert.

THE COURT: So that's a couple hours on your side?

Direct and cross?

2.0

2.1

MR. FLANNERY: Some documents as well.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Crowe?

MR. CROWE: Your Honor, we have one, possibly two, that

1 we're still waiting to hear on the second. 2 THE COURT: Basically the same situation? 3 MR. CROWE: Same situation, yes. But with different 4 people. Character switch. Same number. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Lawlor, Ms. Rhodes? 6 MS. RHODES: Your Honor, we are expecting one and some 7 other documents we need to put in. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. RHODES: But we have several, we've kept most of 10 them for next week. 11 THE COURT: For Monday? 12 MS. RHODES: We have them on hold. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So you feel you can get them here on 14 Monday? 15 MS. RHODES: Yeah. I most of them. I think there's 16 one who can't, one or two who can't. But then they could come 17 Tuesday. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Coburn? 19 MR. COBURN: Your Honor, aside from Mr. Johnson, we 2.0 have been thinking very carefully about the colloquy that we had 2.1 last week about the two juvenile eyewitnesses in the Spence 22 matter. And I think, they're both, one of them is here right 23 The other one, I believe, either has just arrived or is

expected very shortly. At some point during a break or over the

lunch hour, I think there will be time to speak with her, also.

24

1 I think as of right now we are disinclined to call either of 2 them. 3 There is another lay witness who, aside from Mr. Johnson, who I expect to be here very shortly, who I think we may 4 5 call and I expect her to be very brief, extremely brief. 6 Then there's this one issue, there's been some 7 correspondence about between me and the government about a 8 potential rebuttal firearms expert, which that won't be today. 9 It would be early next week if it worked out. 10 THE COURT: All right. If, Mr. Coburn, we're able to 11 get all of Mr. Gardner's witnesses on today and Monday, and if on 12 Tuesday all that we have left are Ms. Rhodes's witnesses and a 13 charge conference, would you have a level of comfort with that 14 situation, being away on Tuesday? MR. COBURN: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kurland? 17 MR. KURLAND: If that's --18 THE COURT: I know you would rather have Mr. Coburn 19 here. MR. KURLAND: If it's Ms. Rhodes witnesses and the 2.0 2.1 charge conference, I am confident that I could handle that. 22 THE COURT: All right. Okay. 23 MR. COBURN: Thank you so much, Your Honor. 24

THE COURT: And by the way, Mr. Coburn, I know you're

going to be very busy on Tuesday. But you would be available by

```
1
      phone for consultation, obviously?
 2
                 MR. COBURN: Absolutely.
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay.
                 MR. COBURN: The one thing I probably should mention
 4
 5
       about the evening session on Monday, I don't know --
 6
                 THE COURT: Well, sounds like now it won't be
 7
       necessary, frankly.
 8
                 MR. COBURN: That would be great because getting to
 9
       Shreveport is --
10
                 THE COURT: Obviously, you couldn't be here for an
11
       evening session on Monday. I understand. Let me hear from Mr.
12
      Lawlor.
13
                 MR. LAWLOR: Judge, I just, I hope we're not waiting on
14
      the jury. I do still have one thing scheduled on Monday. I do
15
       not anticipate a problem whatsoever. But if it is, I would ask
16
       the Court just to make a quick phone call.
17
                 THE COURT: Absolutely. Who's the judge?
                 MR. LAWLOR: Well, it would be PG County. And I think
18
19
       they go to the criminal administrative judge.
2.0
                 THE COURT: I'll call the judge.
2.1
                 MR. LAWLOR: I'm just bringing it to your attention.
22
       candidly don't anticipate a problem. And I like your haircut.
23
                            Thank you. You know --
                 THE COURT:
24
                 MR. LAWLOR: I need one, too.
25
                 THE COURT: I need somebody to notice it. Thank you.
```

1 MR. MARTIN: It's very noticeable. 2 THE COURT: I was surprised my barber let me in the 3 shop. MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, the only other matter with 4 5 respect to Tuesday would be, I take it that would be the time the 6 government would put on its rebuttable case as well? 7 THE COURT: If any. MR. KURLAND: But again, like I say, you know, I'm 8 9 obviously comfortable doing that as well. Since there's 10 obviously a higher level of uncertainty with respect to that, I'm 11 a little bit, you know --12 THE COURT: If it came to something important, I would 13 put that over until Wednesday, when Mr. Coburn was here. 14 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: I can't imagine there's going to be much in 15 16 the way of a rebuttal case. 17 MR. KURLAND: Any predictions here we obviously need to 18 be careful about. 19 THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. 2.0 MR. HANLON: Good morning, Your Honor. Since Mr. 2.1 Coburn brought up the possibility of a defense firearms expert, I 22 want to make sure the Court's aware, the government is going to

23

24

25

be heard would be fine.

THE COURT: We may have some time today and I'd like to

object on the basis of notice. Whenever the Court wishes us to

```
1
      take that up --
 2
                 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: -- before the end of the day. All right.
 4
       Would you ask Number Three to come out, please, by herself?
       Apparently, it's Juror Number Three who's, I think, niece is in
 5
       Shock Trauma.
 6
                 (Juror Number Three enters the courtroom.)
 7
 8
                 THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am.
 9
                 JUROR NUMBER 3: Excuse my appearance.
10
                 THE COURT: Can you take that microphone in your hand,
11
      please, just so we can all hear you?
12
                 I understand your family has had a very unfortunate
      occurrence.
13
                 JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
14
15
                 THE COURT: Is that right? And do I understand it's
16
       your niece?
17
                 JUROR NUMBER 3: My stepdaughter.
18
                 THE COURT: Your stepdaughter. I'm sorry. Your
19
       stepdaughter is at Shock Trauma.
2.0
                 JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
2.1
                 THE COURT: And you need to be with her and with your
22
       family.
23
                 JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
24
                 THE COURT: Okay. We wish you well. Our prayers are
25
       with you and your stepdaughter. And we're hopeful that, that the
```

doctors there can work another miracle because they are the best in the world. We wish you the best.

JUROR NUMBER 3: Thank you.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You are excused from service.

JUROR NUMBER 3: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Your notes will be destroyed or you can take your pad with you. Thank you very much. God bless.

(Juror exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I assume counsel were satisfied with that. It's quite apparent that the juror had been up all night. And you could see the emotion in her face and in her body language.

MR. COBURN: We agree, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What she handed out was a form from Shock Trauma.

So this is the way it sounds right now. Government's going to rest in about less than an hour. We'll go to a recess for brief argument on motions. We'll bring the jury back and begin the defense case. I assume Mr. Johnson will be brought over this afternoon. It would appear to be prudent to have Mr. Gardner go first with witnesses so that we are sure to get all of Mr. Gardner's witnesses in while Mr. Coburn is here. But I leave it to counsel to agree among yourselves the order in which they're called.

It sounds like we have sufficient witnesses available

through the luncheon recess and we can take a luncheon recess at any time 12:00 or thereafter. And then I'll speak to the marshals and insure that Mr. Johnson is brought over this afternoon. So he'll be available. And we'll just go until we run out of witnesses today.

And then we'll be in session all day on Monday. And frankly, there's a good possibility, I think, that we could finish the evidence on Monday, with the exception of Ms. Rhodes's two Tuesday witnesses, which would make it possible for -- and the two Tuesday witnesses, Ms. Rhodes, you think, couple of hours at the most?

MS. RHODES: Yes.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: So sounds like we could actually conclude the evidence by midday on Tuesday. Mr. Coburn can be excused for Tuesday. We can have a charge conference. Then we can break even early on Tuesday so that you'll have the rest of the day and the evening to work on your closing arguments and we'll start closing argument on Wednesday morning.

I'm hoping that we can either finish closing argument by sitting a little bit late on Wednesday, just a little bit.

And if necessary, then we'll come back with the government's rebuttal on Thursday morning, and then instructions. And perhaps the jury can get the case right after lunch on Thursday or mid-afternoon on Thursday.

So that would be, I think, a very beneficial approach.

2.0

2.1

And I think that creates a strong possibility that we could have a verdict by the end of the day on Tuesday before Thanksgiving.

Yes, Ms. Rhodes.

MS. RHODES: Your Honor, will you be asking the jury today about their availability for Monday?

THE COURT: Yeah. What I'm going to do, since we're going to take a break very shortly after they come out, I'm going to tell them in advance that I'm going to have some instructions for them. Then when we take our break for argument on motion, I'm going to ask them to discuss among themselves their availability on Monday and Tuesday, and to make any phone calls they need to make in order to clear Monday and Tuesday.

MS. RHODES: So I'll wait before telling anybody. Thank you.

MR. KURLAND: Judge, one quick thing. Earlier the Court allocated time, four hours for the government, one hour each for the defendants. Two questions. The first, does the government's four hours, does that include the rebuttal time?

THE COURT: Yes. Yes.

MR. KURLAND: Because to the extent that it's possible, since I'm going to go last, since it's the order of the defense as they're listed in the indictment, my preference would be to be able to get in my argument on Wednesday as well, even if it requires a little bit of a more lengthy time --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KURLAND: -- on Wednesday. I know that that's cutting it --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: It might be, Mr. Kurland, actually, you might prefer, assuming the government breaks its time up three and one, I would rather suspect the government's not going to take three hours. I'd be surprised if the government takes three hours in its opening close.

So I suspect that at the end of the day on Wednesday, if that's the time line we're able to keep, you will have the option, because it may be that the defense may not want to have the government get up on Thursday morning and speak for an hour and then not hear from the defense. So I think, what I'm saying is you probably will have the option of holding a half an hour or 15 minutes at the end of the day on Wednesday and then you can come back on Thursday to finish your closing argument. Then the government would get its hour. And then I would instruct.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, if it comes to that -
THE COURT: But I'm confident that we'll at least get
to you on Wednesday.

MR. KURLAND: If that's the case, I know we're getting a little bit ahead of ourselves. If I have the option of doing a little bit and then finishing up, as opposed to carrying the whole thing over and doing it connected, I would like to have that option.

THE COURT: Sure. No. I will guarantee you at least

```
1
      30 minutes on Wednesday.
 2
                 MR. KURLAND: But I also would like the opportunity, if
 3
       I want to just do it whole Thursday morning, not to break it up.
                 THE COURT: Okay. I won't promise you that. But we'll
 4
 5
       see how it goes. But it sounds like it's quite possible that's
 6
       where we're going to end up.
 7
                 MR. KURLAND: Thanks, Judge.
 8
                 THE COURT: Sure. Mr. Martin.
 9
                 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I also remember, I deferred my
       opening.
10
11
                 THE COURT: That's absolutely right.
12
                 MR. MARTIN: Very short. It won't interfere with
13
       anybody's schedule. Trust me.
14
                 THE COURT: Mr. Martin, I'm absolutely certain you're
15
       the only person here who remembered that. And of course you
16
       would. And Mr. Flannery, I'm sure.
17
                 All right. So that's how we'll start this afternoon.
18
                 MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
19
                 THE COURT: Not this afternoon, but after the recess.
2.0
                 Okay. Anything else? All right. We'll have the jury,
       please. Who's pad is that on the ledge? Is that Number Three?
2.1
      Number One.
22
23
                 I thought she was a great juror. I mean they all are.
24
      On a scale of 1 to 10, she was an 11.
```

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning. Well, we continue to encounter delays arising from the fact that we're all human beings and stuff happens and we do the best we can.

It was necessary to cancel yesterday's session, ladies and gentlemen, because one of counsel had a family emergency out of town. And I just insisted that counsel attend to his family matters. And so appreciate your understanding and your patience. And I'm pleased that Belinda's hard work managed to get word to each of you, I believe, in time, so that you didn't come in yesterday.

In addition, as I suppose, most, if not all, of you know, former Juror Number Three has similarly had a major family trauma. And her stepdaughter was hospitalized last night.

Obviously, we have excused her to attend to her family matters.

So I'll ask our Alternate Number One, if you would, please, now to step forward and bring your materials with you and take the seat of Juror Number Three.

We, of course, have her in our prayers and her, and her family in our prayers. We will be looking after her to see if there's anything we can do to help her through this difficult period.

So we're ready to continue. You will recall that

Detective Benson was nearing the end of his redirect examination

by Mr. Harding when we broke on Monday. So I anticipate that

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF BENSON

- we'll conclude the government's case very shortly, after which we
 will take a recess while I confer with counsel. And I'm going to
 have some instructions and requests of you regarding scheduling
 for you to attend to during the recess. So we'll proceed now and
 take a recess very shortly.
- Of course, Detective Benson, you remain under oath -THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: -- in these proceedings. Mr. Harding, you may proceed whenever you're ready.
- 10 TFO KEITH BENSON, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
 11 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. HARDING:

8

- Q Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Just a few more questions,

 Detective. First of all, Mr. Lawlor mentioned the voice

 identifications on the voice mail that were done by Natasha Wyche

 and also Rodney Hayes.
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- Q And he mentioned that Natasha Wyche identified Mr. Martin as well as Mr. Mitchell. Do you recall that?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Did Natasha Wyche know Shelton Harris?
- 22 A No.
- Q And Rodney Hayes identified Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris, is that correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q And I believe you already mentioned that Mr. Hayes did not
- 2 know Mr. Martin very well or knew who he was or --
- 3 A That's correct. He said he knew of him.
- 4 Q Knew of him and knew things about him but didn't know him
- 5 personally. Was that it?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q And he knew his, he knew who Weaze was, is that correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Okay. Mr. Pyne asked you about whether Natasha Wyche was
- 10 using a family phone when she would call Darryl Wyche. Do you
- 11 remember, Detective, did she try to reach Darryl on a number of
- phones that night when he, the night he was killed?
- 13 A Yes. She indicated she spoke to him on at least two of the
- cell phones, if I remember correctly.
- 15 Q And did those include cell phones that Darryl Wyche was
- using for drug business that very night with Mr. Mitchell?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Including the 6204?
- 19 A The9203. 6204 was the Mitchell phone.
- 20 Q I'm sorry. Yes.
- 21 A The 9203 number, yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q And also, did she call the 8844 number that Darryl Wyche
- also had in the car with him that night?
- 24 A Yeah, I believe she did speak to him on that number as well.
- 25 Q Now, Mr. Pyne, you remember, questioned you at some length

- 1 about the fact that different phone companies have different
- 2 | timing devices and, therefore, when you try to match an outgoing
- 3 call to an incoming call they're off by 20 or 30 seconds or so
- 4 very often?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And you explained that at some length. But Mr. Pyne
- 7 concentrated on one particular call where it was a minute off.
- 8 Do you remember that?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q And that was, was that this call right here that I'm
- pointing to right now, where Mr. Mitchell, on the night of,
- actually, the early morning of March 25th, called Darryl Wyche?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Is that the one that Mr. Pyne concentrated on with you the
- 15 other day?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And even though Mr. Mitchell admitted he was using this
- phone to call Darryl Wyche repeatedly that night?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q And you explained, first of all, you look at the tolls for
- 21 the outgoing phone, which in this case Mr. Mitchell's 6204 call?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q 8:40 means?
- 24 A That's 12, it's actually 08 and 40 seconds. So that's 12:08
- and 40 seconds a.m.

- 1 Q Right?
- 2 A On the 25th.
- 3 Q So that's this call right here?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And of course, this call lists on the outgoing, on the
- 6 outgoing tolls the number that was called, right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q No doubt on the outgoing tolls which number was called,
- 9 right?
- 10 A Correct. And it shows the actual duration of 95 seconds,
- 11 which is 1 minute 35 seconds.
- Q Okay. Now, so we know that he called this number and that
- the call lasted 95 seconds. But what you explained was that you
- then have to go to the incoming tolls for the 9203, is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And that appears right here, an incoming call. And the
- difference is that instead of being 12:08 and 40 seconds, it's
- 19 12:07 and 40 seconds, is that correct?
- 20 A That's correct. And the duration of the charge is two
- 21 minutes, which is, as we talked about earlier, the rounding up of
- 22 the call. Anything between one minute and one second and two
- minutes even is going to be billed as a two minute call.
- Q Okay. Now, first of all, this is Darryl Wyche's phone?
- 25 A The 9203.

- 1 Q 9203 phone?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q There are no other calls before or after that except for the
- 4 ones that are listed on your chart, the one that occurred at
- 5 | 11:49 p.m. and the one that occurred at 12:38 a.m.?
- 6 A That's correct. There's essentially 40 or, I'm sorry, 27
- 7 | minutes to the previous call and 31 minutes to the next call.
- 8 Q And we know this call went through, right?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q How do we know that?
- 11 A It was connected as an incoming call and charged at the two
- 12 minute rate.
- Q Whereas if it were a voice mail it wouldn't appear on the
- 14 incoming tolls at all?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q So you know this was a completed call?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Is it unusual, in your toll analysis history, Detective
- Benson, for an outgoing phone company's tolls to be a minute
- 20 different from an incoming phone company's tolls?
- 21 A No. I actually went back over a lot of the tolls yesterday.
- 22 Essentially, what you have is like a bell curve. It can be as
- 23 much as a minute. The most common duration discrepancy is going
- to be 15 to 30 seconds. It's very rare that you're going to have
- 25 one that's almost identical, like the same incoming and outgoing.

- 1 You will have it within two or three seconds, which is rare.
- 2 Usually, there is a 20 to 30 second. You're also going to have
- 3 those that fall just outside that bell curve in the minute to
- 4 minute 15 second range.
- 5 It actually varies even within a Cingular phone. Like
- I took Mr. McCaffity's phone. I had two sets of tolls. I have
- 7 the cell toll records and I have the actual billing records. And
- 8 even those for the same telephone vary by 30 seconds, 40 seconds,
- 9 15, 10, varying amounts.
- 10 Q You mean the same phone company's --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | O -- times --
- 13 A Yes.
- Q -- will be little off depending on the kind of tolls they're
- producing, whether they're --
- 16 A Correct.
- MR. LAWLOR: Your Honor, object to the leading.
- 18 THE COURT: Don't lead the witness.
- 19 A Correct. Correct. If Mr. McCaffity made an outgoing call
- 20 at exactly 12 noon, it may show up on his cell toll records as
- 21 12, 12:00 and 40 seconds, whereas his billing record might show
- it at 12:00 even or even 11:59 and some seconds. There's
- variation even within the same phone, not between two different
- 24 phones.
- 25 Q I see. Cell records being the cell tower records?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q And then there's also something called billing records?
- 3 A There's actually, there's cell tower record, there's toll
- 4 records and there's billing records. Some of what you're looking
- at, like, for example, this, these are billing records. It will
- 6 show you the time -- it's not an actual copy of the bill. These
- 7 | are toll records. It shows the duration of the call, the
- 8 duration that they were charged, the time that the call was made,
- 9 the number that it was placed to.
- Then you'll see some of the other records. You'll
- 11 notice that they will be the name, the account number, and a date
- range at the top. Those are actually billing records. They're
- copies of the bill that the person received and would pay.
- 14 And then the cell tower records look completely
- 15 different. They have, they're basically like computer printouts
- 16 that show --
- 17 Q Actually, we've seen some of those.
- 18 A Yes. Yes. They're more technical data lines.
- 19 Q Are you completely satisfied, Detective, that this incoming
- 20 call on Darryl Wyche's phone, the 9203 phone at 12:07 and 40
- seconds on the morning of the 25th is the, is the call that's
- 22 | listed on the outgoing tolls of Mr. Mitchell's phone?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q At 12:08 and 40 seconds?
- 25 A Yes, I am.

- 1 Q And so is the information in this column on your chart
- 2 correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Also, there was some discussion, I believe when Mr. Coburn
- 5 was cross examining you, about the fact that the phone of Mr.
- 6 Gardner, that one that ends in 1241 --
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q This phone right here, you testified that that stopped being
- 9 used on March 26th?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Shortly after the Wyche brothers' murder?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And then Mr. Gardner got another phone that day?
- 14 A That's correct. The 1253 number.
- 15 Q And Mr. Coburn pointed out that actually you only had the
- 16 tolls through the end of the month. And that service wasn't
- terminated by the phone company on that phone until mid April, is
- 18 that correct? Do you recall that?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Is there another way, besides the fact that no calls were
- 21 placed on that 1241 line for five days after the 26th, is there
- another way we can be confident that Mr. Gardner was no longer
- 23 using that phone?
- 24 A Yes. What I did is I went back and I looked at Mr. Martin's
- 25 | 1933 number, which is subscribed to in his name. And up to the

- 1 26th, there were daily calls between the 1933 number and Mr.
- 2 Gardner's 1241 number. Daily, daily. After the 20, after the
- 3 25th, the afternoon of the 25th, 1241, I only had the tolls up
- 4 until the 30th. So for an additional five days, there were no
- 5 calls at all on the 1241 line, which is the first indicator.
- 6 Second, what I did was I went back and looked at the
- 7 1933 number. And after the 30th, I have more comprehensive tolls
- 8 for the 1933 line. As of that date, there were no more calls to
- 9 the 1241 line but now there were calls to the 1253, the new
- 10 number that was recovered from Mr. Gardner after his arrest. And
- 11 there were daily calls to the 1253 number like it had replaced
- 12 the 1241 number.
- Q Okay. Let me show you the tolls for the, just very briefly,
- the tolls for the 1933 phone that Mr. Martin was using. And this
- was in his own name, as I recall, is that correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q You say there were lots of calls to Mr. Gardner's 1241
- number up until the 26th of March, is that correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q For example, here we have a whole series of them right here,
- 21 is that correct?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q And if we went through these, we'd find, you say, virtually
- every day, 1241, calls to the 1241 number?
- 25 A Yeah. There's another one there. You just passed it.

- 1 Essentially every day. Multiple.
- 2 Q Until --
- 3 A At least a few times a day.
- 4 Q Until we get up to March 25th, at 2:58 p.m. Is this the
- 5 last call you could find on the tolls to the 1241 number?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q And then on the 26th at 5:23, is this the first call you get
- 8 to the new number associated with Mr. Gardner?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q The 1253 number. The phone that was eventually recovered
- from Mr. Gardner's person --
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q -- after the Tonya Jones Spence homicide?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q This is the first call to that number and it's on the 26th,
- 16 is that correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- Q And then there are no more after this, on these tolls, there
- 19 are no more calls to the 1241 number and all the calls are to the
- 20 1253 number?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. No further questions.
- MR. LAWLOR: Court's indulgence, please.
- 24 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 25 (Pause in proceedings.)

- 1 MR. LAWLOR: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Martin. 3 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. MARTIN: 4 5 Good morning, Detective Benson. Q 6 Good morning, Mr. Martin. Α 7 Detective Benson, you ever hear of a legal concept known as 8 contempt of court? 9 Α Yes. 10 What happens to somebody when they act up in court, isn't 11 it? 12 Α Yes. 13 Now, you seemed to say to Mr. Harding the other day that the 14 discrepancies between what you may have said here in front of this jury and what you said in the grand jury were due to the 15 16 fact that you were testifying as a summary witness in the grand 17 jury? 18 That's correct. 19 So is it your position, then, as a summary witness, you're not obligated to honor your oath and be accurate in what you 2.0 2.1 said? 22 I believe I was accurate in what I said based on the 23 information that I was given by the totality of the 24 investigation.
 - So someone may have given you inaccurate information which

- 1 you then passed on?
- 2 A I believe that the, the basis of the information was
- 3 accurate.
- 4 Q Now, you seemed to say, in response to a question from Mr.
- 5 Harding, that it would not have been uncommon for Darryl Wyche,
- 6 if he knew one person, to allow that one person and another
- 7 person to get in the back of his car, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q You recall Detective Niedermeier testifying about the fact
- 10 | that this was a Honda wagon?
- 11 A A Honda station wagon?
- 12 O Correct.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you recall that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q It had two seats in the back, didn't it?
- 17 A It's a, yeah, a four door vehicle with, I believe there's
- probably three across the back. I couldn't be sure.
- 19 Q And there was a car seat in the back, wasn't there?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Baby seat?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And do you recall Detective Niedermeier that that was the
- reason he surmised that there was only one person that got in the
- car, because the baby seat was in there and it would have been

- difficult for two people to get in the back with that baby seat
- 2 in there?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And you said today that it is not unusual for the particular
- 5 call, and I don't remember which one it was, but the one where it
- 6 was a minute off between the records for the one cell phone and
- 7 the records for the other cell phone?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q It's not unusual for that to happen, correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And you're confident that, despite the fact that there was
- 12 that one minute off between the Mitchell phone and the Wyche
- phone, at least as far as the records go, that it was the same
- 14 call?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Thank you, sir.
- 17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. PYNE:
- 19 Q Good morning, Detective.
- 20 A Good morning, sir.
- 21 Q A couple questions in regards to this chart. The two
- phones, again, that you have listed under Shelly Wayne Martin's
- 23 name?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Am I correct that the top phone, the 1933 phone that I

- 1 believe Mr. Harding was just asking you about, that that was
- 2 found in Mr. Martin's possession?
- 3 A No, sir.
- 4 Q It was not?
- 5 A No, sir.
- 6 Q You recovered the cell phone records at his house? Is that
- 7 how you --
- 8 A There was a bill in his name for that phone at his house, as
- 9 there was for the 3912 number, and records were obtained by
- subpoena from the cell phone company.
- 11 Q Okay. But you don't recall it being in his possession when
- 12 he was arrested?
- 13 A Not -- I wasn't present when he was arrested. Not that I'm
- 14 aware of, no.
- 15 Q Okay. But Mr. Gardner did have, I believe it was this
- phone, in his possession when he was arrested, is that correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And this second phone listed to Mr. Martin, was that
- ever recovered, to your knowledge?
- 20 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q Okay. And you said that you thought, based on the records,
- 22 that it was only used for approximately 10 to 12 days, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A The tolls that I obtained showed usage for approximately
- 25 | nine days.

RECROSS EXAMINATION OF BENSON BY PYNE

- Q Approximately nine days? But even though it was only used for nine days, that phone number was listed in two different
 Wyche brothers' phone directories as Alvin's phone number?
- A Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q Now, with regards to, I believe Mr. Harding asked you about the investigation run by Detective Niedermeier in regards to obtaining any security tapes they might have had. I believe your testimony was that you had a vague recollection of something about that.
 - A I was trying to recall what Detective Niedermeier had testified to. I wasn't part of that investigation so I couldn't speak clearly on it.
- Q Okay. And that was my point exactly. You weren't really involved in that investigation, were you?
 - A I was involved in the outside summary case involving that murder but I wasn't involved in the actual homicide investigation when it occurred.
 - Q So you can't tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury whether or not there were security cameras at that particular movie theater?
- 21 A I cannot.
- Q Okay. And do you recall Detective Niedermeier testifying
 that it was approximately eight months, I believe it was

 December, as opposed, December that he went out and actually
 investigated this for a murder that, or an arrest that happened

- 1 back in April, is that correct?
- 2 A I believe that's what he said, yes.
- 3 Q And do you recall Detective Niedermeier testifying that he
- 4 didn't even know that you could get cell site information?
- Wasn't that his testimony?
- 6 A Obtaining cell site information back at that time was not as
- 7 common. And he was not aware that that was an investigative tool
- 8 that could be used, until later when it was too late to get the
- 9 cell site records.
- 10 Q Do you know approximately how long telephone companies hold
- on to cell site information?
- 12 A Depends on the company. Some, some companies like Sprint,
- for example, I believe it is, purge all their records after 45
- days. Some hold them longer. A lot of, in the case of this
- 15 case, going back to get some of the historic records, we're only
- able to get copies of bills instead of actual tolls, which is
- kind of where the rounded up billing occurs, instead of being
- able to actually see the toll records. Depends on the cell phone
- 19 company. Some hold them longer.
- 20 Q So you did attempt to obtain cell site information in
- 21 regards to the Wyche brothers case, but you just weren't able to
- 22 do so?
- 23 A I obtained -- trying to recall if I actually inquired about
- cell site records. I obtained the toll records. I'd have to
- look back over my records and see if, for that particular phone,

- 1 if I tried to get cell site information. The 5811 number had
- 2 | already been subpoenaed for toll, cell site information. So it's
- 3 possible that I did.
- 4 Q Okay. But we haven't been presented with any cell site
- 5 information --
- 6 A For --
- 7 Q With regard to the Wyche brothers' killing?
- 8 A No. Not for those phones, no.
- 9 Q All right. And in regards to the B-10, which was a chart
- showing communications between this 3912 number listed to Alvin
- in the Wyche brothers phone books, these communications are from
- March 1st, March 1st, and March 4th, correct?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q And Shelly Wayne Martin, during that period of time, was in
- the Volunteers of America, is that correct?
- 16 A I believe he was.
- 17 Q He was in --
- 18 A I don't know the time frame. But it's around that time,
- 19 yes.
- 20 Q Wasn't he, in fact, in the Volunteers of America through
- 21 March the 7th of 2002, if you recall?
- 22 A I don't recall the exact dates. But it's around that time,
- 23 yes.
- Q Okay. Now, you testified that the vast majority of what you
- do is cell phone related, when Mr. Harding was asking you that on

- 1 recross examination or redirect examination?
- 2 A Yes, sir. Most of my cases involve cell phone toll
- 3 analysis.
- 4 Q Okay. And you recall testifying in front of the grand jury
- 5 as a summary witness, as you put it?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did you tell the grand jury that you had cell phone
- 8 records that show seven lengthy phone calls from Shelly Wayne
- 9 Martin while he was supposed to be in the movie theater?
- 10 A I believe that I said there were seven telephone activations
- during the time of the movie theater.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A That was prior to, at that time I had not done the, the
- outgoing and incoming tolls to match them up to see about
- 15 | connections.
- 16 Q If I can approach the witness, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Okay. In fact, you did not have seven lengthy -- the toll
- 20 records did shot know seven lengthy phone calls during that time,
- 21 did they?
- 22 A No, sir. It showed attempts that went to voice mail.
- Q Okay. I'm sure you did not intend to mislead the grand
- jury, did you?
- 25 A No. That testimony, as I stated earlier, was summary

testimony based on information given by the homicide

investigators, who, just looking at the raw tolls, showed seven

incoming calls during the time of the movie, not having matched

it up and seen that they were actually, went to voice mail.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

So they were under the impression that they were one, one, one, and two minute calls, which during a movie would be considered a lengthy phone call, in my opinion.

- Q Okay. So you had not done any telephone analysis before you went to the grand jury?
- A I hadn't done the in-depth telephone analysis that we're looking at now, no, not at that point.
- Q And in fact, the diagram that you have here you really didn't do until the middle of this trial, did you?
- A I did the analysis over the past three and a half years.

 This chart was created just prior, finalized and printed out just prior to this trial. But this was in, this overall chart was in the works for the past three years or so.
- Q This chart was not prepared in the middle of trial?
- A This chart was finalized, finalized and printed out just
- prior to when it was first presented to Detective Niedermeier.
- It was a work in progress up until that point that it was presented to the jury.
- Q Was it, in fact, prepared after the phone company expert testified in this case?
 - A It was finalized. It was not prepared. It was finalized

1 after.

2.0

2.1

- Q In fact, didn't you have another chart not nearly as detailed which, in fact, did not reflect a distinction between connected calls and voice mail calls?
- A I felt it was essential, based on the volume of calls and, to show the proper progression of how the night progressed, to be able to show calls that went to voice mail and calls that were, in fact, connected. It was important to show.

So I reworked the chart, went back through each of, each of the lines that you see, determined whether they were connected calls or voice mail calls, and marked them accordingly.

- Q Because it would be very misleading to the jury to just show calls going from one party to another when, in fact, you knew that they hadn't been connected the calls?
- A I wouldn't say it's misleading. I mean, if you have party A attempting to contact party B seven times in quick, rapid succession, to me that says there's a reason why party A wants to get in touch with party B, whether the calls are connected or not.
- Q But attempts to get into contact with a party is much different than two parties actually connecting with each other, wouldn't you agree?
- A That's correct. That's why I marked the chart accordingly.
- Q And in regards to what Mr. Harding was just asking you about, this third call, this is from the Mitchell phone to the

- 1 Wyche phone?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q At 12:08. Now, this call, just looking at this chart, am I
- 4 correct in saying you do not know whether or not this is a
- 5 connected call or whether it goes to voice mail?
- 6 A Just looking at this?
- 7 Q Just looking at this.
- 8 A At this toll?
- 9 Q At this.
- 10 A Not comparing it to the 9203?
- 11 Q Exactly.
- 12 A There is nothing on the 6204 tolls that indicate, well, it
- indicates it's connected because of the duration, 95 seconds.
- 14 But --
- 15 Q Well, again, that's a distinction you made early. Connected
- in the sense that it went to voice mail or connected in the sense
- 17 that there was a live, actual call?
- A Correct. And that's why we go to the 9203 records and see
- 19 the incoming call with the matching duration and determine that
- 20 | both parties were billed, therefore, the call was connected.
- 21 Had I gone to the 9203 tolls and showed no incoming
- 22 call whatsoever at that time, it would have been to voice mail.
- Q Well, my question to you right now is, looking at this call
- just on Mr. Mitchell's phone records, you cannot tell, looking at
- 25 just this call, whether or not it was a connected call or it went

- 1 to voice mail?
- 2 A Just looking at this toll, no.
- 3 Q Okay. And it shows a call at 12:08:40, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Duration of 95 minutes?
- 6 A 95 seconds.
- 7 Q Seconds. That's correct. So then we go to -- because you
- 8 can't say it's a connected call until you go to the other phone?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q Now, just looking at this phone records, all we know is that
- 11 there's an incoming call at 12:07?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q We don't know that that is an incoming call for Mr.
- 14 Mitchell's phone, do we?
- 15 A No. We know that it's an incoming call that 9203 received
- and the duration was two minutes.
- 17 Q Exactly.
- 18 A Up to two minutes.
- 19 Q So if the Wyche phone receives a call at 12:07, which would
- 20 be a minute before Mr. Mitchell's phone says he placed the call,
- 21 he would still be on this two minute call when Mr. Mitchell
- 22 tried, tried to call Mr. Wyche, isn't that correct?
- 23 A And had a two, a matching two minute duration?
- 24 Q Is a two minute call unusual?
- 25 A No.

RECROSS EXAMINATION OF BENSON BY PYNE

- 1 Q Is there something so distinctive about a two minute call that that is where you're putting all your weight?
- A No. I actually, to, to be comfortable saying that that's a connected call, I went back. The easier way to do is to go over the McCaffity to the 5811 Mitchell phone, which you can go line by line. And you'll see discrepancies in duration up to 40
 - Q My question to you is --
- 9 MR. HARDING: May the witness finish?

seconds, a minute, that they are connected.

- THE COURT: Yeah. Let him finish answering the question.
- 12 Q Sorry, Detective.

7

8

- 13 A I went through the McCaffity to Mitchell chart.
- Q Your Honor, his answer is not responsive to my question. My question to you, Detective, is, if an individual called this

 Wyche phone at 12:07 and had a two minute conversation, Mr. Wyche would still be on this phone when Mr. Mitchell called him at
- 18 12:08, isn't that correct?
 - A I don't believe so. No. I believe that that was --
- 20 Q I didn't ask you to explain.
- THE COURT: He's entitled to explain his answer.
- A Based on my totality of all of these tolls and how they
 relate to each other, like I can show you, for example, tolls
 that don't show just the word "incoming", that show the calls
 from the McCaffity phone to the Mitchell phone, with their

- 1 numbers. And the times are off by a minute but the durations are 2 identical. Every one of these calls across this chart, the 3 durations that are connected are identical and the time discrepancies are anywhere from 14 seconds up to a minute. 4 5 could show you --6 That's a great explanation. But my question to you again --7 Α Theoretically --If Mr. Wyche receives a call at 12:07 and the duration of 8 9 that call is two minutes, wouldn't he still be on the phone when 10 Mr. Mitchell calls him at 12:08? 11 It's theoretically possible but I don't believe that's 12 what's happened here. 13 Okay. And again, when I took you through these records 14 earlier, this first call here, what I have number one, the Wyche phone was 24 seconds behind the Mitchell phone? 15 16 Α Correct. 17 Is that correct? And the second call, the Wyche phone was 18 23 seconds behind the Mitchell phone. And in this third call, 19 the Wyche phone was one minute ahead of the --2.0 Α Correct. -- Mitchell phone. I don't think I have anything further. 2.1 22 Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Detective. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 25 BY MR. COBURN:

CROSS EXAMINATION

- 1 Q Detective Benson, good morning.
 - A Good morning, sir.
- 3 Q Following up on that very last series of questions that Mr.
- 4 Harding asked you when you were on redirect examination about Mr.
- Gardner's, the two phones that you've indicated are associated
- 6 with Mr. Gardner.
- 7 A Yes, sir.

- 8 Q This will just be very brief. You know, actually, just
- 9 before we go there, I wonder if I could inquire of the government
- 10 through the Court whether the government still has the copies
- 11 | from the American Patriot Friends Network web site that I
- 12 provided to them at the end of the day last week.
- MR. HARDING: Yes.
- 14 Q I wonder, can I retrieve them, please? Because I had marked
- 15 them as exhibits. Thank you so much.
- May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 Q Detective Benson, do you recall testifying at one point
- during my initial cross examination last week that you were aware
- of the fact that there was a web site relating to what's been
- 21 referred to in this case as the flesh and blood defense?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Those two documents there, I think they're marked as Gardner
- 24 10 and 11, are they copies from that web site?
- MR. HARDING: Objection.

- THE COURT: I think you need to lay a foundation first.
- 2 Q Have you looked at the web site?
- A I've never seen these until whatever day you printed them

 out and gave them to Mr. Harding. That's the first I've ever

 heard of or seen this American Patriot Friends.
- 6 MR. HARDING: Judge, this is well beyond the scope of re-direct, Your Honor.
 - MR. COBURN: But I thought we had discussed this at the end of the day in terms of the web site.
- 10 THE COURT: The objection's sustained.
- 11 BY MR. COBURN:

- Q Very well. Turning back, then, to the cell phones that are associated with Mr. Gardner. Do you recall Mr. Harding asking you some questions about that just a few minutes ago?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- Q So if I understand correctly, the Wyche brothers were killed around midnight on the 25th, late on the night of the 24th or midnight on the 25th, right?
- 19 A Correct.
- Q And you told Mr. Harding just a minute ago that hours after that, at approximately 2 p.m. on the 25th, was phone contact that you identified between the first number, the one you said might have been the burn phone, the Samuel Handy number at 1241?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q And the Mr., the number you've associated with Mr. Martin

- 1 ending with 1933, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And that was hours after the Wyches were killed, right?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q You also testified previously that around the same time
- 6 period that afternoon on the 25th, hours after the Wyche brothers
- 7 | were killed, there was telephone contact from that first number,
- 8 the 1241 number, the Samuel Handy number, and County Sports,
- 9 right? Do you remember that?
- 10 A I believe it was on the 20 -- yes, the 25th.
- 11 Q 25th.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Hours after the Wyches were killed, right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Now, you indicated that prior to the 25th there was
- 16 virtually daily contact -- sorry to keep shifting this back and
- forth -- between the 1241 number, the Samuel Handy line, the one
- 18 that might have been a burn phone associated with Mr. Gardner,
- 19 right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And the 1933 number?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q Associated with Mr. Martin, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Virtually daily, prior to the Wyche homicides, correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 | Q Now, that 1933 number was in Mr. Martin's name, right?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 \mathbb{Q} Not in any sort of an AKA or something. It was in Mr.
- 5 Martin's own name, correct?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q And you've already testified that based on your review of
- 8 the 30,000 toll records, there was no contact whatsoever that you
- 9 were ever able to identify between Mr. Gardner and the Wyches,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q And you also testified last week that the most recent
- contact, telephone contact between Mr. Gardner and Mr. Mitchell
- was on the 13th, correct, March 13th?
- 15 A Of?
- 16 Q March 13th, 2002?
- 17 A Between any phones?
- 18 Q Between any of Mr. Mitchell's phones and any of Mr.
- 19 Gardner's phones.
- 20 A If you can zoom it out, I could tell you.
- 21 Q Okay. I thought you had said that last week, but feel free
- 22 to check again.
- 23 A That's possible. If you can zoom it out for me.
- 24 Q Sure.
- 25 A I can't tell from that. It's possible it was the 13th, if I

- 1 looked it up when I testified to it.
- Q Okay. Now, you've indicated that so far as you know, that
- 3 | first number, the 1241 number, the number that was not in either
- 4 Mr. Gardner's or Mr. Mitchell's name, but rather in Mr. Handy's
- 5 | name, that it stopped being used on the 25th, right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And then on the 26th, Mr. Gardner, according to your
- 8 investigation, started using a new phone, the one down here, the
- 9 1253 number, right?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q But Mr. Gardner had been using the 1241 number to call Mr.
- Martin at the 1933 number before the 25th, right?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And then after the 25th, Mr. Gardner was using the new
- 15 | number, the 1253 number, to call Mr. Martin at the same number,
- 16 the 1933 number, right?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And the 1933 number was registered in Mr. Martin's name,
- 19 right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And whereas the 1241 number had been registered in somebody
- 22 else's name, Mr. Handy's name, the one he started using after the
- 23 Wyches were killed on the 26th, March 26th, the 1253 number, was
- 24 actually registered in Mr. Martin's name, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

Q Okay. Thank you. Nothing further.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Detective Benson.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HARDING: Judge, the government has a stipulation of the parties, which I will obviously not read in its entirety. But I would put it on the screen because there's a sort of summary first page.

The parties agree and stipulate that, one, the drugs seized in the following arrests and investigations were analyzed by chemists who are experts in the chemical analysis of controlled substances and, two, as indicated in the attached lab reports marked as Exhibits L-1 through L-5 and L-7 through 11, which are admitted into evidence, the parties agree and stipulate that the substances analyzed in L-1 through 5 and L-7 through 11 are, in fact, the control substances summarized below.

And then it goes through and identifies by date of arrest and the officers who testified and were involved in the arrests and the defendants and others who were arrested, what the exhibits are that were introduced when the, or at least the property numbers were introduced. In some cases the drugs were introduced. In some cases the property numbers for the drugs were introduced. And then in the last column is a summary of the actual chemical analysis of the controlled substances found in those exhibits and continues on to a second page. And attached to it are the lab reports that I previously mentioned.

1 THE COURT: And that exhibit number is what again, Mr. 2 Harding, the overall exhibit? 3 MR. HARDING: I think, since it's a stipulation, we can call it ST-1, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: ST-1 is admitted. 6 MR. HARDING: Also, Your Honor, you may recall that the 7 Court admitted the grand jury testimony of Rodney Hayes on two 8 occasions. He testified twice. I have prepared copies of those 9 two and redacted a salient portion of one of them. But defense 10 counsel haven't actually had a chance to go through them and see 11 if they want to approve. 12 What I would offer, then, is that these be admitted 13 provisionally on the understanding that defense counsel can still 14 look through them and see if they want to negotiate some further redaction. 15 16 THE COURT: Absolutely. So why don't you tell us what 17 the exhibit numbers are and we will finalize the exhibit before 18 they're sent in to the jury? 19 MR. HARDING: Well, there are two possibilities, Your Honor. The testimony of Damita Green was admitted simply as 2.0 2.1 Court One. I could offer these as Court Two and Court Three. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Makes sense. 23 MR. HARDING: So the testimony for February 4th, 2004

is Court Two. And the testimony for July 12th, 2005 is Court Three.

24

THE COURT: So they are admitted. We're referring, of course, to the grand jury testimony of witness Rodney Hayes. And they are admitted conditionally, subject to defense counsel having a final opportunity to review in their entirety the redacted transcripts. And they will be submitted to the jury.

MR. HARDING: I also would like to put on the record several changes in exhibit numbers and also make clear what some exhibit numbers are, if I may, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: There were two MB-38's admitted. So the MB-38 that was a letter from Chris Dobropolski to a state court judge is now MB-38. And a Panasonic telephone that was part of that same investigation is now MB-38A.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. HARDING: I have a couple more of these, Your Honor. There were two, MB-30's. So the seven latent print cards are now MB-30A. And MB-30 remains a photograph.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. HARDING: There were two MB-39's. So the telephone from the McCaffity car pocket is now MB-39A and MB-39 remains a photo array.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. HARDING: Your Honor, the photo arrays that were shown to Will Montgomery, four of them were initially admitted as W-34 through W-37. Now they are all in evidence as S-62 through

1 S-66. 2 THE COURT: Very well. 3 MR. LAWLOR: 66 or 65? MR. HARDING: 66. There's an additional photo array 4 5 that came in during the course of presentation that Mr. Hanlon 6 did of the evidence in the Spence, the Jones Spence homicide. 7 Just to clear up, Your Honor. SE-6 is in evidence. 8 It's a little slip of paper that was admitted when Chris 9 Dobropolski was on the stand. SE-19, some rap lyrics, are in 10 evidence. 11 W-37B is a photo array where Damita Green identified 12 one of the defendants. 13 W-41 is Mr. Mitchell's information sheet that he filled 14 out following his, at the time of his interrogation by Detectives 15 Niedermeier and Kirk Hastings. 16 There was an exhibit which got recorded by Ms. 17 Arrington as simply Exhibit 48. It is really W-48. 18 The Mitchell activity log that was completed when Mr. Mitchell was interrogated is W-59. That is in evidence. 19 2.0 W-67 is the number for the contact phone numbers from 2.1 the Wyche phones. We've used those lists of contact phone 22 numbers repeatedly. 23 And W-68 is the phone bill for 443-838-1933. 24 MR. LAWLOR: Repeat that, please.

MR. HARDING: W-68 is the phone bill for 443-838-1933.

Mr. Hanlon wants to go, too, Your Honor.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HANLON: Just a handful, Your Honor. Your Honor, all of these relate to the Seamon Avenue search, and they're just a few things I believe were shown to Special Agent Klas during testimony. But on review of the exhibit list, the numbers might be confused or something like that.

There was some ammunition, which should be in evidence and marked as SE-2.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. HANLON: There was an ID Card in Mr. Harris's name that is from a State Maintenance Administration that should be marked as SE-5.

There was a scrap of paper with the name "Chris" written on it, which should be marked as Government's Exhibit SE-6.

There was some miscellaneous documents taken during the search that should be marked as Government's Exhibit SE-7. Some miscellaneous documents taken during the search that should be marked as Government's Exhibit SE-16. And then additionally, Your Honor, there was a black binder which I marked as Government's SE-20. It is still so marked. But on review it appears another SE-20 was used during Mr. Dobropolski's testimony. They are scraps of paper from Seamon Avenue. We have remarked the scraps of paper as Government's Exhibit SE-20A to

distinguish from the other 20.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: So noted.

MR. HANLON: Then that's all the Seamon Avenue matters, Your Honor. The only thing remaining is that the government, as it indicated, has memorialized stipulations with counsel concerning prior convictions for Mr. Gardner and Mr. Harris relative to those relative counts. I have so memorialized. They have been reviewed by counsel and they have been marked as Government's Exhibit PC-1 relating to Mr. Gardner and Government's Exhibit PC-2 relating to Mr. Harris.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you put them on the DOAR for just a moment, Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: This is PC-1 on the DOAR system, Your Honor? Should I read it into the record?

THE COURT: Yes, why don't you?

MR. HANLON: United States of America versus Shawn Gardner. It is agreed and stipulated between the parties that the defendant, Shawn Gardner, had, prior to June 7, 2002, been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year as defined in 18 USC Section 921, and his civil rights had not been restored. That is PC-1.

Government's PC-2, a stipulation, United States of America versus Shelton Harris. It is agreed and stipulated between the parties that the defendant, Shelton Harris, had, prior to January 22, 2004, been convicted of a crime punishable

by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year as defined in 18 USC Section 921, and his civil rights had not been restored.

That is PC-2.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hanlon.

MR. HANLON: That is all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: And the government rests, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. So subject to final check as to exhibits and the opportunity for defense counsel to review the Hayes grand jury transcript, ladies and gentlemen, the government has concluded its presentation in this case.

I'm going to ask you now to return to the jury room for a recess. Here's where we are, ladies and gentlemen.

As you will recall, we were not scheduled to be in session next Monday and next Tuesday. As a result of the delays that we have encountered and because we all, including yourselves, of course, would like to bring this matter to a final conclusion as expeditiously, though as fairly and impartially, as we can, I have conferred with counsel. And I appreciate counsel's efforts in these regards. Counsel have rescheduled matters that they had scheduled for next Monday and Tuesday because they, like you, were in the belief that we would not be in session next week, on Monday and Tuesday. So all of counsel are available on those days.

I have rescheduled my matters for next Monday and

2.0

2.1

Tuesday. I had planned to be at a committee meeting on which I serve for the federal judges over in Washington. But in the interest of trying to get the matter finished, we've all agreed that we should be in session next Monday and next Tuesday.

whether, if you scheduled something for next Monday or Tuesday in anticipation that you wouldn't need to be here, whether you can't also change your schedules. And I invite you, if you have your cell phone with you during this recess while in the jury room, to call your employer if you need to, or if you think that's a good idea, or to call your spouse or significant other just to doublecheck to see if you can't be available to come in on Monday at 9:30 and, if necessary, on Tuesday at 9:30, so that we can move the case along.

So in other words, we're modifying the schedule in light of recent events and we are going to be in session next Monday and Tuesday provided each of you can clear your schedules as well and be with us next Monday and Tuesday.

If we are able to do that, our schedule will probably be as follows. We are likely to conclude all of the evidence by the end of the day on Tuesday, perhaps even earlier than the end of the day on Tuesday. That would leave Wednesday and Thursday of next week for closing argument and for my instructions to you on the law.

If we were able to do that, then that would mean that

2.0

2.1

you would begin your deliberations on Friday of next week and then you would have available the following Monday and Tuesday before Thanksgiving perhaps to complete your deliberations before Thanksgiving.

Obviously, neither I nor anyone else would ever try to predict how long your deliberations might be. And none of us, frankly, are concerned about that right now. But to the extent that we can put the case in a posture where you have at least three full days to work on your deliberations before the Thanksgiving holiday, I think we all would benefit from that.

So that would be the purpose in changing the schedule, so that we can conclude all the evidentiary matters by Monday or Tuesday of next week. And then we'll need about a day and a half or so for closing argument and my instructions on the law.

So you're excused for a 30 minute recess. Please leave your note pads on your chairs. Have no discussion about the case except to the extent you find it necessary to speak to each other about whether you can come in on Monday and Tuesday of next week. And of course, as I say, if you need to call your employer or your family to clear dates for Monday and Tuesday of next week, feel free to do that as well. And I'll ask for your response when we resume in about 30 minutes or so. The jury's excused for a 30 minute recess. Please leave your note pads on your chairs.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I have had a chance to

review all of your written arguments. I'm happy to entertain your motions at this time.

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, before we do the Rule 29, there's a procedural issue with respect to the grand jury testimony. Can I raise it now or do you want me to wait until -THE COURT: Let's wait on that.

MR. LAWLOR: Your Honor, I'm going to skip to the end of the line, if that's okay.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Flannery.

MR. FLANNERY: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. FLANNERY: Your Honor, in regards to Shelton
Harris, Shelton Harris's move for judgment of acquittal, Counts
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of the fourth
superseding indictment, I'll be rather brief, Your Honor.

In particular, as you remember in our brief, we begin first by essentially attacking the drug conspiracy count, Count Eight, and racketeering Count Nine, largely on the premise that the government has failed to prove the single conspiracy charged in the indictment. In fact, they've proven multiple distinct conspiracies. Of any of those distinct conspiracies that they've, in fact, proven, that Mr. Harris is a member of one of those and not the conspiracy charged in the indictment.

As we note in our brief, Your Honor, we, for purposes of this motion at least, have identified four distinct

2.0

2.1

conspiracies, those essentially being what we term the New York conspiracy between conspirators such as Martin, Gardner, Bacon, and other unindicted coconspirators that span from, say, early 1994 until the latest being 1999, maybe as late as 2000. The latest evidence we see of that is Mr. Bacon at one point testified that he thought Mr. Wyche had provided some drugs to Mr. Mitchell for further resale.

The next conspiracies, Your Honor, that are clearly distinct in the fact that there is, without the overlap of actors or common agreement, are the Pennsylvania conspiracies, one being, and we've heard brief evidence regarding Mr. Gardner. And we've heard evidence concerning Mr. Mitchell in Altoona.

Those conspiracies began after Mr. Gardner, I believe, and even Mr. Martin would have, I believe, been locked up for a portion of that time. Or at best there's no evidence that they were involved. They certainly, Mr. Harris wasn't involved because at that point there's no evidence that he had even met Mr. Mitchell at that point. And the two PA conspiracies in and of themselves are seemingly unrelated as well. There's no evidence that Mr. Gardner and Mr. Mitchell had been involved together in Pennsylvania.

The final one, Your Honor, which we, in a certain sense, I guess lump together for purposes of the motion would be what's termed, essentially, a post 2000 conspiracy, that could in sense, in a certain sense be bifurcated into two other

2.0

2.1

conspiracies, one involving Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner, and the other at best involving Mr. Harris, Mr. Mitchell and other unindicted coconspirators.

If anything, Your Honor, the limited evidence that we've seen here regarding any common suppliers doesn't, certainly does not span the term of this entire conspiracy. And in cases like this, Your Honor, where it's not a chain distribution, unlike the cases that the government largely cited that they would like, they wanted you to read in regards to their not giving a multiple conspiracy instruction, this is a wheel conspiracy, essentially, where this is not a chain distribution where you're working with large scale wholesalers that are providing then to mid-level wholesalers, they're then providing to small level retailers, where each unit can essentially infer that they're part of a larger organization based on the quantity and based on the way that they're providing drugs to that market. That's not what we have here.

If anything, we have distinct individuals operating with distinct distribution schemes and often times operating with distinct suppliers.

We would further supplement that argument, Your Honor, by, when we get past 2000, we get into the meat of this case, which are the violent crimes that have been alleged. Those cannot supply the government with the evidence that it is lacking on the drug conspiracy because as we cite in the Bertolotti case,

2.0

2.1

well cited case, 1975, Second Circuit. In that case it was again alleged that there was a narcotics distribution scheme. But what the government had essentially proved there was four distinct transactions, is what the Second Circuit found. Two of those, they deemed, were nothing more than cash robberies of drug dealers and that they were unrelated to the umbrella overarching drug conspiracy scheme.

And that's exactly what you have here, Your Honor. The government is going to try to say, well, regardless of what we've proved in the past, we've proved here a drug conspiracy that is engaged in violence and that these four defendants were all somehow involved in it and that they then joined an umbrella drug conspiracy that spanned back a decade earlier.

We would move, Your Honor, that that is not, does not comport with the case law regarding single conspiracy. And we would move for a judgment of acquittal on Count Eight and, I guess in that sense, Racketeering Act Nine.

A final point I would mention on that, too, Your Honor, is that we have the Spence murder, which I'm sure you're going to hear more from Mr. Coburn as well. But it certainly is out there as an outlier in this case. And if anything, it's done nothing more than to dirty up three of the other defendants that were clearly unrelated to the Spence murder.

If anything, there's a scant relation between Mr.

Martin that we've heard from Mr. Montgomery, now testifying that,

2.0

2.1

if anything, the Spence murder was an effort to gain Mr. Martin a lawyer when he certainly at the time, I think, was represented by the Public Defender, certainly could have been represented by the Public Defender.

Moving on to Count One, Your Honor, the RICO count.

First regarding just the substantive elements of RICO in and of itself, Your Honor. I think the government in this case has certainly failed to prove, as you knew you were going to hear, the existence of an enterprise. The case law is very clear on what the government needs to prove in an enterprise. And that's an ongoing organization that has continuity, shared purpose, and structure. I'm going to take them in somewhat reverse order.

There's no leader, so to speak, amongst this group. And you're going to hear Mr. Harding, of course, say that you don't have to have a leader. There's no hierarchy. And he's going to say you don't have to have to have that. There's no structure. There's no sharing of profits.

I think this case quickly gets to the notion that the pattern of racketeering is not synonymous with proof of an enterprise. And if you look at the, or if you have looked at the Lemm case, it's an Eighth Circuit case. It's also cited for authority in U.S. v. Tillett.

And what the $\underline{\text{Lemm}}$ case says is that if you look at the pattern of racketeering, if you take that away and you look at

2.0

2.1

what's left, that's where you get to the enterprise. You have to have some sort of structure, outside of the pattern of racketeering activity, that minimal association that is necessary for any two people to get together and commit any type of conspiracy related or racketeering related crime.

Whatever is left, that's where you start to look for the enterprise. If you do that, Your Honor, here, you're left at best with four, three boyhood friends and one individual that came on later.

Now, what the government's going to try and do here is they've made, they've introduced a lot of evidence and they've tried to gain a lot of headway out of the existence of Shakedown. Well, Shakedown Entertainment is not the enterprise that was alleged in this indictment. Crimes committed in furtherance in promoting Shakedown Entertainment is not the overall 1994 to 2006 overarching umbrella RICO enterprise that was charged in this indictment.

THE COURT: Why don't we just have a drug gang as the enterprise?

MR. FLANNERY: Because a drug -- you know, this is where the government wants to try to say that RICO is synonymous with conspiracy. And in one sense, Your Honor, RICO did alleviate some of the problems in traditional conspiracy law. But in another sense, it made it more difficult.

In a conspiracy, it's understood that it can be a

2.0

2.1

loosely knit association of individuals that don't need a structure, they don't need any hierarchy or anything like that.

But that's not the case under RICO. Under RICO now what they can do agreeably is they can take what maybe under pre-RICO law would have been seemingly unrelated crimes and bring them under the umbrella of a RICO enterprise. And that, in a sense, alleviates some of the problems with traditional conspiracy law.

But what makes it more difficult for them, is they have to prove more what generally would be a conspiracy under traditional conspiracy law is not the same as an enterprise.

Now, association with an enterprise may, in fact, be easier to prove than conspiring together. But the enterprise itself is certainly not synonymous with simple conspiracy. It's not, Your Honor. It has to be something more than that.

It has to have that continuity, that structure, and that common purpose that is akin to, what the case law generally says is akin to, as we cite in the Bledsoe case, a mafia family.

Now, we're not arguing, certainly, that anyone has to be a member of organized crime and that a mafia family is the only enterprise that can somehow exist. We're not arguing that at all. What we're saying is that it's that type of organization, that type of unit that is the hallmark, even as the government cites in the <u>Griffin</u> case, the hallmark of RICO is a structure, an ascertainable, identifiable structure distinct from the pattern of racketeering activity itself.

2.0

2.1

Finally, Your Honor, what I would also say is the shared purpose here, again goes back to Shakedown. Shakedown, Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner certainly had nothing to do with the rap business, with the rap music business or with Shakedown Entertainment. If anything, that was a purpose amongst Mr. Harris and Mr. Mitchell unrelated to these two defendants. It's a different enterprise altogether.

THE COURT: That simply wouldn't be true to the extent, if there's a drug gang and if part of the proceeds of the drug gang were to be used to further the music business, it wouldn't be true that members of the drug gang are totally unrelated to Shakedown. Shakedown clearly is not the enterprise.

MR. FLANNERY: What I would say, Your Honor, there is no evidence that that any of the proceeds were used to further Shakedown.

Second of all, a drug gang in and of itself, just to use the term lightly, is not synonymous with an enterprise. They have to show something --

THE COURT: I think there's very compelling evidence that part of what Mr. Mitchell earned or intended to earn in the drug activities was going to Shakedown. So it's simply not true to say that the drug business had nothing to do with Shakedown.

MR. FLANNERY: I'm not saying the drug business necessarily had something to do with Shakedown.

THE COURT: So my point is that if the drug gang is

doing the drug business and one or two members of the drug gang were using the proceeds from the drug business generated by the drug gang to pursue a music business, I'm not sure why that doesn't, isn't a jury question.

MR. FLANNERY: I'm sorry. I apologize.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

2.0

2.1

MR. FLANNERY: The enterprise alleged in indictment spans over from 1994 to 2006.

THE COURT: See, that's a separate question. And I'm going to come to that. But right now we're just talking about the existence of the enterprise, not how long it existed.

MR. FLANNERY: Fine. But what I'm saying is the enterprise that is alleged is the association, in fact, of these four individuals. That's the enterprise.

THE COURT: No. It's not these four individuals, it's these four individuals and others known and unknown.

MR. FLANNERY: That constitute the Randallstown/Park Heights organization.

THE COURT: And you can call it anything. I'm using the term "drug gang."

MR. FLANNERY: Okay. Fair enough, Your Honor. What I would say is that they haven't shown an enterprise that consists of a drug gang including these four individuals. If anything, they've shown at best would be two distinct enterprises, if anything. They can't use, they can't use Shakedown as the

ascertainable structure aside --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: I'm not suggesting that. Look, let's be clear. Maybe I should just read from the indictment. The indictment says, alleges, the indictment alleges in Paragraph 4-D that one of the purposes of the enterprise was to maintain and promote the rap music business in which members and associates were involved, including Shakedown Entertainment, Limited. That's what the indictment alleges.

So if Mr. Mitchell was a member of the enterprise, the drug gang, and if Mr. Mitchell's purpose was in part joined in by Mr. Harris to, quote-unquote, "maintain and promote the rap music business", then that was a part of the purpose of the enterprise.

MR. FLANNERY: I understand that.

THE COURT: The fact that Martin and Gardner, for the sake of discussion, had nothing to do with that part of the enterprise just doesn't seem to get anybody anywhere.

MR. FLANNERY: What I'm saying, Your Honor, is that you can't, the enterprise itself consists of an ascertainable structure that's distinct from the pattern of racketeering activity. And what I'm saying here --

THE COURT: You don't have to convince me of that. I absolutely agree with you on that.

MR. FLANNERY: And what the government has failed to prove here is that distinct, identifiable ascertainable structure, a continuing unit, an ongoing organization that has a

2.0

2.1

shared, a common purpose, Your Honor. Common is common to all.

THE COURT: The indictment says, the indictment lists the five purposes of the enterprise. Now, are you arguing that every member of the enterprise and/or associate of the enterprise must share in all five of those purposes?

MR. FLANNERY: No. No, I'm not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I didn't think so. So the fact that only Mitchell and Harris were interested in the rap music business doesn't in any way undermine the government's presentation.

Another purpose was promoting and enhancing the enterprise and its members and associates' activities. Now, you know, that's terribly vague. I agree with you there. But that's what the grand jury alleges here. What were their activities? Well, their activities were dealing drugs, robbing drug dealers, according to the indictment, according to the evidence, killing drug dealers, killing witnesses, intimidating people.

MR. FLANNERY: Your Honor --

THE COURT: And indeed under the fourth superseding indictment, disrupting court proceedings.

MR. FLANNERY: That's fair enough, Your Honor. Your Honor, we've all sat through this trial. We all are well aware of --

THE COURT: Including the jury.

MR. FLANNERY: -- of the evidence of all the bad things that these four individuals are alleged to have done. That's the

pattern of racketeering activity, though.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: No. It's not.

MR. FLANNERY: That is the pattern of racketeering activity. The crimes themselves are the pattern of racketeering activity. You take that away --

THE COURT: But promoting and enhancing the enterprise and its members is not a crime. When, just by way of example, producing and selling a rap music CD is not a crime. That's not a crime. Clearly, the jury could find that that was part of what the enterprise engaged in here through Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris. That's not a crime.

MR. FLANNERY: I guess what we're doing, Your Honor, in a certain sense is we're starting from the supposition that the enterprise is just a drug organization, and it's not. Because you have to prove the enterprise. It's not fair enough, it's not fair for the government to simply say, well, these four people were together.

THE COURT: But I'm not finding the facts. What you have to convince me of is that a reasonable fact finder couldn't find that the enterprise was a drug gang.

MR. FLANNERY: Okay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So tell me why a reasonable finder of fact couldn't conclude that the enterprise here was a drug gang.

MR. FLANNERY: Okay, Your Honor. A couple reasons. First of all, these four individuals --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, before you do that.

Just in the abstract, in the abstract, could a drug gang ever be an enterprise?

MR. FLANNERY: Absolutely.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. FLANNERY: It could very well be.

THE COURT: So that makes it really easy for our dialogue. Why is the evidence insufficient here to show, crediting, of course, the evidence in favor of the government, why is this drug gang not an enterprise? Why could a reasonable finder of fact not conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that this particular drug gang was an enterprise?

MR. FLANNERY: The reason that they could not conclude this a particular drug gang is an enterprise, Your Honor, is because this particular enterprise is a loosely --

THE COURT: You mean this particular drug gang.

MR. FLANNERY: This particular drug gang, sorry, is a loosely knit association of three boyhood friends and another individual who came later, unrelated to the other two. They don't have --

THE COURT: Why isn't that, why isn't that statement jury argument? You're asking me to reach that conclusion, that all this was was three boyhood friends and another guy hanging out, fellow travelers who liked each other. That's up to the jury to decide.

2.0

2.1

MR. FLANNERY: Because, Your Honor, the reason why is that the government has to come forward with the evidence of the structure that, that the four, four together provide the jury something to find, they have to present something to show that this, these four individuals, aside from the association together to commit the crimes that they committed, aside from that, aside from that minimal association that they needed to get together and commit those crimes, that there is some sort of identifiable structure between them that you can identify that holds them together as an enterprise, as something more than just a group, as something more than just four people.

THE COURT: It's clear here that there's continuity, correct?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FLANNERY: No. Your Honor, that goes right back to what I finished arguing.

THE COURT: What is your definition of "continuity?"

MR. FLANNERY: Continuous, unbroken from time.

THE COURT: It doesn't have to be unbroken.

MR. FLANNERY: Well, it doesn't have --

THE COURT: It doesn't have to be unbroken. You're absolutely wrong about that.

MR. FLANNERY: It does not, Your Honor. But it doesn't have to be unbroken by definition in the sense that if there's a break, then as a matter of law it's no longer continuous. That's not what I'm arguing.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: What are you arguing when you're saying it has to be unbroken?

MR. FLANNERY: No. What I'm saying here, in fact, is that it is broken. And the fact if you look at it broken, combined with the facts I mentioned, the lack of common suppliers, the lack of common distribution schemes --

THE COURT: But they do have common suppliers. There's testimony they went up to New York together.

MR. HARDING: That's back in 1994, Your Honor, 1999.

Our argument would be that ended when, first of all, Mr. Mitchell attended college. The other two individuals, Martin and Gardner, were locked up. Mr. Harris wasn't even involved.

We don't even know at the time that Mr. Mitchell, in fact, was even engaged in distributing those narcotics. Mr. Bacon said at one point, I believe it was one time that he bought drugs. The others were bringing it back. But at that point that conspiracy is a distinct conspiracy that ended. If anything, the PA conspiracies then picked up. Those are certainly unrelated.

At that point then, you get into, we haven't even gotten to Park Heights yet at that point.

THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about, let's talk about the issue of time. I think I've already told you, and I'll hear from Mr. Harding, if not today, at the appropriate time, it's pretty clear I'm going to give a multiple conspiracy instruction, it seems to me.

2.0

2.1

The indictment says that the conspiracy existed from '94 through 2006. Twelve years. First of all, I guess my question is, have you or any counsel, if you've talked to them, given any thought to the form of the verdict sheet in this case?

MR. FLANNERY: We certainly haven't spoken to them.

THE COURT: How about you and Mr. Martin?

MR. FLANNERY: We have not, we have not discussed that particularly.

THE COURT: As you know, I'm confident you agree with this proposition, what the government's got to prove is that the dates alleged in an indictment are substantially accurate. What would, in your judgment, constitute substantially the same in respect to a 12 year conspiracy? And how do you, how do you tease out of the jury its decision as to whether there has been substantial, beyond mere instructions?

MR. FLANNERY: I think I've seen case law as low as a year, I think, as being, as being a substantial variance.

THE COURT: 8%? In other words, if the government proves that the conspiracy lasted for only 8% of the time alleged in the indictment, that that would be enough?

MR. FLANNERY: Well, I'm certainly not -- I mean, I'm not going to purport without discussing with my colleagues to simply throw out a number there. But, you know, I think when you're alleging years, so to speak, you should be pretty close to within years of the indictment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: In other words, what if a jury, what if a jury were to say, well, you know what, I'm not terribly impressed by the evidence of what was going on back in '94, '95, '96, even '97. But I think by '97, '98, these guys were really tight. Would that be sufficient? MR. FLANNERY: I would argue not. THE COURT: If the jury lopped off the first --MR. FLANNERY: Lopped off the first three years? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. FLANNERY: I don't think so, Your Honor. particularly because, I mean, I think there's, first of all, I'm not sure how much evidence there is, even going back that far, quite frankly. THE COURT: I agree with you there. MR. FLANNERY: In reading my notes. But I think the longer that it's alleged, the more it creates the inference that there was a, you know, that there was continuity. And since that's such an important principle, I would say that, you know, three years is a lot of time for the jury to simply say, well, we don't find anything for those three years, it picks up in 1997. THE COURT: But three years would only be 25% of the alleged conspiracy. MR. FLANNERY: That's a lot. THE COURT: Well, what if the government proved that a

conspiracy lasted for 12 months and the jury was only convinced

that it was in existence for 9 months? That wouldn't be a basis
for granting a motion for judgment of acquittal, would it?

MR. FLANNERY: It starts to -- the government was the

master of the indictment, as Mr. Coburn cites in his <u>Weissman</u> case. They had their shot. They made their bed. They have to sleep in it, you know. They had an opportunity to charge several different conspiracies. Probably if they would have chose to, had a chance to craft a neater indictment, should they choose to. They cast a broad net. Now they have to live with what they cast.

Our argument would be much more than getting outside, you know, the years that are alleged in the indictment. It's going to start --

THE COURT: Are you going to ask for a special interrogatory, assuming the Court submits that issue to the jury? Something like, what are the dates of the conspiracy you find proven beyond a reasonable doubt?

MR. FLANNERY: Yes.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: I'm not saying I will permit that. I'm just --

MR. FLANNERY: You're just asking.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. FLANNERY: Well, Your Honor, sounds to me like we've talked here. But I would leave you with, I think we're hard pressed to find that structure that's required for this RICO

2.0

2.1

enterprise. I think we're hard-pressed to find an ongoing organization, for one, personally, and also the continuity that's required here.

The other thing, too, I would just say very briefly is that particularly, I guess it's more an argument to Mr. Harris, is that, you know, beyond just finding the enterprise and beyond finding that pattern of racketeering activity, they still have to show that there was an agreement on his part to engage in that overall, overarching RICO conspiracy.

I certainly do want to put our argument on the record that we think McCaffity/Brown has certainly been proven to be what we would argue is really an act of personal vengeance, unrelated to any enterprise, whatever that enterprise may be, and any goal of that enterprise. That, if anything, that was Mr. Mitchell feeling a threat, making a preemptive strike at best, Your Honor. And that that's not -- an act of self-preservation, an act of desperate self preservation is not in and of itself going to be an act in furtherance of an enterprise. The government would have to show more. And I don't think that they've done.

Their theories even through the witnesses themselves are inconsistent as to what the rationale for the McCaffity/Brown murder was.

THE COURT: Well, but what do you do about purpose 4-B?

MR. FLANNERY: I'm sorry?

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: I said, what do you do for the purpose, the enterprise purpose 4-B, which reads, preserving and protecting the power, territory, and profits of the enterprise and retaliating against other individuals and organizations through the use of intimidation, violence, threats of violence, murder and murder for profit.

Now, if Mr. Mitchell -- and arguably the jury could find this -- if Mr. Mitchell was the first among equals, as in some context it said, if Mr. Mitchell gets beaten up at Hammerjacks, it's not a stretch at all for a juror to conclude, and for the government to argue, that the enterprise had to go after anybody who put Mr. Mitchell's life at risk.

And I understand your argument, that certainly the evidence can be interpreted as the McCaffity/Brown murders as being like one of the worst mistakes, having nothing whatsoever to do with anything except sort of a paranoid reaction by individuals that Mr. McCaffity was out to commit a murder.

I mean, the evidence seems to suggest that that wasn't even true. That not only should Lisa Brown not have been murdered, but McCaffity shouldn't have been murdered. I mean, that's one interpretation of the evidence.

But that's just one interpretation, it seems to me, that a reasonable juror could place on that evidence. And in light of Paragraph 4-B, another reasonable interpretation is, well, yeah, they were protecting Mitchell. And sure, there was a

2.0

2.1

personal motivation but there was also, if you will, an organizational or institutional motivation. Understanding that the institution or the organization is, as I put it, a drug gang.

MR. FLANNERY: But Your Honor, I mean, they haven't presented any evidence that Mr. McCaffity was somehow related to another organization, was, you know, out to get Mr. Mitchell and that Mr. Mitchell knew this.

THE COURT: Well, that's what I was saying. In fact, it seems to have been a mistake.

MR. FLANNERY: That what we're arguing. It was an aberration, if anything, an act of self-preservation, and that's not related to the enterprise.

There's not substantial evidence for a rational juror to make a finding that the McCaffity/Brown murder was done in any way to protect the Park Heights territory, to intimidate the Rice organization, or anything like that. There just wasn't. I don't feel there's substantial evidence for a rational juror to make that finding. If anything, there they're going to find that that, the only evidence that's been presented, you know -- one, according to Mr. Dobropolski, would be a disagreement over the rap music business. And then according to the government would be that, you know, Mr. Mitchell was worried.

THE COURT: Okay. But again, it said that part of the enterprise's purpose was to maintain and promote the rap music business.

2.0

2.1

MR. FLANNERY: Right. But that's, they're alleging was part of the enterprise. Again, that brings us back to where we started before; that they want the enterprise to be the Willie Mitchell organization and Shakedown Entertainment. That's what they would like the enterprise to be. And that's what they want the jury to believe, that that's, in fact, the enterprise, that it's the Willie Mitchell criminal organization, now dubbed the Randallstown/Park Heights organization.

THE COURT: Or as I put it, a drug gang.

MR. FLANNERY: Or a drug gang without any evidence of any type of structure outside of the necessary association to commit the acts of drug distribution, distinct conspiracies in and of themselves, and any of the murders that are alleged here.

Again, Your Honor, I mean, a drug conspiracy, Count Eight, is not synonymous with a RICO enterprise.

THE COURT: Of course not.

MR. FLANNERY: They're different. And they have to substantially prove that those are, in fact, different and they have to provide that type of structure.

Your Honor, if this is a RICO enterprise, anything is a RICO enterprise. Any four individuals who commit crimes together is a RICO enterprise. At some point there has to be a real thing known as an enterprise. There just isn't here. They haven't provided substantial evidence that these four individuals, aside from the crimes they've committed, constitute any type of

identifiable structure or organization. They just haven't.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Flannery?

MR. FLANNERY: I think that's it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Pyne?

MR. KURLAND: I think they want me to go next.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kurland.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, I had a slightly different take on some of the interpretations of the evidence and the legal principles.

The first thing that I would like to say is with respect to the argument that Mr. Flannery made on Count Eight, we'd adopt that with just a couple of slight modifications or additions. The first is that part of the issue with respect to whether special interrogatories would be necessary, assuming the case gets that far, would all, would depend on the specifics of the Court's instruction on multiple conspiracies because a thorough multiple conspiracy instruction would give the jury the opportunity to simply just acquit on the grounds that if it found different conspiracies other than the one charged, that to some extent addresses the Court's concern or partially addresses the Court's concern about what to do with respect to the dates.

So one of the things that I'm going to be thinking about, assuming this motion is not granted in full, is the interplay between the multiple conspiracy instruction, which certainly should be given here, in addition to whatever special

2.0

2.1

interrogatory proposed instruction would go to, would go to the scope.

Now, with respect to -- so other than that, we'll submit on the arguments with respect to Count Eight.

Now, Count One, obviously, is different. And it's our position that for the Court to throw out Count One, at least with respect to Gardner, it really is a legal issue as to whether or not what's been established here, given all the benefits in favor of the government and the interpretations of the evidence, which is the Rule 29 standard, is whether or not there's effectively been a fatal variance. If there's a fatal variance as a matter of law as to what the evidence proves, given all, you know, interpreting the evidence in the light most favorable to the government, the Court should grant the Rule 29 motion, if, as a matter of law, there's been a fatal variance.

Looking at Count One, the government hasn't alleged a Count One RICO conspiracy as synonymous with a drug organization. They could have done that. And as Mr. Flannery pointed out, the government, the government has chosen to indict this case in this peculiar manner and they need to be held to that.

With respect to that purpose of the enterprise 4-D, one of the purposes listed is this maintaining and promoting the rap music business in which members and associates were involved, including Shakedown Entertainment.

Now, that, two things that are critical with respect to

2.0

2.1

that, Your Honor. The first is that that language, it doesn't say some of. It's absolute. That all members and associates, and I believe that's a fair reading of the indictment.

THE COURT: It's a reading that I absolutely categorically reject. I mean, you can certainly argue that to the jury.

MR. KURLAND: I understand that. I understand that. Even if the Court rejects that, that simply means all members were involved, I want to understand it isn't just the members, it's the associates, anybody tangentially related as to what the law would permit.

But even if the Court rejects the argument that as a matter of that includes everyone, there's no question that the manner in which the government has tried this case, the focus on Shakedown Entertainment has been central to the Count One RICO enterprise. It simply, and this is where, I don't know if the judge was just trying, you were being just kind of just general in making an observation, but it is not synonymous to say that the Count One enterprise as alleged could have just as easily been or synonymous with a drug gang, because this is far more then a drug gang.

Central to the government's proof in this case was this tie to Shakedown. The first murder --

THE COURT: Well, the tie is set out in Paragraph
Three, sub three, or Paragraph Three. It says that the

enterprise consists of a group of individuals and an entity associated in fact. That entity is Shakedown.

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: Well, no, I understand that.

THE COURT: Okay. So Shakedown Limited is associated with the enterprise.

MR. KURLAND: That's right. But my, but my argument is that given the centrality of Shakedown, and again, if you look back it's conceivable on this evidence to come to the conclusion that the first murder, that the McCaffity/Brown murder was, was, as we just talked about before, was a fall out of a rap music dispute. That was, Dobropolski testified to that. There was other evidence testified. That the fight came out of the incident at Hammerjacks in which they were jockeying --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know when a rap music dispute it. The evidence is that there was a rap music celebration, a party, whatever, and the fight between Mr. Mitchell and others arose out of something that happened or didn't happen at Hammerjacks. Now, the fact that --

MR. KURLAND: Judge, there's some evidence, certainly, it's fair to make the argument that there's some evidence, and I think this is the government's theory even based on what they said in their opening statement, that it arose out of some jockeying for position to try to get a rap music contract or something along those lines. But there's some connection with respect to that murder.

But in any event, there is no evidence in this record that Shawn Gardner had anything to do with any aspect of Shakedown Entertainment.

THE COURT: And because, and because I have rejected your reading of 4-D, that's irrelevant.

MR. KURLAND: Well, Your Honor --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: My take -- let me be very clear because I think you preserved the record. The fact that Gardner and perhaps Martin had nothing to do with Shakedown in terms of performing or playing music, I mean, they could care less about Shakedown. The alleged centrality of Shakedown to Count One, simply, I don't care. It doesn't matter to me.

MR. KURLAND: Well, I would suggest, I won't suggest, I would argue, I will argue, Your Honor, that with respect to the stated purpose of the enterprise and the manner in which the government has tried this case, that that creates, that because there's evidence or there's no evidence that Mr. Gardner has anything to do with that, that that's a fatal variance as a matter of law and that should be the Court's grounds to throw out Count One as to Mr. Gardner.

It's certainly an argument available for the jury for them to argue, if the Court doesn't throw it out --

THE COURT: Sure, you can argue that.

MR. KURLAND: But again, for the purposes of Rule 29, it's our position that the centrality of the focus on Shakedown,

2.0

2.1

which after all, and this is all with a legal argument to make here and also will be a factual argument to the jury, the real enterprise -- and this picks up on what Mr. Flannery said -- the real enterprise that's been proven, if anything, is Shakedown.

But the government didn't indict it that way. What the government really has done in this case is put on, has put on two, three, evidence of two, three, four conspiracies.

But at some point you have all this stuff that goes on up till 1999, of which most of the defendants are convicted of and sentenced for most of the drug offenses. They kind of paint this broad brush between '94 and '99. Then you really have a spasm of violent activity in a five week period between February and March, the two double murders, and then arguably, and I'll get to that in a minute, there's no question with respect to the Spence murder, but you really have a spasm of violent activity that covers a five to maybe eight week period, which would have made this a two week trial.

But the government, for a variety of reasons, and they have to be held to it, has decided to allege a conspiracy that spans 12 years, including a lot of stuff that has absolutely nothing to do with, with any real conspiracy here.

The Pennsylvania example is a perfect example. There was evidence of Mr. Mitchell being involved in some Pennsylvania conspiracy in Altoona. There is nothing, contrary to what Mr. Flannery said, there is no evidence that Mr. Gardner had anything

2.0

2.1

to do with anything in Pennsylvania, save for one line from Mr. Hayes when he said something about, Pennsylvania is sweet. That was it.

And then somebody opined, whatever, that might have been a reference to something else. But there's zero evidence that any of these defendants other than Mr. Mitchell have anything to do with the three or four days of testimony that we heard about Pennsylvania.

Be that as it may, with respect to the RICO count, the centrality of the manner in which the government has indicted this case and has prosecuted the case means that if there's no connection to Shakedown, which is really the only enterprise that has been established, and proving the enterprise of Shakedown with organizational structure and that these alleged racketeering acts have to do with even robbing drug dealers or killing drug dealers to fund Shakedown, I concede, I acknowledge there's evidence in the record that supports that. But that isn't what Count One alleges. And it should be an issue as a matter of law, independent of whether or not it's also an issue for the jury.

With respect to right now, the government, adopting all evidence and all inferences in favor of the government, the government has not proved that Gardner is a member of the enterprise as alleged in Count One.

Now, I understand the Court's position that the, that the Court says, well, the Court's not going to read D as the way

I do. And I appreciate that. But the separate related issue has to do with the centrality of that. And it's our position as a matter of law that if a defendant isn't related to number D, given the way the government has put on the case, that that means that they're not part of this conspiracy. And it's not fair to say, it's not like, what's that wrong I was pronouncing wrong. Suplussage (sic) or whatever.

THE COURT: Surplusage.

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: I never get that one right. But it's not like you can just scratch out D and say that, well, that's just surplusage, whatever the word is -- I'll have to work on that before the next time.

THE COURT: Counsel was saying surplusages, Madam Court Reporter, Ms. Zajac.

MR. KURLAND: I've had a problem with that for years. But in any event, it's not like you can just scratch out D and say, well, because that changes the entire nature of what this trial would have been about. And the cases that we cite, we argue that that's fundamentally essentially a fatal variance, which the Court can rule on as a matter of law and throw out Count One.

The only other counts that I would like to speak to, I just want to amplify, I don't want to repeat what's in the written motion, and that has to do with the, that has to do with the Spence homicide, which we argue as a matter of law no

2.0

2.1

reasonable jury could find, even adopting all inferences in favor of the government, that that event had anything to do with maintaining one's position in the charged enterprise. We think that no rational jury can find, given the inconsistent statements made under oath, which as a matter of law can be considered as substantive evidence as well, no rational jury could find on the evidence that the, that Mr. Gardner's motivation was to pay for Mr. Martin's lawyer.

That evidence, I understand that there's evidence in the record, but there's also contrary evidence in the record of equal, of equal substantive weight. And therefore no rational jury could find that. Therefore, that uncouples that killing, that murder from having any connection at all with anybody else.

There's abundant evidence that the motivation for the Spence robbery had to do with, you know, initially, if somebody's approaching or there's a conflict as to who approached who. But initially, you know, Mr. Montgomery is thinking about, you know, killing Jamane Johnson, which turns into a kind of a revenge killing because it's tied into, you know, infidelity of Tonya Spence and so on and so forth. That has nothing to do with maintaining anybody's position in the charged enterprise.

So those counts have really nothing to do with this, with this case at all. And again, I understand that there is evidence in the record, based on what Montgomery said and what Reynolds said. But as I've pointed out, they have equal

2.0

2.1

inconsistent statement made under oath. That goes to the RICO case.

That even if there is, even if the Court ruled that there was sufficient evidence to say that Montgomery was part of the conspiracy, to having that statement as a coconspirator statement, there's a huge difference between sufficiency for evidentiary purposes and for sufficiency as a matter of law. Both of the Rule 29 standard, and obviously if it gets to the jury.

But as a matter of law, this should never even be a jury issue. As a matter of law that evidence needs to be rejected and that there should be judgments of acquittal entered on all counts which relate to the Spence homicide because, again, we're not trying a state murder homicide here. That homicide has to be done in a manner that is done to maintain or increase one's position in the charged enterprise.

THE COURT: Well, as you know, Mr. Kurland, from my ruling on the Motion to Dismiss counts, which I granted in part, I am really quite troubled, "troubled" is too strong a word, I guess, but I just don't know about these 1959(a) counts. I mean, it's indicted in the language of the statute but, for example, clearly, with respect to Count Seven, there's no promise or agreement to pay a thing of pecuniary value from the Randallstown/Park Heights organization.

MR. KURLAND: Zero. There is zero evidence.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: So I'm not sure, frankly, how to instruct the jury on that. I anticipate that the government's going to argue that Mr. Gardner aided and abetted that murder because I think it's undisputed that he was not the shooter, correct?

MR. KURLAND: No. Well, the evidence that has been presented to this jury, it is undisputed --

THE COURT: That Holly was the shooter.

MR. KURLAND: Yes. Now, the government might argue that Montgomery said that he carried, you know, the gun out that was the murder weapon. But the bottom line is, given the way that these guns are musical chairs, there is no evidence, and it would be improper for the government to argue, and I don't believe they will, it would be improper for the government to argue that there's any evidence in the record that Mr. Gardner was the shooter, if he was involved at all.

THE COURT: So I mean, I assume the linchpin of that count is maintaining and increasing his position in the enterprise.

MR. KURLAND: Yes. Judge, one other thing. If it's conjunctive, we get a judgment of acquittal as a matter of law. That's the other argument I forgot to make. The way it's indicted, the "and" --

THE COURT: Well, it's not, you indict it in the conjunctive but the jury can consider it in the disjunctive.

MR. KURLAND: I tried.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: Again, so even in the disjunctive, it's our position that as a matter of law, recognizing, again, the difference between the Court's evidentiary rulings which went against us, to at least having it considered, if you look at the Orrico case, it talks about sort of the inverse situation where the government relies on an inconsistent statement that is inconsistent with the in-court trial testimony, but both of them are admissible as substantive evidence.

And the court in <u>Orrico</u> says that as a matter of law, just because there's evidentiary sufficiency to get it admitted, it doesn't mean that it's sufficient as a matter of law. That certainly is going to be an argument that we make to the jury if it gets that far.

But we would also argue that with respect to the flimsy, slender read of evidence that even makes up the argument that Gardner did this to maintain and increase his position in the Randallstown/Park Heights organization, one, we would argue that he's not part of that organization for the reasons we said before. But number two, that based on the evidentiary record and all the factual conflicts up to whether or not it's to pay for Martin's lawyer or not, and all the ways in which the witnesses who testified to that were both impeached, not just as impeachment evidence, but impeached with statements that can be admissible as substantive evidence, even adopting all inferences

2.0

2.1

in favor of the government, no rational jury could conclude that Gardner's role in that was to maintain and increase his position.

And we'd ask for a judgment of acquittal on those grounds.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kurland.

MR. KURLAND: I'm sorry. One other thing. The government's proposed instruction -- and this just kind of highlights that issue and the number one issue -- the government's proposed instruction that we object to because we think it's incomplete, but even the government's proposed instruction 18, association with the enterprise says, and a person can't be associated with or employed by an enterprise if he doesn't know of the enterprise's existence or of the nature of its activities. Thus, in order to prove this element, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt --

THE COURT: Slow down, please.

MR. KURLAND: I'm sorry. That thus in order to prove this element, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was connected to the enterprise in some meaningful way and that the defendant knew of the existence of the enterprise and of the general nature of its activities.

Now, we object to this instruction as not being, as being too vague in general. But even taking this language to amplify the point that this is not just a drug gang. The general nature of the activities as indicted by the government is integrally related to Shakedown. And even if there's the tie

2.0

2.1

between robbing drug dealers to fund Shakedown, because there is evidence of that, that goes to the, even under this instruction, that goes to the core of the general natures of the activity of the enterprise as indicted by the government in Superseding Indictment Number Four, Mr. Gardner doesn't fit that definition as a matter of law.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kurland. Mr. Crowe, I assume you'll be concomitantly brief. It's nearly 10 of 12. I think I'll excuse the jury for lunch and we'll continue this after lunch.

MS. RHODES: Your Honor, can we get their answer because I need to contact --

THE COURT: That's what I'm going to do. Bring the jury out, please.

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Don't get comfortable, ladies and gentlemen. Good morning. My consultations with counsel have lasted longer than I anticipated. You're not surprised by that, I'm sure. So I decided to release you a little early for lunch, and frankly, to give you a slightly longer lunch. You've certainly earned it.

But we're going to be under way fully this afternoon. We've got defense witnesses ready to go. But rather than keep you waiting, and we haven't had a break since you took your break. So I really do need to give Ms. Zajac, the court

reporter, a break, and counsel as well.

2.0

2.1

So I'm going to excuse you now for lunch. It's about almost 5 of 12. Please leave your note pads on your chairs.

You're excused until 2:15 this afternoon.

Please have no discussion about the case or any of the evidence you've heard so far. Enjoy your lunch. The jury's excused until 2:15 this afternoon.

MS. RHODES: Your Honor, I meant are you going to ask

THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm sorry. Thank you for reminding me, Ms. Rhodes. Do we have any problem with being together on Monday and Tuesday of next week?

THE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Excellent. Excellent. Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen. I think this will be for the benefit of all of us. Thank you.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Thanks for the reminder, Ms. Rhodes. All right. Counsel, we will stand in recess until, please be back at 1:30. What I anticipate is that we will, I'll hear from Mr. Crowe and briefly from Mr. Lawlor or Ms. Rhodes, and then I'll hear from the government. And then hopefully by 2:00 or 2:15, I told the jury, Mr. Martin will be standing there making his opening statement. And then we'll get through the defense witnesses who are here today. We're in recess until 1:30.

(Recess at 11:55 a.m.)

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Mr. Crowe.

MR. CROWE: Your Honor, as the Court predicted before we broke, I certainly hope to be very brief on this.

The principal arguments that we have to make, and those are on the substantive counts, are contained in the written memorandum that we filed, which I expect that the Court has reviewed.

In going over this, we felt that it was probably best to break down the counts of the indictment into the two conspiracy counts with which Mr. Martin was charged, which are One being the RICO conspiracy and, Eight, the drug conspiracy. Then Five and Six, which are the murder counts for Darryl and Anthony Wyche, which are entirely dependent on a RICO enterprise. And then the firearms counts, which are 12 through 14, each of which require proof by the government that Mr. Martin possessed the firearm in furtherance of the murders of Darryl and Anthony Wyche. And of course, there are aiding and abetting charges on all the substantive counts as well.

Our feeling is that, in terms of the murders, and that really affects all of these counts, there's been no substantial evidence offered to show that Mr. Martin participated in any of these. There is speculation. There's some innuendo. But there really is no proof. And I am confident that if Mr. Martin were on trial for simply murder in state court, that there's little

question that the motions for judgment of acquittal would be granted on those.

2.0

2.1

The government's evidence, as I understand it, is based upon telephone, a couple of telephone conversations which occurred on March 24, 2002, the day before the Wyches' murders, some instances where Mr. Mitchell tried to call my client later that day and in the early evening.

It's also based, as I understand it, on the, what are very, very, very tentative identifications of my client's voice on the voice mail message that went into Ms. Magginson's cell phone, and upon a couple of references to Weaze in the CD's, which Mr. Harris composed and sang. We feel that that evidence is very, very speculative.

This is a somewhat unusual case in that, really, the guts of the alibi defense has come out in the government's case, even to the extent that the government has produced on the stand what it says is a copy of the charge slip which my client signed 9:41, the evening before, evening before the murder when my client said that he was in the movie with Lakeisha McCoy.

And Detective Niedermeier further described what information he had obtained from Ms. McCoy and the fact that it was consistent with the information that Mr. Martin had provided. And that in substance is that they went to the movie, the movie started at 10:00, that thereafter they went home, and that they, and that Ms. McCoy stayed the night at my client's home at Two

Cree Court.

2.0

2.1

That, we would submit to you, is evidence which is part of the government's case and certainly justifies granting the motion for judgment of acquittal.

There are any number of minor points which I could make. Certainly significant among them is the fact that my client, like virtually everybody else in this case, is an individual who used his own cell phone. If the court has in front of it Government's Exhibit 66, which is the principal chart of the telephone calls, it will see that the phone calls on March 24th in which my client was involved were all the 1933 number, which was a cell phone which is registered to his home.

There were no phone calls that were accepted between 9:51 and 11:37. And 11:37, apparently, there was one fairly short telephone conversation with Mr. Gardner, and nothing after that. We would submit that there is essentially nothing which connects Mr. Martin with either of the murders. And indeed, we submit that all the government is left with is a lot of conjecture and speculation.

With respect to the other counts, I think other counsel have made the arguments very well as to why, as to Count One, there is no RICO enterprise alleged. As to Count One, why, if there is any conspiracy, and we would submit that there is not, it is not the continuing, ongoing conspiracy which persisted for the entire period or a period substantially related to the 12

year period charged in Count One.

2.0

2.1

And again, for Count Eight, which is the drug conspiracy, there is nothing, there simply isn't any evidence of a single continuing conspiracy which persisted for ten years, or anything even, even close to that period of time.

I would note, different lawyers have come up with different views as to how many conspiracies there might be in this thing. I have to confess every too time I go over it, I come up with, I come up with a different number myself.

The jury could clearly find that something was going on between Mr. Gardner and my client back, back in the mid '90s. I think it could clearly come to the determination that Mr. Mitchell had something going on all by himself in 2000, up in Altoona, Pennsylvania. But I don't see anything which really connects all of those matters.

I think the arguments that, and I know the Court has discounted this, but certainly the notion that the McCaffity/Brown murder fit into any sort of a pattern on this is, it just isn't warranted.

People went to a night club. A fight broke out at a night club, for whatever reason. According to the government's theory, Mr. Mitchell became convinced that there was a hit out on him. And in essentially what was an act of self-protection, their theory is that McCaffity was murdered and Lisa Brown was unfortunately there at the time that it happened.

2.0

2.1

I don't see that that has anything to do with any of the purposes of the conspiracy, which are in the lettered subparagraphs under Paragraph Four of the fourth superseding indictment.

As to the Spence matter, I agree completely with Mr. Kurland. That is a matter which is really sui generis, and there's no way that that can be connected.

With respect to my client, I think the Court also has to take into consideration that he, and I think Mr., same is true as Mr. Gardner for substantially the same period of time, my client is in jail between June 18, 1999, when he is arrested in the city on the gun charge by Detective Willard, Richard Willard, as I recall. It morphs into a federal felon in possession charge. And he doesn't get out until sometime until March of 2002.

As I say, there are any number of ways you can put this together. I think the government has essentially taken a bunch of dots on the paper and drawn artificial lines between them.

We certainly think that my client should be acquitted at this point of all of the substantive counts. And we also feel that he, motion for judgment of acquittal would be appropriate on the two conspiracies with which he's charged. The RICO conspiracy, because, number one, there was no RICO enterprise. And number two, if there were, there is no continuing, ongoing conspiracy for any period of time which is reasonably related to

1 that charge in the superseding indictment. And we've made 2 essentially the same argument with respect to the drug thing. 3 There are a couple of other things that I think --THE COURT: Mr. Crowe, is there actual affirmative 4 evidence in the record of the dates of incarceration of Mr. 5 6 Martin? 7 MR. CROWE: He was certainly arrested on June 18th. 8 Mr. Pyne -- Mr. Pyne told me today that it was his recollection that he had elicited from Detective Benson while he was on the 9 10 stand that my client was in jail for those periods of time. 11 know that Mr. Harding said it in his opening statement. 12 THE COURT: That he was incarcerated? MR. CROWE: He was incarcerated from the time he was 13 14 arrested on the handgun charge until he got out after serving the federal time. 15 16 THE COURT: Do we know when he got out? I mean, is 17 there evidence? 18 MR. CROWE: The testimony, as I understand it, is that he was released to the halfway house in November. 19 2.0 THE COURT: Of '01? 2.1 November of '01. And I know I heard MR. CROWE: 22 Detective Benson say today on the stand that he thought March 7 23 of 2002 was reasonably close to the time he understood my client

had been released from VOA. And we think March 7 is actually the

precise date. But we're pretty close there.

24

25

1 So why would -- well, this is apropos of THE COURT: 2 nothing particularly. Why would Mitchell have been calling him repeatedly within the hour or two before the Wyche brothers get 3 4 offed? MR. CROWE: I don't know, but if the Court would take, 5 6 if the Court also has with it, I don't have the exhibit number, 7 the chart that they had of the four individuals. You can see 8 that for a period of months there were a lot of telephone 9 conversations exchanged between them. They show 169 phone calls 10 in a period of what appears to be a month and a half between 11 February 16 of 2002 and April 1 of 2002. 12 THE COURT: So even while your client's in the 13 Volunteers of America. 14 MR. CROWE: It appears that during part of the time 15 when my client was in the Volunteers of America, he was having 16 telephone conversations with Mr. Mitchell. I cannot tell you --17 THE COURT: Are people at VOA allowed to have cell 18 phones? 19 MR. CROWE: Beats me. I don't know. 2.0 THE COURT: That would be rather shocking, I think. 2.1 Well, maybe not shocking. MR. CROWE: But, you know, if he had an illegal cell 22 23 phone and if he were making phone calls, if we posit that, that 24 doesn't add much, doesn't add much to the murder charges.

THE COURT: Well, it adds an awful lot to his

25

2.0

2.1

non-withdrawal. If the jury were to find that he was in a conspiracy at the time he was arrested back in '99, that would be very powerful evidence that he never withdraw from the conspiracy.

MR. CROWE: Just the fact that one has telephone conversations of undetermined substance with somebody who was previously a conspirator, I would submit, doesn't really show much that you're still part, you're still part of an agreement to participate in a criminal enterprise.

And with respect to that, remember Mr. Reynolds's testimony when he advised to my client at VOA. My client didn't seem to know what he was going to do next. And Reynolds said he certainly didn't have, he certainly didn't say that he had this ongoing enterprise that he was just going to drop back and rejoin.

THE COURT: The phone chart that we just referred to, are the underlying toll records in the record for all those calls?

MR. CROWE: I believe they are, but I will tell you, I certainly have not checked them. I think Mr. Pyne may have. Mr. Pyne is nodding yes, they are.

THE COURT: Okay. So the jury could look at and see exactly when Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Martin were in contact between February 16th and April the 2nd.

MR. CROWE: They could. Again, I'm not sure how many

of those would be connected phone calls or things that went into voice mail.

THE COURT: I understand that. But just, I mean, the idea that Martin serves a couple of years on a gun charge, gets released to a halfway house here in Baltimore, and while he's there, either legally or improperly with a cell phone, he's in contact with Mr. Mitchell, would say a lot about his participation in the conspiracy. And then, of course, I mean, he's the last person Mr. Mitchell called before he made the final contact, that is Mr. Mitchell made the final contact with Mr. Wyche.

MR. CROWE: Well, he never spoke to Mr. Martin on that occasion.

THE COURT: Well --

2.0

2.1

MR. CROWE: Those are all voice mail phone calls.

THE COURT: We could infer, I guess, if you're right, and I'm sure you are, he didn't talk to him on the phone. But if he left him a voice mail as to where to meet him, or what was going down, I don't see why a jury couldn't draw those inferences.

MR. CROWE: Well, the evidence that we have is that there was, the evidence that we have is that the last connected phone call between Mr. Mitchell and my client, on Government Exhibit 66, is the one in the series of phone calls which is number eight on that. And that is a connected phone call for 48

```
1
       seconds on 9:34. That thereafter at 10:36, 10:38, 10:42, 10:43
 2
       -- no, I'm sorry, at 10:38 and 10:39, there are calls that go
 3
       into voice mail. The testimony that we've gotten --
                             There's a connected call at 11:35.
 4
                 THE COURT:
 5
                 MR. CROWE: Pardon?
 6
                 THE COURT: There's a connected call between Mr.
 7
      Mitchell and Mr. Martin at 11:35.
 8
                 MR. CROWE: No, there is not, Your Honor.
 9
                 THE COURT: I'm looking right at it. I mean --
10
                             That may be in the earlier version of the
                 MR. CROWE:
11
       chart. The early version of the chart we got showed a connected
12
       phone call between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Martin at 11:36, which
13
      was a minute and 22 seconds. Is that what the Court has?
14
                 THE COURT: Yes.
15
                 MR. CROWE: The actual exhibit which was, we brought
16
       this to Mr. Benson's attention and he went back and he amended
17
       that. And the official Government Exhibit 66 shows that that was
18
       a voice mail phone call.
19
                 THE COURT: For a minute and 22 seconds?
2.0
                 MR. CROWE: That's -- yeah, for 82 seconds.
2.1
                 THE COURT: Okay. Which suggests that Mr. Mitchell
22
       left a rather detailed message.
23
                 MR. CROWE: May or may not have.
24
                 THE COURT: Well, of course, may or may not have.
25
       I'm just talking about what a jury could reasonably infer.
```

2.0

2.1

MR. CROWE: I think this goes beyond, I don't think this is inference. I think this is speculation at this point.

And again, you know, Mr. Mitchell calls, Mr. Mitchell calls other times and Mr. Martin never picks them up.

There are subsequent phone calls after that from Mr. Mitchell to Mr. Martin and Mr. Martin doesn't pick them up.

What the jury could equally infer is that Mr. Martin wasn't particularly interested in talking to Mr. Mitchell that night, probably because his girlfriend was with him. It seems to me that's a much more logical inference the jury could draw.

THE COURT: If Martin's in the movies at 11:37, it would make sense for Mr. Mitchell to leave a rather detailed voice mail.

MR. CROWE: You know, what we do know is that, actually, there was a connected phone call between Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin shown as two minutes. I'm not sure, I guess it could be anything from essentially 61 seconds up to a full two minutes. But there's no, there's no conversation between him and Mitchell. That is not something -- we don't feel that that is damning evidence. Quite to the contrary. We think that it shows that my client was not particularly interested in speaking to Mr. Mitchell.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CROWE: Your Honor, there are a couple of other things. Fourth Circuit cases, I've seen cases where motions for

2.0

2.1

severance have been denied because counsel haven't renewed them during the course of the trial. Just out of an abundance of caution, we would renew our motion for severance or, in the alternative, for mistrial, at least on the bases that my client was not allowed to elicit from Detective Niedermeier that, in the statement that he gave, he said that one of the voices on the voice mail recorded by Ms. Magginson's cell phone might have been Mr. Mitchell.

We think that's evidence, that's evidence, clearly evidence that he was not involved in this matter because if he were, why would, why would he identify Mr. Mitchell?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm missing that. Say that again.

MR. CROWE: I haven't had much luck in that the previous time. I realize that.

THE COURT: No. I just want to make sure I understand.

MR. CROWE: We were not allowed to elicit from

Detective Niedermeier that when he talked with may client, my

client said, on April 17, that my client said when he listened to

the Irene Magginson voice mail, that one of those voices sounded

like Mitchell.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CROWE: We think that's inconsistent with participation in this conspiracy.

THE COURT: Okay. I remember. I remember.

1 MR. CROWE: And I believe that's evidence that clearly 2 would have come in had there not been a joint trial. 3 There were also, there was also a portion of Mr. 4 Niedermeier's notes --5 THE COURT: How would it have come in had there not 6 been a joint trial? 7 MR. CROWE: Pardon? 8 THE COURT: How would there, how would Martin's 9 statement to Detective Niedermeier that one of the voices could 10 have been that of Mr. Mitchell have been admissible over a 11 hearsay objection if counsel for Mr. Martin, were he tried by 12 himself, attempted to elicit that testimony from Detective Niedermeier? 13 14 MR. CROWE: Because of the fact that the important 15 thing was that Mr. Martin had said it and he would not have said 16 it had he and Mr. Mitchell been involved in, in the Wyche 17 murders. 18 THE COURT: So you're saying it's a statement not 19 against interest? 2.0 MR. CROWE: It is a --2.1 THE COURT: I don't think that's the exception. 22 MR. CROWE: It is a statement which serves to flesh out 23 the whole of the statement which he gave to Mr. Niedermeier. And 24 Mr. Niedermeier was allowed to testify about some parts of it but

25

not about other parts of it.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.1

MR. CROWE: And I would point out, Your Honor, that perhaps a little bit unusually in this case, that essentially the alibi, the alibi testimony came out in the government's direct case, which was, it's an unusual feature. I was happy to see it happen.

THE COURT: The government gets to, with some constraints, gets to pick and choose among the admissions that it chooses to put into evidence. But that doesn't open the door either under the doctrine of completeness or on some newly crafted hearsay exception exculpatory testimony which is not testimony from a defendant. Just because he said it to a police officer doesn't make it admissible.

MR. CROWE: But it's a statement which is inconsistent, which is inconsistent with guilt. Why would, you know, if you participated in murdering, murdering a couple of brothers with Mr. Mitchell, why would you want to pin the tail on Mr. Mitchell?

THE COURT: There's just no hearsay exception called statement inconsistent with guilt. There's no such exception to the hearsay rule.

MR. CROWE: Okay. We would also move on this basis, on Trooper Wooden's extensive testimony on the June 18 -- sorry, not the June 18 stop.

THE COURT: I confess, I still haven't listened to that. I certainly, Ms. Zajac has given me quite a number of

transcripts, but I don't think that's one of them. But I will go back and look at that before all is said and done.

MR. CROWE: That was the May, 1999, I-95 stop.

THE COURT: Yeah. I remember it. You and Mr. Pyne are going to have to decide whether you want me to mention that specifically in my instructions or whether you're more comfortable letting sleeping dogs lie.

MR. CROWE: I remember the Court gave us that option.

THE COURT: Yeah.

2.0

2.1

MR. CROWE: And finally, as I recall, there was a brief mention, over objection, in Mr. Dobropolski's testimony that Mitchell was going to be okay because a guy that was locked up with him for the murders, who the jury now certainly knows is only Mr. Martin, who's going to take the charge.

In addition, Your Honor, the Court had invited us to move to have a particular government exhibit which it had provisionally admitted stricken if the government did not tie that up. That was a letter that was seized from my client's house in the April 17 search. It was a letter from a fellow by the name of Dirty to Nephew. The government has not tied that up. It has not shown that the Dirty is, is this fellow Watson. And we would ask to have that stricken and we would ask to have the Court tell the jury that there was one exhibit that was, that there was one paper that was taken from Mr. Martin's house that really doesn't have anything to do with the case that they'd

```
1
      heard about, but it's no longer in evidence.
 2
                            What did the letter say?
                 THE COURT:
 3
                 MR. CROWE: I've got a copy of it. I can't --
 4
                 THE COURT: Can I take a look?
 5
                 MR. CROWE: Yes. You certainly may. It's, according
 6
       to my notes, it's Government's Exhibit W-5K.
 7
                 MR. HARDING: Judge, we'll agree to withdraw the
 8
       letter.
 9
                 THE COURT: All right. So it's withdrawn.
10
                 So really the question -- you can give it back to Mr.
11
       Crowe. The question now, Mr. Crowe, is my guess is the jury's
12
       not going to remember it. They're not going to ask for it. It
13
      might be best just to let it go. Or if, instead, you and Mr.
14
       Pyne want me to in effect remind the jury of the letter and tell
       them they're not going to get it, I'll do whichever one you
15
16
      prefer.
17
                             That's fine. We'll get something into
                 MR. CROWE:
18
       writing to the Court, I would like to say tomorrow. I think
19
       Saturday or Sunday is probably more --
2.0
                 THE COURT: That's fine.
2.1
                 MR. CROWE:
                             Thank you.
22
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Lawlor.
23
                 MR. LAWLOR: Your Honor, I can candidly tell the Court
24
       I have nothing intelligent to add to this debate. With that,
       with the Court's permission, I would simply, pursuant to Rule 29,
25
```

1 move for judgment of acquittal as to all counts against Mr. 2 Mitchell and adopt the arguments that have been made orally and 3 in writing by my codefendants. Thank you, Mr. Lawlor. 4 THE COURT: 5 MR. LAWLOR: On top of that, Your Honor, I would also, 6 like Mr. Crowe just did, renew our motions for severance on the 7 grounds previously stated. 8 THE COURT: All right. So noted. Mr. Harding? MR. HARDING: Judge, I do want to respond to Mr. 9 10 Crowe's statements just now. But let me ask the Court if there 11 are issues you are especially interested in having the government 12 address. Mr. Hanlon is prepared to address some issues and I'm 13 prepared to address others. 14 THE COURT: Actually, you know, I would. Could I have 15 your stipulation, please, with the drug reports? 16 MR. HARDING: I put it up on Ms. Arrington's --17 THE COURT: Let me have that, please. 18 Could you just really, literally, the redacted Reader's Digest version of your closing argument. How do you tie it all 19 2.0 together? I guess I'm really unclear about some of the pre, I 2.1 quess, pre-1999 conspiracy evidence. 22 MR. HARDING: Okay. Well --23 THE COURT: And the reason I asked for this exhibit,

because I thought it might help me frame -- we have Mr. Gardner

and Mr. Martin in October of '96 with marijuana. We have Mr.

24

25

2.0

2.1

Martin in January, '97 with cocaine. And we have Gardner in September, '97 with heroin. We have Gardner and Bacon in October of '98 with heroin. And we've got Harris in '98 with cocaine.

And then we've got Gardner and Martin in May, '99, and Mitchell in January, 2000 -- what ties all of that together?

MR. HARDING: Going back to the mid '90s, Your Honor.

Of course, Mr. Harris isn't part of the enterprise.

THE COURT: Yeah. When does, by the way, when does Harris, in the government's submission, join in?

MR. HARDING: End of 2000, when he is in Hickey School with Mr. Mitchell. Mr. Mitchell was employed there, you recall, from October to December of 2000. And so it must have been in that two month period that Mr. Harris hooked up with him, and according to all the accounts we've heard in the evidence, became very tight with Mr. Mitchell.

Going back to 1994, Your Honor, I was happy to see that Mr. Harris's Rule 29 motion acknowledged that the government had established a drug conspiracy between Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner, and Mr. Mitchell from 1994 to 2000. And the facts are, as we heard from Mr. Bacon and from Mr. Reynolds most recently, going back even before 1994, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin were very actively distributing drugs, as you heard, in southwest Baltimore.

THE COURT: What was the Catonsville piece? Do you remember? I remember the photograph in Catonsville.

MR. HARDING: Well, Catonsville lasted a long time,
Your Honor. Mr. Montgomery worked for Goo in Catonsville in the
period of around 1997, thereabouts. 1997, 1998. And then Aaron
Holly took his place. And both of them continued to supply Aaron
Holly on Winters Lane in Catonsville.

THE COURT: Both Mr. --

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: Both Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Gardner supplied Mr. Holly in Catonsville. And this actually brings up an important point.

These guys morphed into wholesalers, except for Mr. Harris. Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin, and Mr. Mitchell, all of them became wholesalers, which meant that they didn't operate as if they had street organizations. Defense counsel keep trying to ram this little clique of guys into their preconceived notion of a retail street distribution organization. In fact, they were a coalition of wholesalers. They had their own little shops scattered around Baltimore. They had the guys they distributed to.

Mr. Gardner, in addition to having the guy in Catonsville, he had Avon in Park Heights and somebody else in southwest Baltimore, and an operation in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, according to Mr. Bacon.

And Mr. Mitchell had Mr. Harris, whom he supplied in Park Heights, but he was also arrested while he was standing in southwest Baltimore on one occasion in 2000, also. He was being

2.0

2.1

supplied by Darryl Wyche from the mid, from 1997, '98 on. That's when the earliest supplying by Darryl Wyche is, according to the testimony that we have.

He then sets up this operation in Altoona,

Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania operations are no different from
the standpoint of this conspiracy than Avon in Park Heights and
Mr. Holly in Catonsville. They're just suppliers. They're just
retailers that these guys supply.

They are a coalition of wholesalers, which means that what binds them together is, first of all, as Mr. Montgomery explained, they're a family. They are going to be available to help one another in myriad ways, including protection, getting drug connections for one another. They were very good about being able to obtain drugs for one another from other mutual suppliers when the need be.

Remember, according to Mr. Bacon, he couldn't get drugs directly from Darryl Wyche, so Mr. Mitchell got the drugs from Darryl Wyche and supplied Mr. Bacon that way. Mr. Bacon is very tight with Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin throughout this whole time period. Mr. Martin at one time supplied Mr. Mitchell, according to Darryl Bacon.

THE COURT: Mr. Martin?

MR. HARDING: Mr. Martin did, yes, Wayne. So it becomes a much, it's not a simple hierarchical distribution the way you would expect in a street organization. This is a

2.0

2.1

coalition of wholesalers, just like the Rice organization. One of the fascinating things about watching the attempts to cross exam, cross examine Travis Golder and Eric Clash is that the defense attorneys were trying to ram those guys into a preconceived notion of a street organization, also. And they kept saying things like, Oh, well, we were just a bunch of guys who hung out together and tried to make a couple of bucks and we had our own operations outside the bubble, is the way Mr. Golder put it, meaning that he had his retailers scattered around town who weren't getting from the other people in the Rice organization. They were just getting from him. And they may only have known him in the Rice organization. But he had, he called that his little operation on the side.

Same thing with these guys. The defense attorneys have in part misunderstood this conspiracy by failing to recognize that these guys had long since abandoned street distribution and become wholesalers. And they were a gang of wholesalers. And of course they were much more than just drug wholesalers because they paired up in various combinations to commit robberies from time to time and to do all sorts of other operations, to get firearms, to loan firearms to one another, to commit murders when need be.

This is very much an organization which, at its foundation, was a drug distribution organization. But it was also involved in all of these other kinds of violent crimes all

along the way.

2.0

2.1

And people grouped in different combinations. And when that happened, a leader might well emerge for a period of time. Mr. Mitchell is the leader of the two double homicides in this case. There's no question about it. He's the guy who orchestrates them. He's the guy who gives the directions on what to do. He's clearly, even though Mr. Harris is the only guy, other guy involved in the McCaffity/Brown murder, he's the guy who's telling Mr. Harris what to do. So Mr. Mitchell emerges for operational purposes as a leader.

And one of the interesting things about the testimony of Mr. Montgomery, Your Honor, is that in the scheme to get

Goose, Mr. Martin was the operational leader of that. And when he got arrested, that was the end of that whole effort, at least for the time being, because they couldn't carry it out without Mr. Martin. It was Martin's gig, was, I believe, the way Mr. Montgomery put it.

So one of the structures of this ongoing enterprise was that they would focus on operations for extended, for periods of weeks or even months. You know, the Tonya Jones Spence thing spent two months in the incubator before it actually happened. They would group in different combinations to do these things and might have different leaders for different operations.

But always it was the same group of people, the same group of guys. This group that went back to school together.

Mr. Montgomery, Mr. Bacon, all three of the defendants except for Mr. Harris, who were in this courtroom. Darryl Wyche is another one.

These guys were always available to one another. They were what Mr. Montgomery called a family. And that meant that they were very tight and could rely on one another.

The other thing about --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Why was Wyche, why were the Wyches killed, other than to be robbed?

MR. HARDING: Well, if the Court is asking --

THE COURT: Has it come out?

MR. HARDING: Well, one of the cooperators that I have spoken to, Your Honor, reminded me of the distinction in the drug world between thugs and hustlers. And thugs look down on hustlers because although they make a lot of money, they're weak.

THE COURT: They don't take many risks or they take different risks?

MR. HARDING: Yeah. I guess you could say they take different risks. And my belief is that Mr. Mitchell in particular, but also Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin and Mr. Harris, viewed the Wyche brothers as weak, as hustlers, men who could make a lot of money but who weren't tough.

You know, we heard from Mr. Denham and also from Natasha Wyche, to some extent, that Darryl Wyche wasn't interested in getting into beefs with people. If he, if somebody

2.0

2.1

didn't pay him back, his inclination was to just forget about it.

And that made him successful in the business world. People respected him. But it also made him a target for many more aggressive kinds of people around him, like Mr. Mitchell.

I think there may have been more to it than that. I've heard that, you know, Darryl Wyche was supplying Mr. Mitchell at the time he was running that operation in Altoona, Pennsylvania and Mr. Mitchell got arrested. And there was some bad blood that resulted from that. I'm not entirely clear on what it was. Of course, it could have been that Mr. Mitchell never repaid Darryl Wyche for the drugs he lost in that enterprise.

We know that Darryl Wyche continued to supply Mr.

Mitchell after Mr. Mitchell got arrested in January of 2000 in

Altoona, Pennsylvania. But there may still have been some bad blood as a result of that.

This is not stuff that's in evidence. I'm simply answering Your Honor's question.

THE COURT: No. I appreciate that. All right. Now, what about the, the issue on the 1959's maintaining or enhancing the membership?

MR. HARDING: Yeah. Actually, I did promise Mr. Hanlon that he could address that issue.

THE COURT: All right. I'll wait.

MR. HARDING: Could I just briefly respond to a few points made by Mr. Martin in connection, I mean Mr. Crowe in

connection with the fact that Mr. Martin is charged in the Wyche brothers' homicide?

THE COURT: Yes.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: There's, of course, a certain amount of standard evidence on that, apart from the fact that, I mean, regardless of how strong it is, witnesses identified Wayne's name on the voice mail tape. And in fact, I don't think there's any question but that it actually is quite clear that the word "Wayne" is mentioned in the voice mail tape. Now, what you make of that is a classic issue for the jury to decide.

THE COURT: But you think all four of them were in that car?

MR. HARDING: I think all four of them were involved in the murder. And I think that, I cannot, I think that three of them were in the car, at a minimum. I think that the, we had two people identify Mr. Martin's voice on the voice mail tape as well, not with 100% certainty. But nevertheless, Mr. Denham and Ms. Wyche both identified Martin's voice on that tape.

Some of the best evidence of Martin's involvement is Mr. Montgomery's testimony, I believe, this is compelling to me, that Mr. Martin had concocted this alibi for the Wyche brothers murder and that's why he was going to get out. He had actually, Montgomery knew all this from talking to Gardner and there's no other way he could have known this than as an insider, somebody who was getting the inside information.

He knew that Mr. Martin had gone to the trouble of going to a movie theater and buying a movie ticket, paying for it with a credit card so that there would be a paper trail. He knew that this was arranged in advance as an alibi. And I think that's, that's very compelling evidence to me.

Your Honor, Mr. Gardner also, or Mr. Montgomery also testified that Gardner told him that, according to the police, they had left a brick in the car and he didn't believe it. This is something the jury can infer that Mr. Gardner learned from Mr. Martin, who had just been arrested for the murder, and might well have been told by the police that he had left a brick in the car.

THE COURT: Was there any evidence on whether that was public, that became part of the public reports about the murders?

 ${\tt MR.\ HARDING:}\ {\tt No,\ there's\ no\ evidence\ on\ that.}$

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: Gardner also said, according to Montgomery, that what had gotten him into trouble --

THE COURT: Excuse me one moment, Mr. Harding.

Ladies, good afternoon. I will tell you that I don't believe it's appropriate for that young girl to be in here, but it's entirely up to you. I understand if you have child care issues or something. But this is not a trial that a child of her age needs to be exposed to. Just letting you know the Court's views on the matter.

A SPECTATOR: Thank you.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Harding.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: Mr. Gardner also told Mr. Montgomery that what had gotten him into trouble was a statement on the tape recorded voice mail where Bo said, complained that they, that somebody hadn't checked his pockets. And even put in the word "Wayne" there at that point.

The way Mr. Montgomery told it -- and this isn't actually what's on the voice mail -- but the way Mr. Montgomery told it was that Gardner told him that that person, Bo had said, you didn't check his pockets, Wayne. And Gardner points out to Montgomery that, in fact, the police misunderstood "Wayne" and it was actually "man." But the fact remains that Mr. Montgomery is getting information from insiders about the way they carried out that murder. And it's very compelling evidence.

Your Honor, we've already been through the toll evidence. Of course, Mr. Martin did get a call during that, or did make a call during that movie. He made it to Mr. Gardner. And as the Court points out, he got a number of voice mails from Mr. Mitchell. He also got a phone call directly from Mr. Mitchell before the movie started at 9:34 on the chart. There's a call, connected call, not a voice mail, between Mr. Martin and Mr. Mitchell.

Your Honor, the movie alibi, of course, doesn't work in any case because the movie let out at 11:48 and it takes 19 minutes to get to the scene of the Wyche brothers' murder, which

didn't happen until sometime between 12:31 and 12:38 in the morning.

2.0

2.1

In addition, Your Honor, the jury has a right to infer that when Mr. Martin told Mr. Montgomery that he had a .40 caliber handgun with three bodies on it, those three bodies were the Wyche brothers and Eric Lee, the guy who was killed in southwest Baltimore, whom we've heard about more recently. We know that that gun was used to kill Eric Lee, the same one that was used in the Wyche brothers' murder.

Under <u>Pinkerton</u>, Your Honor, the government also has a right to have the jury make the determination of Mr. Martin's guilt or innocence of that murder because if the government can establish that he was a member of the conspiracy and that conspirators, other members of the conspiracy, committed this murder, then under <u>Pinkerton</u> a jury could, doesn't have to, but it could find, Mr. Martin guilty of the murder, also.

So for all those reasons, the government thinks that the Court should deny the Rule 29 motion as to Mr. Martin on the murder.

I'm going to let Mr. Hanlon address the issue that the Court raised this morning about the 1959.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Harding.

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon. The Court's inquiry about the 1959 charge, as I recollect, came up specifically with respect to the charge against Mr. Gardner

2.0

2.1

with respect to the Spence murder. I've analyzed it through that prism.

But I think the first point I was going to make about that is that, number one, Mr. Gardner's counsel's argument about this, number one, relies on an overly restrictive reading of the law concerning the language. And number two, I think, also overly restricts the evidence. I will begin with the law. And this applies really to the Gardner count as well as to all the 1959 counts.

With respect to the definition of maintaining or increasing a position in the enterprise, which is really sort of the language at issue, the proposed jury instructions in this case, which I think are an accurate statement of the law, state with respect to that definition as follows, and this is near the end of the instruction, in terms of factors to take into consideration.

For example, you, the jury, may consider evidence that the crime, if proved, was committed in order to maintain discipline within the enterprise and served to maintain the defendant's position in the enterprise. If the defendant committed the crime because he knew it was expected of him by reason of his membership in the enterprise or if he committed the crime because he thought it would enhance his position or prestige within the enterprise, or if he committed because he thought it was necessary to maintain the position he already

held, this element would be established.

2.0

2.1

Critical here, Your Honor, would be the language "if the defendant committed a crime because he knew it was expected of him." That puts us squarely within the evidence in this case and the counts as charged.

The Spence murder was essentially a robbery/murder.

That's what was done. The participants were committing it

because it was part and parcel of a RICO enterprises that

included, among its purposes, amongst its operations, among its

goals, committing robberies, including robberies of drug dealers.

The Spence murder was conceived originally as an outgrowth of a plan to kill Darius Spence and also a sort of corollary to the plan to rob Goose, something that Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner, and Will Montgomery, all long-standing members of this continuing common unit, had been putting together for sometime. The crime is clearly committed by Mr. Gardner to maintain his position in the enterprise because it would have been expected of him as a member of this unit to engage in this kind of conduct. This is exactly what the charged enterprise did as its business.

So the government would submit that the evidence and the law support the count as charged on that theory at least.

And that's before we even get into the evidence about the part of the motivation being to get money for Wayne's attorney, which is really on top of what the government submits is already

sufficient evidence to satisfy that prong.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: I see your point. Okay. Does the government have any views about the form of verdict sheet?

MR. HARDING: I was going to draft one, Your Honor. I submitted, when I submitted the last set of proposed jury instructions, the supplemental ones that just arrived the other day --

THE COURT: Which, by the way, if you could send me a Word Perfect or Word version of all of your instructions, I'd appreciate it.

MR. HARDING: Be happy to, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARDING: But I included a unanimity instruction which is going to have to be keyed to a verdict sheet, I think.

And I haven't had a chance to draft a proposed verdict sheet yet, but I will try to do that this weekend if that's all right.

THE COURT: That would be great. That would be great. Because we do have some, as you mentioned, some very discrete unanimity issues here.

MR. HARDING: Yes.

THE COURT: And so we need to, I agree with you fully, that we need to tie that instruction to the actual verdict sheet so that the jury understands fully that there's certain things they're going to have to agree on.

All right. Mr. Flannery, anybody want to have a final

parting word?

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: I would, if no one else.

THE COURT: Mr. Kurland.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, just very briefly in response to a couple of things that the prosecutors just said. On that last point with respect to the maintain and increase the position, that's the general language of the statute or of a pattern instruction that Mr. Hanlon referred to. We've submitted a proposed special instruction that's, you know, that's geared more toward the facts of the case.

I would also add, though, that the government's, the way they've articulated here is inconsistent because the Goose robbery, apparently no one suffered any problems when that was like called off when, when Martin was arrested. So this concept that there was, there was an expectation that that robbery had to take place of Spence, there's no factual foundation for that and the law doesn't support that.

We're also entitled to an instruction that we've submitted that says that every crime that is committed during the 12 year period that they have, that they have alleged isn't automatically either in furtherance of the conspiracy or in furtherance of the RICO conspiracy.

Now, to some point that is a factual issue to the jury. But the jury at minimum should certainly be, should certainly be instructed on that point; that just because they say so and it's

2.0

2.1

in the indictment doesn't mean as a matter of law, almost like a directed verdict, that it's done to maintain or increase one's position.

So government's free to argue that. But at best, that's argument. At worst, it's an overstatement of the law, the general legal principles that I apply, and the facts of the case.

The other thing that I would like to say, Your Honor, is with respect to one of the comments that Mr. Harding made with respect to Mr. Gardner's involvement in the Wyche brothers' murders, there is no evidence that, that Mr. Gardner -- you asked him, you know, is it the government's position, the Court asked Mr. Harding, is it the government's position that all four were in the car? And Mr. Harding's answer was, well, it's our position that all four were involved.

There is zero evidence, direct and inferential, that Mr. Gardner was involved in that. To the extent that you want to make a big deal or that one can make a big deal about the cell phone records, it shows, if anything, it shows that Gardner and Martin were not together on the night. They were, they called each other all the time for a variety of reasons. But there's zero evidence that Mr. Gardner is, there's zero evidence that Mr. Gardner is involved in that murder.

And the Counts Five and Six should be Rule 29'd as to Mr. Gardner. He's not on any of the voice tapes. The arguable tie to the Lee weapons, Mr. Harding just came up with an argument

2.0

2.1

that totally rules that out because the three bodies, they can't, they can't say every reference to a body on a gun -- it becomes musical chairs. There's more gun or more guns than there are bodies.

If the government is, I won't say correct, but if the government's theory is that Martin's reference to the three bodies are the two Wyche brothers and Lee, that means that there is no connection, then, to, then the reference to another body being on the Lee gun, there's nothing that ties Gardner to the Wyche brothers' murders even through the convoluted ballistics testimony that obviously would be the source of the argument.

THE COURT: Well, and I had that ballistics sequence, I finally realized when I looked at the chart, incorrect. The sequence was, I think, the Lee murder, the Wyche brothers' murders, and then the .40 caliber found in the woods. And Mr. Gardner, a jury could find, left that .40 caliber in the woods, wasn't the murder weapon in the Spence murder, but it was left at the scene. And there's ample evidence that Mr. Gardner had and maintained a .40 caliber that had three bodies on it.

MR. KURLAND: No. No. That the statement comes from Martin, number one. And number two, even if you accept the government's testimony as to that Gardner had custody of that gun, that's "custody" in quotes because the testimony is that gun was kept in a stove at Holly's house for a long period of time. And there is no way to infer legitimately -- one can speculate.

```
1
       I mean, there's testimony all over the place that these people
 2
       can't, that their guns can't be kept track of even if a gun is in
 3
       somebody's ceiling.
                 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. What did I say that was
 4
 5
       incorrect?
 6
                 MR. KURLAND: That the, that the reference to the three
 7
       bodies is a reference to --
 8
                 THE COURT: To Gardner's gun rather than Martin's gun?
 9
                 MR. KURLAND: Yeah.
10
                 THE COURT: Okay. So the reference to the three bodies
11
       is not the Gardner gun?
12
                 MR. KURLAND: That's my understanding.
13
                 THE COURT: What we're referring to as the Gardner gun
14
       is the Lee weapon, the Wyche weapon, and left in the woods?
                 MR. KURLAND: No.
15
16
                 THE COURT: No. Okay. Mr. Harding, help me out. I
17
       thought I had it at one time. Walk me through that one more time
18
       real quick.
19
                 MR. HARDING: Mr. Montgomery testified that Mr. Martin
2.0
       told him he had a .40 caliber with three bodies on it.
2.1
                 THE COURT: Right.
22
                 MR. HARDING: Mr. Montgomery also testified that Goo
23
       had a .40 caliber that he didn't want to use because it had a
24
      body on it.
```

THE COURT: Okay, right.

MR. HARDING: And that was the .40 caliber that they took out to Tonya Jones Spence's house every single day they went there on surveillance, along with the .357.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: But Mr. Gardner always carried the .357. And the reason was that the .40 caliber was dirty, and they were going to try to avoid using that one. And they did, in fact, avoid using that one.

THE COURT: And that .40 caliber was the Lee weapon. One of the Lee weapons.

MR. HARDING: Yes. Well, now, just to further complicate the issue. You remember I asked Mr. Montgomery if the .40 caliber that Goo had that was dirty was the same one that Mr. Martin said had three bodies on it. And Mr. Montgomery said he didn't know.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARDING: So it's not a perfectly clear picture. But the jury could infer that the gun found in the woods at the Tonya Jones Spence murder -- remember, there were two .40 calibers used in the Eric Lee murder.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HARDING: And one of them was the Wyche brothers' murder weapon, and the other one was the one found in the woods at Tonya Jones Spence. So both the Wyche brothers and the one found in the woods in the Tonya Jones Spence were involved in the

Eric Lee murder.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KURLAND: But Your Honor, my point is that it's not clear from the record -- I take it back -- it's inconclusive in the record and it would be wrong to say that there were two .40 caliber murder weapons in the Lee shootings. No one has testified to that. There might have been --

THE COURT: I don't think I said that.

MR. KURLAND: I understand, you didn't. But it's important to understand that there is no way to say for certain on this evidentiary record that the gun found in the forest with respect to the Spence homicide was, in fact, the murder weapon in Lee. That is not --

THE COURT: But was present at the Lee shooting.

MR. KURLAND: According to the ballistics. It just matched beat up bullets. But the other thing, Your Honor, is there's also evidence in the record, I believe from Mr. Montgomery, maybe from somebody else, that the reference to bodies on it also refers to kidnappings. At least two government witnesses testified to that.

That's important, though, because, Your Honor, acknowledging the Rule 29 standard that the Court has to adopt every reasonable inference in favor of the government with respect to this ballistics evidence, but that still falls short. You could not convict, no rational jury could convict Mr. Gardner

2.0

2.1

of the Wyche homicides based on this record. And we would ask that those counts -- I mean, he's not alleged to be in the McCaffity. So he's not involved in that.

And there's no evidence, the government originally planned to put on evidence, or in the pretrial proceedings they had made references to his voice being on the tape. That's the only reason why he's stuck in that count. And that evidence didn't come in.

So we would respectfully argue that Counts Five and Six should be Rule 29'd as to Mr. Gardner. And again, the government's own articulation of the evidence, including background information which they concede wasn't even admitted and is not evidence in this case, I think the Rule 29 standard is unambiguously met with respect to Counts Five and Six. Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kurland.

Well, Gardner could be in on a Pinkerton theory, don't you think, Mr. Kurland? At a minimum. I mean, he did talk to Martin at 11:37.

MR. KURLAND: Well, if --

THE COURT: I'm not suggesting that that's conclusive of anything.

MR. KURLAND: Well, the legal issue -- I mean, two separate things, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mitchell talks to Martin at 11:30 or leaves

a voice mail, if I have the incorrect chart --

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: Phones attributed to these guys leave voice mails.

THE COURT: Right. Right. Of course.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, Pinkerton, which, by the way, the government did not include in their 85 pages of instructions, but I understand they're going to apparently ask for a Pinkerton instruction. And as a matter of law, yes, because Pinkerton, if you find them in the conspiracy, as a matter of law, then Pinkerton applies to everything.

The government has not, I'm not going to ask them to amend it, but the government, in going through the substantive counts, hasn't added everybody in every count under a Pinkerton theory. So it's going to be a highly selective use of Pinkerton.

If they now want to argue that the sole basis of Mr.

Gardner's liability on the Wyche murders is Pinkerton, assuming the Court doesn't still throw it out, I'll obviously deal with that in closing. But even with respect to Pinkerton, the tie that Gardner had anything to do with that is really based on telephone toll records of a couple of calls to Martin. We've all been in movie theaters when, when --

THE COURT: No. It's tied on, it's tied to Mr. Gardner's alleged membership in the conspiracy.

MR. KURLAND: Well, again, that's a correct statement of the law, that Pinkerton, if it applies, the argument is that

2.0

2.1

if they're involved in the conspiracy, then they're involved in every act in furtherance of the conspiracy. And that would, you know, if that's going to be the government's sole theory of liability, then Gardner's liability on Counts Five and Six is essentially dependent on the finding with respect to the Count One conspiracy. And if that's the argument, then if I would win on Count One, then I'd also win on Count Five and Six, if the government's sole theory of liability against Mr. Gardner on the Wyche brothers murders is Pinkerton.

If that's going to be their theory, they need to say that, if that's going to get them by Rule 29. Because short of that, he's out. I don't think, I don't think a rational jury could convict based on seven phone contacts on the night to his best friend or to a very close friend of his who he repeatedly calls all the time. And calls in a movie theater are not uncommon. Even the movie theaters have those, we've all been in the movies where they have the warning signs, the warnings to turn off the cell phones, and the attenuation of the guns.

By the way, nobody has been charged in the Lee homicide. So this is a triple bootstrap. I mean, the government was able to get it in under an evidentiary standard that is far lower than guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. And so that's why I think it's appropriate for the Court, even with respect to dealing with the admissibility of the firearms evidence and the alleged tie to the Lee homicide, it's important to understand

nobody was ever charged in the Lee homicide. The detectives have, basically have no evidence.

2.0

2.1

And what the government's going to try to do is argue to the jury something that really should never go to the jury because no rational jury would be able to convict on that evidence.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much -- MR. KURLAND: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Kurland. And thank you, counsel.

The Court's going to deny all the motions. It certainly is not a classic RICO conspiracy by any means. But I'm satisfied that a rational finder of fact could conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that this was a gang consisting of these four individuals and others who, as Mr. Harding describes it, basically everybody was an Executive Vice President working on an operational basis, a project-by-project basis, to achieve the purposes of the enterprise.

There's no question that Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris had as part of their portfolio Shakedown Entertainment and the rap music piece of this. There's evidence that Mr. Gardner operated a grocery store or owned a grocery store. It would appear, although the government didn't mention it, it would appear that the Edgecombe Circle residence was something in the nature of a headquarters or at least a club house, where guns were stored, examined, exchanged. People gathered, thus business

came and went.

2.0

2.1

I think it would be rational for the jury to conclude that there's a sufficient structure, if only an operational structure is present here.

I'm cognizant of Mr. Flannery's argument, joined in by everybody, that although there's some ambiguity in the Fourth Circuit law, the acts of racketeering cannot themselves compose the structure. But I think a rational juror could conclude that we have more than that here.

So Count One survives. The drug conspiracy count survives. And in light of the rather expansive jury instruction that the Court is likely to give on the 1959 counts, I think the motion has to be denied as to those counts as well.

Certainly, there's not overwhelming evidence, and indeed, it's strictly inferential that any, that, for example, that Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Martin, or Mr. Harris, well, Mr. Harris wasn't even implicated at the time of the Jones Spence murder or at least he hadn't been arrested. But to argue that Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Martin would have expected Mr. Gardner to continue the kinds of activities that the evidence suggests the defendants were involved in certainly takes the inference to the limit. But I don't think it takes it past the limit.

I think a reasonable juror could conclude, partly on the basis of the friendship and the relationship going all the way back to high school days and before, that there would be that

kind of expectation.

2.0

2.1

The idea that these three men are childhood buddies cuts both against them and against the government. One could say, well, they were only childhood buddies, perhaps engaged in some illegal activity, but that doesn't mean that their activities were sufficiently connected in a structured way to justify the description of an organization or an entity. On the other hand, the government no doubt will argue that it was exactly that that provided the foundation for the kind of trust and loyalty and commitment that one would expect to see in an organization determined to engage in acts of violence, drug distribution, and intimidation that one sees here.

So the inferences absolutely cut both ways. And while the defendants certainly should not and must not be convicted simply because they are high school or elementary school friends and they grew up in the same neighborhood, the government is entitled to urge the jury under the totality of the evidence presented to view that relationship through the prism of the kinds of adult activities in which these defendants, according to the evidence in this case, regularly engaged.

So for all those reasons, the Court will deny the motion made by each defendant for judgment of acquittal on each count, deny the motion for severance, and deny the motion for a mistrial.

Now, Mr. Mitchell Mr. Harris, Mr. Martin and Mr.

2.0

2.1

Gardner, we have come to the stage in the case where pretty shortly, not today, but perhaps on Monday and perhaps on Tuesday, each of you will have to make a decision as to whether you wish to testify in this case in your own defense. None of you are required to testify, not even expected to testify. In our system, as I'm sure you know, the privilege against compelled self-incrimination means that a defendant in a criminal case has absolutely the right to choose, hopefully in consultation with his attorneys, whether to take the stand and testify in his own defense or whether to remain silent.

I know that several of you, if not all of you, have prior convictions for drug distribution and other offenses perhaps. And it may be that the government, if you choose to take the stand and testify, will be able to bring before the jury your prior convictions for the purpose of impeaching your credibility. Obviously, as you've heard the evidence, many of your prior convictions and arrests have already been put before the jury so the jury already knows a lot about you in that regard. But the limited purpose for which a prior conviction may be introduced, if you take the stand, would be solely to impeach your credibility.

Testifying could help you but testifying could hurt you. I hope you'll listen to what your lawyers tell you about whether you should or should not testify. But ultimately, it's your decision and only your decision, each of you individually,

whether to testify.

2.0

2.1

The fact that one of chooses to testify does not mean in any way that anybody else should testify. It's your individual decision that you have to make, again, hopefully in consultation with your attorney.

If you choose to testify, as I say, you're going to be cross examined by the government attorneys, who will be able to ask you about any matter bearing on your direct testimony relevant to this case.

Likewise, the attorney for any codefendant, if you choose to testify, will have the opportunity and the duty to cross examine you and bring out before the jury evidence from you that might be helpful to their client.

So sometime next week the Court's going to ask each of you whether you choose to testify or to remain silent. And it will be your decision, again, hopefully in consultation with your attorney, in making that decision. It's entirely up to you. I take it you understand what I've said to you.

All right. I think we're ready to proceed.

MR. COBURN: Your Honor, could I have just a moment?

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Coburn.

MR. COBURN: Just have my eye on the clock there.

Made a little list of some of the items that we have sort of in terms of balls in the air with respect to our defense case. This probably is not a good time.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: No. Actually, go ahead. Because I'm hoping that Mr. Martin will give his opening and then I'm leaving it to counsel the order in which you call your witnesses. As I say, Mr. Coburn, I think it would be appropriate to get Mr. Gardner's witnesses in earlier rather than later.

MR. COBURN: Well, it's really kind of Your Honor to say that. In terms of today, I think the only person that we would present would be one relative of Mr. Gardner. She'll be relatively short. She's been here pretty much all day. She's down from Pennsylvania. So if we could get her in, it would be much appreciated.

I know Mr. Flannery has a witness who I believe, his expert who I believe is also in from out of town. And I see Mr. Pyne's hand in the air, also, about some concern there.

Mr. Flannery's witness is about 45 minutes. Mine is about 15, 20 minutes. Not sure how long Mr. Pyne's is. But it's going to be a tight --

THE COURT: We'll manage.

MR. COBURN: -- tight afternoon, particularly given the opening. Then to the extent, the main reason I sit up was just at the end of the day, if Your Honor could just give me time to run through a little bit of a list of items.

THE COURT: Sure. We'll have time. We'll have time after we excuse the jury.

MR. KURLAND: Judge, also, I just want to make sure

1 that Jamane Johnson --2 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Is he here? 3 MR. KURLAND: He's here but it's complicated. THE COURT: No. We need to get him on today. 4 5 Coburn didn't mention him but we need to get him on today because 6 he's going back to Hagerstown. 7 MR. COBURN: It's going to be so tight, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, let's do it. What's the problem with 9 Jamane Johnson? 10 Well, I'll let Mr. Kurland, it's his MR. COBURN: 11 witness. But I mean, there are some Fifth Amendment issues and 12 the government has indicated they may prosecute him if he 13 testified and that sort of thing. 14 MR. KURLAND: And I wanted to, there's, can I give, Mr. 15 Coburn, need a sticker. There's some letters that I want to give 16 a copy to the court. THE COURT: Just tell me --17 18 MR. KURLAND: To make a long story short, in a letter 19 dated September 14th, 2004, signed by Mr. Harding, it says that, 2.0 I understand that you need to have -- this is addressed to Dean 2.1 Stocksdale, the Assistant State's Attorney -- I understand you 22 need to have Jamane Johnson testify in the upcoming state trials.

Johnson that the U.S. Attorney's Office will not use against Mr. Johnson in any criminal case any statements he makes in

And then Mr. Harding says, This letter is to assure you and Mr.

23

24

testifying in those two trials. And then it says, Johnson's complete truthfulness and candor are express material conditions to the undertakings of the federal government set forth in this Therefore, the federal government may use statements made by Johnson for the purpose of cross examination in the event that Johnson is a witness in any proceeding. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Kurland, I'm not following. Can you just tell me --MR. KURLAND: The government says here that they won't prosecute him unless he offers testimony that is materially different from any statements made in the state trials. I expect to elicit from him three or four points that I expect to be absolutely consistent with his state court testimony. So there is nothing that I would intend to elicit that would do anything to trigger --THE COURT: What do you want me to do? MR. KURLAND: I want him to testify. THE COURT: I can't testify for him. He's here. I'm prepared to put him on. Let me hear from Mr. Harding. MR. HARDING: Well, Judge, I actually went by the lockup yesterday. THE COURT: I understand you spoke to him. MR. HARDING: Yeah. I wanted to find out if he had a

THE COURT: Right.

lawyer and he said he didn't.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

```
MR. HARDING: And I told him I thought the Court was
going to appoint one for him because I thought Your Honor said
that last week when we were --
          THE COURT: I'll ask him if he wants a lawyer when we
bring him down. Let's try to bring him down at the break,
assuming we get a break. Mr. Pyne.
         MR. PYNE: Your Honor, I have Lakeisha McCoy here.
She's been here since about noon. If we aren't going to get to
her, I would like to excuse her. I thought that we'd be able to
fit her on.
          THE COURT: I'm going to try. I'm going to try. I
don't know how long anybody's cross examination's going to be.
Doesn't seem to me it should be very long.
         MR. PYNE: The way we were talking, it sounded like the
afternoon was filling up quickly.
                     I don't know why the expert's going to take
          THE COURT:
45 minutes to tell us about locks on an Infiniti.
         MR. FLANNERY: He won't. He won't, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Can we stipulate to his qualifications?
Does the government have any problem with that?
         MR. HARDING: No, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Okay. So we can get through it.
         MR. FLANNERY: Won't take 45 minutes, I promise you.
          THE COURT: Let's have the jury, please. How long you
need, Mr. Martin?
```

1 MR. MARTIN: If I go more than five minutes, you can 2 yank me off the floor, please. 3 MR. LAWLOR: Can we sit a little late tonight, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Maybe we'll do that. 6 MR. HARDING: Your Honor, would the Court ask the 7 jurors to retire when you make inquiry of Mr. Johnson --8 THE COURT: Oh, yes. We'll take a recess about 4:00 or 9 so. 10 MR. KURLAND: We have not had an opportunity to talk to 11 Mr. Johnson. So assuming he can testify, outside the presence of 12 the jury, the whole prior proceeding aspect, we might have to 13 talk to him about the question --14 THE COURT: Let's just see what he has to say. 15 (Jury enters the courtroom.) 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the 17 jury, thank you again for your patience. We're now ready to 18 proceed with the defense presentation of evidence. I'm sure you don't remember, I certainly did not until 19 2.0 I was reminded, but you'll recall that these many weeks ago when 2.1 we began the trial, all of counsel for the defendants, that is 22 counsel representing each defendant and the government, made an 23 opening statement. And you'll remember I told you that the

opening statements of counsel is not part of the evidence.

you will also now remember, I think, that Mr. Martin,

24

representing Mr. Harris, reserved his opening statement in accordance with the rules.

2.0

2.1

So as we begin the opening of the defense case, Mr.

Martin will now present to you what I'm told will be a fairly

brief opening statement. And then we'll proceed with the defense

witnesses, who will be called in an order that counsel for the

defendants have agreed, to minimize inconvenience to each of the

witnesses.

Mr. Martin, whenever you're ready.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm happy to finally be here. I never dreamed nine weeks ago that it would take nine weeks for us to get to this point.

I thought that I'd stand up and talk to you for about a half an hour in my opening back then when I waived or when I deferred it. But I'm not going to do that to you. Everybody here, probably especially you, wants to get out of here. And I apologize for any part that I may have played or we may have played in extending this trial any longer than it has to be.

So given the delay, I won't take any more of your time than I absolutely have to here.

It won't come as a surprise to any of you that in our case for the four defendants and in our arguments that we'll be making later on, we'll be pointing out to you that the government hasn't lived up to the promises that they made to you when they

2.0

2.1

made their opening statement and, indeed, when they returned this indictment. And I want you to look at the indictment and I want you to try to remember what Mr. Harding told you in his opening statement.

That promise was to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that this specific RICO enterprise that they charged here and this specific drug conspiracy that they charged here, not some other conspiracy that you may have heard of during the course of this first eight weeks of this trial, but the specific one charged is what they've proved beyond a reasonable doubt, and that Mr. Harris participated in that and they've proved his participation in those two specific conspiracies to you beyond a reasonable doubt.

If you fail to find that the government has proved this enterprise, and you'll hear the judge instruct you at some point, hopefully early next week, instruct you as to the meaning of all these terms, if you failed, if you find that the government has failed to prove this enterprise existed beyond a reasonable doubt, then you will be instructed, you will follow the instructions. You will be obligated to return an indictment, to return a verdict of not guilty against Mr. Harris. And we intend to demonstrate to you that the government hasn't proved the specific enterprise and the specific drug conspiracies that they told you they would prove.

Now, the defense for all four defendants will take but

2.0

2.1

a few days. It won't take weeks. It will just take a few days. And it will point out specific failings in the government's proof. It will demonstrate that some of the government's major witnesses haven't been quite honest with you about the things that they testified about.

It will demonstrate and call into serious question whether two of the major racketeering acts that are alleged here, the McCaffity/Brown murders and the Spence, and/or the Wyche murders took place in the manner in which the government says they took place and were committed by the people that the government says committed those two acts.

All in all, if those acts weren't part of the larger RICO enterprise, then you won't be able to find the defendants guilty of them, anyway.

When all that evidence comes in that we intend to put in, it will call into question what the government has done. And all the sides have argued to you, and that's one of the reasons I'm not going to talk to you for very long today, because we're going to be back here in a couple days arguing to you and we really don't want to take any more out of your lives than we've already done. We really don't want to take much more of our own lives than we've already done.

But when all that is said and done and all the sides have argued to you, you will then be dutybound to listen to the Judge's instructions and to follow those instructions and to

2.0

2.1

exercise your sworn duty to apply those instructions and the law to the specific facts that the government has proved here and the specific facts that the defense has brought out to you in our case. That will be, hopefully, sometime next week that you'll get a chance to do that.

In the meantime, you will hear from us in particular some information about the cell phone tape that the government has played at least twice, maybe three times. We will be providing you with a transcript that we have prepared, much like the transcripts that the government has prepared, only these are prepared by us. And we will ask you to listen very carefully to that conversation again. We will also ask you to, if you have any questions when you get in the jury room, to listen to it.

I will also ask you at some point in time to take another look at the altercation in the cell block and to determine for yourselves who do you think threw the first punch, who do you think caused the altercation, and why do you think, when you get down to that point, why do you think that Mr. Hayes would have embellished to you what was allegedly said to him in the lockup?

We look forward to the day when the judge can give you the case for your decision and you can return a verdict which is fair to all sides in the case. We look forward to that. Mr. Harris is anxious for you to take the case, to apply the law to the evidence. And when all is said and done we're confident that

- DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GARDNER BY COBURN 144 1 you will find that the government hasn't proved the case against 2 Mr. Harris beyond a reasonable doubt. 3 Thank you very much. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martin. You may call your 4 first witness. 5 6 MR. COBURN: Nicole Gardner, Your Honor. May I go out 7 and get her? 8 THE COURT: Please. Take the witness stand, here, 9 please, Ms. Gardner. Raise your right hand, please. 10 NICOLE GARDNER, DEFENDANT GARDNER'S WITNESS, SWORN 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE CLERK: Thank you. Be seated. Speak directly 13 toward the mike. State your name and spell it for the record. 14 THE WITNESS: Nicole Gardner. DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. COBURN: 17 Ms. Gardner, good afternoon. 18 Good afternoon. 19 Q Where are you from originally? 2.0 Α New York. 2.1 Do you know someone by the name of Shawn Gardner? Q 22 Α Yes, I do. 23 Do you see him here in the courtroom? Q
- 25 Can you let us know where he is?

Yes, I do.

24

Α

- 1 A Pardon?
- 2 Q Can you point out where he is?
- 3 A Right there in the white shirt, white striped shirt.
- 4 Q How do you know him?
- 5 A I was married to his brother for about ten years.
- 6 Q What's that brother's name?
- 7 A Carl Gardner.
- 8 Q Does Mr. Gardner, Shawn Gardner, have other brothers as
- 9 well?
- 10 A Yes, he does.
- 11 Q What are their or his or their names?
- 12 A The one that I know is Walter Gardner.
- 13 Q Very well. Are you still married to Carl Gardner?
- 14 A No, I'm not.
- 15 Q When did that marriage terminate?
- 16 A Roughly 2004, 2005. Something like that.
- 17 THE COURT: Ms. Gardner, you can pull that microphone
- out of your face and get it down.
- 19 Q Thank you very much, Your Honor. Ms. Gardner, how far did
- you go in school?
- 21 A I have three years of college and several licenses,
- 22 professional licenses.
- 23 Q Well, taking the college first, where did you attend
- college.
- 25 A Morgan State University.

- 1 Q Where is that located?
- 2 A Baltimore, Maryland.
- 3 Q You mentioned a number of licenses. Could you tell the
- 4 ladies and gentlemen of the jury what they are?
- 5 A The jury --
- 6 Q That's the jury to your right there.
- 7 A Hi.
- 8 Q Sorry about that.
- 9 A I have a property and casualty license, which allows me to
- 10 act as an insurance agent and a bail bondsman, and I'm also a
- 11 licensed realtor.
- 12 Q Your Honor, can I come into the well to retrieve some
- evidence that's been referred to earlier?
- 14 THE COURT: Certainly. Certainly.
- Q Can I confer with the prosecutors just for a moment, Your
- 16 Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Certainly.
- 18 (Pause in Proceedings.)
- 19 Q How did you become involved in becoming a bail bonds person?
- 20 A Well, I went to school. I attended Catonsville Community
- College. I took the property and casualty exam, passed the exam.
- 22 And they, what they do is they run a background check and
- fingerprint you and so forth. And then you, once you pass those
- series of tests you're licensed, I guess.
- 25 Q How long have you been doing it?

- 1 A For 14 years.
- 2 0 What does the work involve?
- 3 A Well, it involves going to several district, circuit and
- 4 | federal courts, posting surety bonds for defendants who have been
- 5 charged with criminal activity or criminal charges and have
- 6 bails. You fill out a form called a power of attorney, which
- 7 allows you to ensure that, to the court that you're going to
- 8 secure the appearance of the defendant in court.
- 9 If the defendant does not appear in court, you have to
- apprehend them and bring them before the court.
- 11 Q How close has your relationship been over the years with
- 12 Shawn Gardner?
- 13 A Somewhat close. We've had a working relationship as well.
- 14 Q I'm going to ask you about that in just a moment.
- 15 A Okay.
- 16 Q Are you familiar with his family?
- 17 A Yes, I am.
- 18 Q Does he have any children?
- 19 A Yes, he does.
- 20 Q How many does he have?
- 21 A Two.
- 22 Q And how old are they?
- 23 A Seven.
- 24 Q You mentioned that you and Mr. Gardner had a working
- 25 relationship?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Would you be kind enough to tell the jury what that was,
- 3 what it consisted of, and particularly during what time periods?
- 4 A It's been quite a while ago so I can't really tell you the
- 5 exact year. It's been several years ago. About, about seven
- 6 | years ago since he was arrested. He worked in my office. He did
- 7 applications. He picked up large amounts of cash for me,
- 8 several, on several occasions. He met with clients either in the
- 9 office or at their homes. And he also assisted with apprehension
- of individuals that didn't appear in court.
- 11 Q What was the quality of the services he provided to your
- 12 business?
- 13 A They were excellent, to be honest. He was very trustworthy.
- 14 He was at my office before I was most times. He was a good
- 15 employee. He was reliable.
- 16 Q What were his hours like?
- 17 A Well, I work seven days a week. So basically, he was in the
- office probably five to six days a week, partially, well, until
- about 12 or 1 on Saturdays. And on Sundays he would be on call.
- 20 Q Did he come in when he said he was going to come in?
- 21 A Yes, he did.
- 22 Q Do you know someone by the name of Willie Mitchell or have
- you had occasion to have contact with that person as a result of,
- of your occupation?
- 25 A I don't know Willie Mitchell.

- Q Is there, is there someone, I may have, you may know this person by a different name. Did there come a time when, with respect to a friend of Shawn Gardner's, to whom you had been introduced, you became involved in providing some bail bonds services for that person?

Yes.

Α

- 7 Q Okay. And simply because you provide bail bonds services
- 8 for an individual, does that mean that you're involved in any
- 9 sort of criminal conduct with that person?
- 10 A Not at all.
- 11 Q May I approach witness, Your Honor, with an item?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes. You can't put it on the DOAR?
- 13 Q I would be happy to, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Show it to government counsel.
- Q Absolutely, Your Honor. This is an item that's been marked
- 16 and introduced in evidence as Government's Exhibit V-17.
- 17 THE COURT: Oh, it's already in evidence.
- 18 Q It is. Just part of V-17. Do you recognize that?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q And what do you recognize it to be?
- 21 A My business card.
- Q Finally, does the name "Lisa Brown" mean anything to you?
- 23 A Yes, it does.
- 24 Q Is that somebody that you knew?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q How was it that you knew her?
- 2 A Well, on the occasions that I was not working, which was
- 3 rare, I lived in the county. So a lot of times I would frequent
- 4 a restaurant in Baltimore City and I met her there. And we kind
- of became, not personal friends, but kind of casual friends. I
- 6 came to know her kind of personally, I guess.
- 7 Q Did there come a time when you learned that she had become
- 8 the victim of a homicide?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q And after you learned that, did you have any conversation
- 11 with Shawn Gardner about it?
- 12 A I believe I called him and I told him that, I believe I
- called him and told him that Lisa had been murdered. And he was
- 14 kind of shocked.
- 15 MR. HANLON: Objection, Your Honor. Objection.
- 16 Q I'm just asking what his demeanor was like after she talked
- 17 to him about it.
- THE COURT: You say he was shocked?
- THE WITNESS: He was in shock. We were all in shock.
- 20 THE COURT: To hear that she had been killed?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Coburn.
- 23 BY MR. COBURN:
- Q Did you attend her funeral?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- Q Thank you, Your Honor. Can I have the Court's indulgence for just a moment?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 (Pause in Proceedings.)
- 5 MR. COBURN: Thank you, Your Honor. I have nothing 6 further.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. HANLON:
- 10 Q Ms. Gardner, good afternoon to you.
- 11 A Good afternoon.
- 12 Q Just to help me clarify. If you remember, I think you
- indicated that Mr. Gardner worked for you about seven years ago?
- 14 A Yes. It's been about, as long as he's been arrested, the
- amount of years that he's been arrested, he was working for me
- 16 prior to that.
- 17 Q Sure. How long had he been working for you prior to his
- 18 arrest?
- 19 A Honestly, I couldn't tell you. I wasn't asked to review the
- 20 records or anything. So I'm not really sure.
- 21 Q That's fine. The date of the arrest that you mentioned,
- does June 7 of 2002 sound accurate?
- 23 A Possibly. Yeah.
- 24 Q And just, your best approximation, would it be a year or
- more or less than a year prior to June of '02 that Mr. Gardner

- 1 had been working for you? Do you remember that?
- 2 A Well, he always helped out in the business. And I would
- 3 call on him when I had situations where I had to go and apprehend
- 4 someone. Honestly, I can't say. I believe it was longer than
- 5 that, though.
- 6 Q Mr. Gardner, you're aware, had been away. He had been away
- 7 | for, in jail for a period of time, is that right?
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 Q And he got released from jail in 2001, is that correct?
- 10 A I couldn't tell you. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q Leaving aside the specific dates of the sentence, or when he
- got back or anything like that, did he begin working for you
- immediately upon coming home, if you remember that?
- 14 A I can't remember.
- 15 Q Do you remember if Mr. Gardner had worked for you at all
- 16 prior to that jail term?
- 17 A Yes, I believe he did.
- 18 Q So there was some time prior to the jail term and then after
- 19 | the jail term?
- 20 A I believe so, yes.
- 21 Q But you don't remember the specific dates or anything?
- 22 A No, I don't.
- 23 Q And you haven't been asked to obtain documents or records or
- 24 anything relating to it?
- 25 A No.

- Q An any time, Ms. Gardner, if Mr. Gardner was an employee of yours, did you ever do income tax withholding for him or anything
- 3 like that?
- A No. Actually, he, to be quite honest, most of the employees
- 5 that I have, I have several bounty hunters that work for me as
- 6 | well, and I don't do withholding taxes for them. Some of them I
- 7 | 1099. A lot of them just help out and I, you know, help them out
- 8 for coming in the system with an apprehension.
- 9 Q What kind of relationship did Mr. Gardner have with you?
- 10 Was he an employee or was he a 1099 person?
- 11 A He was more a 1099 person.
- 12 Q So you have no tax records or anything like that for Mr.
- Gardner's employ with you?
- 14 A No, I don't.
- Q Are there 1099 forms that you would have issued to him or
- 16 anything like that?
- 17 A I'm not sure if I was using a payroll service at that time
- 18 to process his payroll. But I do know there were several checks
- 19 that I had written from my business account to him.
- 20 Q So you have the checks but nothing in terms of work records,
- 21 tax records, employment insurance?
- 22 A I'm not really sure. My accountant would be able to answer
- 23 that best.
- Q And you haven't been asked to look into that prior to coming
- 25 to court today?

- 1 A No, I have not.
- 2 Q You indicated some of Mr. Gardner's responsibilities
- 3 included actually doing apprehensions?
- 4 A He attended apprehensions. He assisted with them, yes.
- Would he have to carry any equipment to do apprehensions?
- 6 Did he ever carry a firearm for that?
- 7 A No, he didn't have to do that. I carried one.
- 8 Q And he would be with you during these apprehensions?
- 9 A If I didn't, on the situations where I had to apprehend
- females, I may go alone. I had several bounty hunters that would
- assist. I don't believe that he accompanied me when I was
- capable of doing it myself. If there were a situation where I
- would go to pick up someone who, say, may be a friend that I
- didn't personally want to apprehend, I would take him with me.
- 15 It wouldn't require me to have a handgun.
- I know he was convicted of a crime that would prevent
- 17 him from being in the company of me carrying a handgun so I
- 18 wouldn't put him in that position.
- 19 Q On that last point, let me ask you a couple of questions.
- 20 You mentioned that there were licensing requirements and
- 21 background checks you had to do for your job.
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Was there anything Mr. Gardner had to do since he was either
- assisting or being present during apprehensions?
- 25 A No, there wasn't. It's not required by the State.

- 1 Q So there was no special licensing or background check?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q But he was assisting you in apprehensions?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did Mr. Gardner ever have to do surveillance of people's
- 6 homes or follow people or do anything like that for the
- 7 apprehension work?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Do you know if he ever used walkie-talkies or anything like
- 10 that during apprehension work?
- 11 A No. We didn't use those.
- 12 Q Understood. You were asked some questions about the hours
- that Mr. Gardner worked for, for your -- well, actually, strike
- 14 that. Let me ask you this, Ms. Gardner. Are you still at all
- 15 close with Mr. Gardner's family? I understand you're no longer
- married to Mr. Gardner's brother. But do you still have a
- 17 relationship with the family?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q When was the last time you talked to anybody in the Gardner
- 20 family?
- 21 A Probably about 2000 -- I'm sorry. No. That's not correct.
- I have spoken with his brother, a kind of hi and bye type of
- thing. We don't conversate at all.
- Q Meaning you've spoken with your ex-husband?
- 25 A Well, yes, I have spoken to my ex-husband, yes.

- Q When was the last time you spoke with Walter Gardner, your ex-husband?
- MR. COBURN: That's not --
- 4 A No, Walter's not my ex-husband.
- 5 | Q I apologize. Give me your ex-husband's name.
- 6 A Carl.
- 7 | Q I'm sorry. When was last time you talked to Carl?
- 8 A About three days ago.
- 9 Q So that's not an uncommon thing?
- 10 A It's uncommon.
- 11 Q Do you have any children with Carl?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q And how many children do you have?
- 14 A One.
- 15 Q And assuming I've got my relationships correct, that would
- be the niece or nephew of our defendant, Shawn Gardner?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And how old is your child?
- 19 A He's 12.
- 20 Q One moment, Your Honor.
- 21 (Pause in proceedings.)
- Q Ms. Gardner, when Mr. Gardner, our defendant, Mr. Gardner,
- came home in 2001, began working for you, do you know whether or
- 24 not any of his parole conditions would have required him to have
- a job or to be able to report having employment?

- 1 A I'm not, I don't recall. I'm not real certain.
- 2 Q Just don't recall one way or the other?
- 3 A I just don't recall.
- 4 Q And finally, you mentioned the hours that Mr. Gardner
- 5 | worked. It sounds like he was working some pretty substantial
- 6 hours during the time he was with you, is that right?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Do you have any idea what he was doing in the woods off of
- 9 Carlson Lane on June 7th, 2002 at about 5 p.m.?
- MR. COBURN: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 12 A Could you repeat the question?
- 13 Q Absolutely. We talk about the hours that Mr. Gardner
- worked. It sounded like it was pretty heavy hours. Do you have
- 15 any idea what he would have been doing in the woods off of
- 16 Carlson Lane in Baltimore County on June 7th of 2002 at around
- 17 4:50, 5:00 p.m. or so?
- 18 A I didn't know that he was in the woods on whatever street
- 19 you just mentioned at 4:50.
- 20 Q That's fine. You didn't know anything about that, is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A No, I didn't.
- 23 Q That's fine, ma'am. Nothing further, Your Honor.
- MR. COBURN: No redirect, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Any of other counsel? Ms.

- Gardner, thank you very much. You're excused.
- 2 Mr. Hanlon, perhaps you want to restore the exhibits.
- 3 You're excused. Trying to keep track of them since they seem to
- 4 be in order.
- 5 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 6 MR. COBURN: Jamane Johnson, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: No. We're going to wait on Mr. Johnson.
- 8 MR. COBURN: Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: Until we take a break.
- MR. COBURN: Then Mr. Flannery's up.
- MR. FLANNERY: Patrick Dulaney, Your Honor. Apologize.
- 12 PATRICK DULANEY, DEFENDANT HARRIS WITNESS, SWORN
- 13 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 14 THE CLERK: Be seated. Speak directly toward the mike.
- 15 State your name and spell it for the record.
- 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Patrick Dulaney.
- 17 D-U-L-A-N-E-Y.
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. FLANNERY:
- 20 Q Mr. Dulaney, good morning.
- 21 A Good afternoon.
- 22 Q Sorry. Good afternoon. Mr. Dulaney, where are you
- 23 presently employed?
- 24 A Sheehy Infiniti of Annapolis.
- 25 Q And what is your title there?

- 1 A Shop foreman.
- 2 Q And what types of duties do you perform?
- 3 A Basic automative maintenance, diagnostics. I also oversee
- 4 all the other technicians within the shop, quality controlling
- 5 their work and training them.
- 6 Q Are you a certified Infiniti technician?
- 7 A Yes, I am.
- 8 Q And Your Honor, for the record, the government has
- 9 stipulated to Mr. Delaney's qualifications. And I would offer
- 10 him as an expert in Infiniti mechanics and repair.
- 11 THE COURT: The witness is accepted as an expert in
- 12 that regard.
- Q Mr. Dulaney, I am showing you what's been marked as Harris
- Number Five. This is for demonstration purposes only. But what
- 15 I'd like you to do is go through a couple of terms that the jury
- may hear while you're here testifying today, okay?
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q Mr. Delaney, if I could point out. This device up here, as
- 19 you can see, what will you refer to that particular device as?
- 20 A The main switch.
- 21 Q Okay. Where is that located?
- 22 A That's on the driver's door.
- 23 Q Okay.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry.
- 25 Q What type of vehicle are we speaking --

- 1 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Flannery. Could you have
- 2 | him tell the jury first what they're looking at in general?
- 3 Q Yes. Mr. Delaney, you recognize this document?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And could you describe, what is this? What are we looking
- 6 at here?
- 7 A This is the component location of all the systems that make
- 8 up the power locks in the Q-45.
- 9 Q Okay. Q-45, you mean a Q-45 Infiniti --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- model? Okay. Is this a fair representation of a 1999
- 12 Infiniti Q-45 model?
- 13 A This is the actual service manual page from a 1999 Infiniti
- 14 0-45.
- 15 Q And what I've circled there on Harris Number Five, could you
- please describe what you will refer to that device as?
- 17 A The main power window and lock switch assembly.
- 18 Q Okay. And where is that particularly located on that
- 19 vehicle?
- 20 A That is on the driver's door arm rest.
- 21 Q Okay. Now, go back in this area here there's what lay men
- 22 typically would understand as a knob or some sort of cylinder
- device that goes up and down when you lock the doors. In your
- field, what will you refer to that device as?
- 25 A It's actually two parts that make up the component. The

- lower portion is the door handle to open the door. And above it is the lock lever. We all just call it the flipper.
- Q Okay. And so is the flipper, if I understand you correctly,
- 4 the device that actually goes up and down when you operate the
- 5 locking mechanism in the car?
- 6 A Yes, it is.
- 7 Q Okay. I understand. Now, Mr. Delaney, if an individual was
- 8 in the rear seat of a 1999 Q-45 and the keys are in the ignition
- 9 and the vehicle is running, could you please describe for the
- jury how an individual could exit the vehicle and leave all of
- 11 the doors locked after they exit the vehicle?
- 12 A Certainly. They could reach forward between the passenger
- and the driver and actually physically use the lock switch on the
- main power assembly. They could then, using the flipper on the,
- say the left rear door, unlock the door, get out of the door,
- 16 close the flipper to the locked position, close the door, and
- 17 walk away.
- 18 Q I see. And would your analysis change if, for instance, the
- 19 individual was entering the car and the main locking mechanism
- was depressed, would your analysis change at that point then when
- 21 they shut the door?
- 22 A When they got into the vehicle?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A No. The same thing would have to occur.
- 25 Q How would they get out of the vehicle at that point and

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF PATRICK DULANEY BY FLANNERY

- 1 still leave the car locked?
- 2 A They would, if the car had already been locked, they would
- 3 simply just have to unlock the flipper on that door, open the
- door, close it after they press the lock button, then walk away.
- 5 Q So in a sense they would delete the first step of what you
- 6 mentioned before?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. Now, is there a way that they could, so to speak,
- 9 manually lock all the doors if they were in the rear seat of the
- 10 vehicle?
- 11 A They would have to physically push every flipper on every
- 12 door.
- 13 Q And then I assume obviously open one and exit?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Now, maybe present you with a different hypothetical.
- If an individual is outside of a 1999 Q-45 at this point and the
- keys are on and the vehicle is running, the doors are unlocked,
- they have to be able to get in, could you describe now how an
- 19 individual could lock the vehicle and obviously not lock
- themselves inside?
- 21 A They would have to be in the vehicle to -- the driver's door
- 22 | would have to be closed. They would have to manually lock the
- driver's door. And then they could manually lock the other
- doors, open them, push the flipper to lock it, close that door,
- 25 and follow through with the rear doors.

- 1 Q So one way they could do it essentially, just do what we
- 2 just talked about, get in and do what we said, undo the flipper,
- 3 get out, and when they shut the door, after locking it, all the
- 4 vehicle doors would be locked?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. Is there a way they could do it without even getting
- 7 into the car, just, you know, so to speak, open the rear door and
- 8 do it from there?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Well, let's say if they were to open the rear door, could
- 11 they reach forward, like you said, without shutting the rear
- door, stand in the way, lock it, would all the vehicle doors lock
- at that point, including the rear door that's opened?
- 14 A If they reached forward to the power switch?
- 15 O Um-hum.
- 16 A Yes, they could.
- 17 Q Then if they shut it all the doors would be locked?
- 18 A Yes, they could.
- 19 Q Is there another way -- what if they had a key?
- 20 A If they had a key, they could come up to the driver's door,
- 21 which has a key cylinder in it, and they could then move it to
- 22 the lock position and lock all of the doors.
- 23 Q And by key cylinder, you mean the actual slot where you
- 24 stick the key in?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. Now, Mr. Delaney, I have really one final point for
- 2 you. In your opinion, could you please describe the likelihood
- 3 that a 1999 Q-45 could be involved in an impact and have all of
- 4 its doors locked by virtue of, without human contact?
- 5 A Highly unlikely, if not impossible.
- 6 Q Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- 7 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. HARDING:
- 9 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Delaney.
- 10 A Good afternoon.
- 11 Q My name's Robert Harding and I represent the United States
- in this case, along with Mr. Hanlon here.
- I won't ask you how you got to know Mr. Flannery and
- Mr. Martin. But can you tell us, did they fill you in about what
- 15 this case is all about?
- 16 A They gave me just a brief summary. Two people were killed
- inside of this vehicle. They didn't go into details about how
- 18 they were killed or anything along those lines.
- 19 Q Okay. So obviously, you never saw the Q-45 that the murders
- 20 occurred in?
- 21 A No, I did not.
- 22 Q And you evidently were told that the car was involved in a
- crash, is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. Did you see photographs of the car? Did you have a

- 1 chance to examine the crash scene or anything like that?
- 2 A No, I did not.
- Okay. Infinitis are very nice cars, I know, Mr. Delaney.
- 4 But the locking mechanisms that you have in Infinitis are not
- 5 unique to Infinitis, are they? I mean, there are many cars that
- 6 have similar automatic locking systems, isn't that correct?
- 7 A This would be what would you call a semi-automatic locking
- 8 system. It doesn't, it doesn't lock on its own, whether you put
- 9 the vehicle in drive or start to move the vehicle forward. There
- 10 has to be some input to actually make the vehicle lock.
- 11 Q Right. And there are many cars with similar systems, are
- 12 they not?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O Are there not?
- 15 A Yes, there are.
- 16 Q The equipment that was in that diagram and the description
- 17 you gave of the mechanisms sounded similar to my own car, for
- example, which I assure you is not an Infiniti, unfortunately.
- 19 So there are a good many cars that have similar operations,
- 20 right?
- 21 A They are similar, yes.
- 22 Q Okay. And this includes, let me show you this picture right
- 23 here, which I guess I'll mark as an exhibit. I need some
- Post-Its to mark it. Maybe I could bum some Post-Its from Ms.
- 25 Arrington. I'm going to call this CR-1.

```
THE COURT: Government's CR-1?

Q Yes.
```

THE COURT: All right.

Q When Mr. Flannery told me that you were going to testify,

Mr. Dulaney, I went, an agent went up on a web site and pulled

this picture off of an Infiniti web site. Do you recognize what

A Yes. That's the driver's door on an Infiniti Q-45.

Q Okay. And this is the, what you called the door handle or flipper, is that correct?

A Where your nail is is the door handle. Above it and to your left -- no, it's part of the door handle but it's --

13 Q Oh, this?

it is?

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

A Yeah. That's the actual lock/unlock lever, flipper.

Q Okay. And this was a four door car that we have in this case. So these manually operated handles are on all four doors, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And then there's also this key switch right here.

There's a little picture of a key on it. And that's the actual switch that permits you to lock all of the doors at the same time or unlock them at the same time, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Or you could, if you wanted to -- correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Delaney -- if the doors were all locked, you could

- just pull this flipper out and use the handle to open the door,
- is that correct, if you were inside the car?
- 3 A Yes, you could.
- 4 Q Okay. Here's another picture. This is CR-2.
- 5 THE COURT: Government's CR-2.
- 6 Q Yeah. This is just a picture of the key switch sticking up
- 7 on the same sort of pad, door handle pad on the driver's side of
- 8 a Q-45, is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And it's a very sensitive little button, isn't it? You just
- 11 have to brush it, really, to make it lock or unlock the doors,
- isn't that correct?
- 13 A No. You've got to push it forward. Just a slight brush is
- 14 not enough to cause it to lock or unlock. You do actually have
- 15 to push it or pull it.
- Q Okay. Now, Mr. Flannery was running past some scenarios
- with you about how you could get out of the Q-45 and nevertheless
- leave all of the doors locked. And you mentioned a way of doing
- 19 that. Let me raise some other possible scenarios with you.
- 20 Okay?
- 21 A Certainly.
- 22 Q Supposing that the Q-45 were stopped and there were
- occupants in the front seat, two of them. And the doors were
- locked and somebody approached the rear passenger side door. And
- 25 one of the occupants reached back and used the handle to open the

- door to let the person in. That's perfectly possible, isn't it?
- 2 A They would have to reach back and move the flipper first.
 - Q Right.

- 4 A Before they could open the door. But yes.
- Q Okay. So then supposing -- this is obviously where the murder comes in -- supposing the person who got into the back
- 7 seat then shoots the people in the front seat. All he would have
- 8 to do in leaving is to flip the flipper on the door handle to
- 9 make it lock because all the other doors are already locked,
- 10 isn't that right?
- 11 A With the door open and then close it in? Yes.
- 12 Q In other words, if he'd left the door open all the time he
- could just get in and lock his door without ever pushing the main
- switch, isn't that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And isn't it true that if he got in -- let's raise another
- 17 scenario. Supposing he approached the Q-45 and the driver let
- 18 him in by pushing the main switch to open all the doors. And so
- 19 he was able to get in the rear passenger side door, sit down and
- shoot the people in the front seat. Let's say that when he shot
- 21 the driver, the driver's arm hit the main switch and caused it to
- lock all the doors. Is that a possibility?
- 23 A It's a possibility.
- 24 Q And then if he were to in the back seat, he could get out
- just by moving the flipper and, on his rear passenger side door,

getting out, depressing the flipper again, and shutting the door;
isn't that possible?

A Yes.

2.0

2.1

Q Okay. Let's say that the same thing happens. Somebody approaches the left rear passenger door. The driver unlocks all the doors to let him in. He gets in. Only the driver decides to lock all the doors at that point. Before anybody pulls out any gun or anything, the driver knows that he's about to engage in an illegal transaction so he thinks it's prudent to lock the doors in the car. So he depresses the main switch and locks all the doors in the car. Then the guy in the back seat pulls out a gun, shoots both of the people in the front seat, and leaves the same way, just by using the manual handle on the rear passenger side door and pushing the flipper again as he leaves to lock that door. That would leave all of the doors locked and yet the guy in the back seat would be able to get out, isn't that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Another scenario. Supposing the driver unlocks all the doors to let the guy get into the back seat and the guy enters. And it doesn't really matter whether he shuts the door or not. He gets into the back seat, pulls a gun and shoots the two people in the front of the car. But before he, just before he does that, the driver realizes what's happening and he reaches for the handle to get out. In that split second of alarm where he's trying to get out of car, he depresses this button and locks

all the doors to the car. The guy in the back seat could still get out whether he'd shut the back door or not because he could just, if he shut it, he would just use the manual handle to get out and depress the flipper again to lock it when he got out.

Isn't that right?

A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. And you said that the crash in this case -- let me show you a picture. I'll mark this as CR-3, Your Honor.

THE COURT: CR-3.

- Q This car was badly damaged, as you can see. Do you see that, Mr. Delaney?
- A Yes.
 - Q And CR-4 is the same thing. Supposing -- let me ask you this. Supposing that nobody ever touched the main switch at all, that the guy got into the back seat, shot both the driver and the other occupant of the front seat, exited the back seat and never bothered even to lock the door. But when he did so, the car proceeded to roll down a hill and crash into a tree. Now, you've said that the crash itself is unlikely to have caused the door locks to activate. But of course, there's a driver in the front seat of the car. And the first thing that happens is that he's thrown forward by the impact of the crash and, also, the air bag inflates.

I don't know if you've ever seen pictures of dummies in cars. But when they're in a crash, they flail around. It looks

```
like they're all shook up, sort of. Is it possible that the

driver's hand could have depressed the switch and locked all the

doors at that point?

MR. FLANNERY: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, Mr. Dulaney.
```

THE COOK!. Overfuled. Tou may answer, Mr. Duraney

MR. FLANNERY: Beyond the witness' qualifications.

THE COURT: You may answer.

A I would say that's also highly unlikely based on the location of the power lock switch in relation to the steering wheel. The power lock switch is ahead of the steering wheel. And when the air bag deploys, it's going to push the individual backwards, including their arms.

Q That's when the air bag explodes. But of course, the first impact, the first thing that happens is the body flies forward, isn't that right, right into the air bag?

A That air bag goes off so quick there really is no going forward. I've seen them go off first-hand and I wouldn't want to get hit with one.

Q Okay. And I hope you don't find this too gruesome or shocking, Mr. Dulaney. But I wanted to show you one more picture. See all the blood on the --

A Um-hum.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q -- door handle? Now, we don't know where that came from.

This is CR-5.

THE COURT: CR-5.

Q And this is the air bag, right here. It's deflated now, it looks like, or partially deflated. But you think that it's unlikely that if the body were thrown forward into the air bag and the air bag exploded, that his hand could have brushed up against this button right here and caused the doors to lock? Is

A Well --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

that your testimony?

MR. FLANNERY: Objection, Your Honor.

A I would still have to say --

THE COURT: Excuse me. The objection's overruled. You may proceed.

A I would still have to say that's highly unlikely, if not impossible, especially considering that to lock the doors you would have to pull the switch, not push the switch. And in the location of it so far forward of the steering wheel.

Q Okay. Let's try a different scenario. The assailant approaches the car and the driver unlocks all the doors to let the assailant in the back seat. The assailant does not shut his door, or maybe he does shut it because it doesn't really matter. He shoots both of the occupants of the car. He then, as he's leaving, decides to look for loot. And he does stuff like rip apart the console of the car. You know, you know what I'm talking about, right, the console?

A Center console?

Q Yeah. In fact, I think I have one. This is Government

```
1 Exhibit MP-2. Does that look like the console top of a Q-45?
```

- A Yes, it does.
- 3 Q And notice how there's dried blood on it. Do you see that,
- 4 Mr. Dulaney?

- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q And I'm going to mark this as CR-6.
- 7 THE COURT: CR-6.
- 8 Q The top to the console wound up on the back seat. Do you
- 9 see that?
- 10 A Yes, I do.
- 11 Q So that adds some, some credibility to my scenario here
- 12 because --
- MR. MARTIN: Objection.
- MR. FLANNERY: Objection, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 Q I'm just raising a hypothetical, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: You may state a hypothetical.
- 18 Q The assailant in the back seat is looking for stuff and he
- rips off the console. And while he's up there messing around in
- 20 the front he decides to lock all the doors just because that
- 21 | would help to thwart law enforcement or thwart anybody else who
- 22 came across this crime scene. And then he leaves the same way,
- by going out the rear passenger door, either by unlocking it
- 24 manually or, if he never left, or if he never shut it in the
- 25 first place, all he has to do is depress the flipper and that

- 1 will make sure that that door is locked, also. That would be a 2 possible scenario, too, wouldn't it, Mr. Dulaney?
- 3 Yes, it would.
- Of course, there are lots of other possible scenarios and 5 I'll just mention one more. Supposing that the assailant gets 6 into the back seat and shoots the two occupants in the front 7 seat, but the assailant has an accomplice who helps him rummage through the front seat. He approaches from the outside of the 8 9 car, opens the driver's side door. And after he's done looking 10 for loot, pushes down the lock switch, the main switch. And both 11 of them leave together after shutting both of the doors. That's 12
 - Actually, no, it's not. If the key is in the ignition and the driver's door is open and the lock button is depressed to lock the doors, they will lock and then unlock. It's an anti-lockout feature to try to keep the owner from locking their keys in the car. It only applies to the driver's door.
 - Yeah, I know about that. It only applies to the driver's door. But what about if the rear passenger door is open because our friend, the assailant, has left it open?
- And the driver's door is closed? 2.1 Α

another possible scenario, isn't it?

22 0 Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- 23 If the lock button is pushed, the rear door will lock and Α 24 stay locked.
- 25 Okay. Well, let's do it this way, then. The guy opens the

- driver's side door, depresses the main switch, as you call it.
- 2 That locks all the doors, right?
- 3 A With the door open and the key in the ignition, they will
- 4 lock for a split second and then all of the doors will unlock.
- 5 Q I see. So what about if -- okay. Well, forget that one.
- 6 I'm not, I'm going to get way too complicated in a minute. So
- 7 I'm losing, I'm losing interest.
- But the point is that there are eight or nine different
- 9 scenarios that we've been through already here today that could
- 10 explain how all, all of the doors wound up being locked even
- 11 though the assailant was able to get out of the back seat, isn't
- 12 that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Just one moment, Your Honor. No further questions,
- 15 Your Honor.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. FLANNERY:
- 18 Q Mr. Dulaney, just a couple of quick points. We talked, you
- and I really set out the basic scenario that Mr. Harding really
- 20 just offered different variations of. Is that your
- 21 understanding?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q The general scenario is if you're inside the vehicle, the
- 24 way to lock all the doors mechanically is to depress the driver's
- 25 mechanical operation?

- 1 A The lock switch on the main switch.
- 2 Q Correct.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And that will lock all the doors?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And then it's up to the individual in the back seat to touch
- 7 | the flipper, open the flipper, open the door, exit it, re-lock
- 8 the flipper, and shut it?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And there's different combinations. For instance, if the
- door was open in the beginning, like you discussed with Mr.
- Harding, it's already locked at that point. And an individual
- could either shut it or not shut it?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q If they shut it, the door's closed and locked?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q If they leave it open, one door is open and the doors are
- 18 locked?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q But in order for an individual to get out of the back seat
- with the doors closed, and the vehicle is locked, they have to
- 22 touch the flipper, touch the handle, and then re-lock the
- 23 flipper?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And you didn't see any pictures before today regarding the

- 1 accident, did you?
- 2 A No, I did not.
- 3 Q You didn't see any pictures of the vehicle?
- 4 A No, I did not.
- 5 Q But does that change your analysis as to the likelihood of
- 6 the Q-45 1999 year having all of its doors locked by running into
- 7 an object down an incline?
- 8 A No, it does not.
- 9 Q And I think you testified with Mr. Harding that that vehicle
- was not equipped with an ability to lock this door simply by
- 11 being put in motion, is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q It does not have that ability?
- 14 A Yes, it does not.
- 15 Q Thank you. No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Dulaney. You're
- 17 excused. Thank you for coming in.
- MR. COBURN: Will this be a good time for an afternoon
- 19 break, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: No. I was hoping we could do one more.
- Isn't there another witness?
- MR. COBURN: Mr. Pyne has one.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. PYNE: Lakeisha McCoy, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right.

- 1 LAKEISHA MCCOY, GOVERNMENT MARTIN WITNESS, SWORN
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 3 THE CLERK: Be seated. Speak directly toward the mike.
- 4 State your name and spell it for the record, please.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Lakeisha --
- 6 THE COURT: You can pull that mike down and get it out
- of your face, Ms. McCoy. All right. State your name and spell
- 8 it, please.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Lakeisha McCoy. L-A-K-E-I-S-H-A.
- 10 M-C-C-O-Y.
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. PYNE:
- 13 Q Good afternoon, Ms. McCoy. I appreciate your waiting
- 14 around. Where are you living now?
- 15 A 1103 West Lanvale.
- 16 Q Okay. And was there a time that you lived at 801 Kevin
- 17 Road?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And were you living there with your grandmother?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And when did you start living there, if you recall?
- 22 A When, when did I start?
- 23 Q If you recall, yeah.
- 24 A Since I was born.
- Q Okay. I'm going to ask you if you know an individual by the

- 1 name of Shelly Wayne Martin?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And how do you know him?
- 4 A Friend.
- 5 Q Okay. And what did you call him when you knew him?
- 6 A Wayne.
- 7 Q Okay. And did you meet a friend of his at the same time?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And what was his name?
- 10 A Goo.
- 11 Q And how did you come to meet Mr. Martin and this individual
- 12 you call Goo?
- 13 A I met Shelly Martin and Mr. Wayne at a shopping center.
- 14 Then I met Goo because him and Wayne used to live together.
- 15 Q And do you recall when it was, what year it was that you
- 16 first met them?
- 17 A I was like 15, 16.
- 18 Q Okay.
- MR. HARDING: I'm sorry. Couldn't hear.
- THE COURT: Could you keep your voice up, please, Ms.
- 21 McCoy.
- 22 Q Speak right into the mike and try and keep your voice up as
- loud as possible, if you could.
- THE COURT: You say you were 15 or 16?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 1 BY MR. PYNE:
- 2 Q I'm going to direct you to March of 2002 and ask if you
- 3 recall the events surrounding your birthday.
- 4 A Um-hum.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 Q For the record, because the stenographer has to take down
- 9 everything you say and she can only take down a yes or no. Okay?
- Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you recall
- 11 happening around your birthday? First of all, when is your
- 12 birthday?
- 13 A March the 24th.
- Q Okay. And what, if anything, do you recall happening around
- 15 March the 24th of 2002?
- 16 A Shelly took me to the movies.
- 17 Q Okay. And how did that come about?
- 18 A He called me and asked, he told me he was coming to get me
- and I told him it was my birthday. He told me he was taking me
- 20 to the movies.
- 21 Q He was going to take you to the movies, is that what you
- 22 said?
- 23 A Um-hum.
- Q Is that unusual? Did you normally go to the movies with Mr.
- 25 Martin?

- 1 A No. That was the first time he ever took me out.
- 2 Q Okay. What was your relationship with him, then?
- 3 A We was just friends.
- 4 Q But on that particular night, since it was your birthday, he
- 5 took you to the movies?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you recall what time you went to the movies?
- 8 A It was after eight.
- 9 Q Sometime after eight?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now, do you have any children, Ms. McCoy?
- 12 A Two.
- Q And what would their ages have been at that time, in 2002?
- 14 A They was five and six.
- 15 Q Okay. And did you need to get a babysitter for them?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And who did you arrange to care for your children?
- 18 A My mother.
- 19 Q Okay. Tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what
- 20 exactly you recall happening that night in terms of going to the
- 21 movie.
- 22 A He came and picked me up. He paid my mother to babysit.
- 23 And stopped at the liquor store, got a drink. We went to the
- 24 movies, drunk our drink while we was waiting for the movies to
- 25 start. Then we went in the movies. After the movies, I went

- 1 over his house, stayed the night, and then left the next day,
- 2 like in the afternoon.
- 3 Q Okay. When you're saying "he", who are you referring to?
- 4 A Shelly.
- 5 Q Is that what you call him?
- 6 A I call him Wayne.
- 7 Q Okay. I would ask you if you could look around the
- 8 courtroom here today and see if you see the individual you're
- 9 referring to?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Could you point him out for the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 12 jury?
- 13 A (Indicating.)
- 14 Q The individual all the way at the end of this row?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q For the record, that is Mr. Martin. Now, you say he picked
- 17 you up. Do you recall what kind of car he used when he picked
- 18 you up?
- 19 A It was a white Buick. I think it was a Buick.
- 20 Q Buick?
- 21 A I think so.
- Q Okay.
- 23 A I don't know. It was white.
- Q Was there anyone else with you?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q So it was just you and Mr. Martin?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you said you went to the liquor store, is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And do you recall who, if anything -- who made any purchases
- 6 at the liquor store?
- 7 A It was me.
- 8 Q Okay. And what did you buy?
- 9 A Bacardi and soda.
- 10 Q And you paid for that yourself, if you recall?
- 11 A I don't remember if he gave me the money or not. He
- 12 probably gave me the money.
- Q Okay. I realize this is sometime ago. Just to the best of
- 14 your recollection. Do you recall what movie theater you went to?
- 15 A Owings Mills.
- 16 Q Owings Mills?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q All right. Do you recall, was there a particular movie you
- were going to see?
- 20 A Blade Two.
- 21 Q All right. And do you recall what time that movie started?
- 22 A I think it was 10:00.
- Q Okay. And you and Shelly, were you with anyone else or was
- it just you and Shelly Wayne Martin?
- 25 A Just me and him.

- 1 Q Okay. And you went into the movie. Do you recall if you
- 2 stayed for the entire movie?
- 3 A We stayed for the whole movie.
- 4 Q All right. Do you recall if either you or Mr. Martin were
- 5 | making any phone calls during that movie?
- 6 A I fell asleep so I don't know. I didn't make any phone
- 7 calls.
- 8 | O Okay. You didn't make any phone calls. Do you recall
- 9 approximately what time you left the movie theater?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q All right. Do you recall where you went after you left the
- 12 movie theater?
- 13 A We went to his mother house.
- 14 Q All right. And would that be the house at Two Cree Court?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And who was at Two Cree Court when you arrived there?
- 17 A Nobody that I know of.
- 18 Q All right. And what, if anything, did you do when you
- 19 arrived there?
- 20 A I went straight downstairs to his room.
- 21 Q All right. Can you describe a bit, for the ladies and
- gentlemen of the jury, how Two Cree Court is set up, if you
- 23 recall?
- 24 A It's like a complex. And his mother house is like a corner
- house.

- 1 Q Okay. And when you walk in the front door, where do you go
- 2 when you walk in the front door?
- 3 A When I walk in the front door, I like went to my right down
- 4 some steps.
- 5 Q Down some steps? Is that where Shelly Wayne Martin's
- 6 bedroom was?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q All right. And what, if you went to the left and went up
- 9 steps, what was up there?
- 10 A I don't know. I never been up there.
- 11 Q Okay. So what happened once you got there? You immediately
- went down the steps and went to the bedroom?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And what happened then?
- 15 A Then he went upstairs and fixed us something to eat.
- 16 Q And did you eat that down in the bedroom? Is that what
- 17 you're saying?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And did you go anywhere after that?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did there come any point in the night that Mr. Martin left
- your presence?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q And when -- after you had something to eat, I take it you
- 25 | went to sleep, is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q All right. And do you recall what time was it you woke up
- 3 the next day?
- 4 A I don't know exactly what time it was. Might have been like
- 5 after nine.
- 6 Q After nine sometime?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And what did you do then?
- 9 A Then he took me home.
- 10 Q Do you recall what time it was that he took you home?
- 11 A It was like noon.
- 12 Q Okay. When you got up the next morning, did you see anyone
- 13 else in the house?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did you hear anyone else --
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q -- moving around in the house? Were you aware of anyone
- 18 else being present in the house?
- 19 A No.
- Q Okay. Do you have any criminal record, Ms. McCoy?
- 21 A I've been locked up a couple of times but I've never been
- 22 convicted.
- 23 Q All right. Your Honor, I don't think I have anything
- further. Thank you very much, Ms. McCoy.
- 25 A You're welcome.

- 1 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. HARDING:
- 3 Q Hi, Ms. McCoy.
- 4 A Hello.
- 5 | Q My name's Robert Harding, but we've already met, haven't we?
- 6 A I don't remember you.
- 7 Q You don't remember?
- 8 A Nope.
- 9 Q Well, my feelings are hurt.
- 10 A I'm sorry.
- 11 Q Do you remember getting subpoenaed to the grand jury about
- 12 three or four years ago?
- 13 A Yeah. I remember being there.
- 14 Q Do you remember that there were a couple of prosecutors
- involved when you appeared in the grand jury?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. Now, when you appeared in the grand jury you also
- mentioned that Wayne was a friend of yours, but you actually
- described it somewhat differently in the grand jury. Do you
- 20 remember that?
- 21 A How did I describe it?
- 22 O Best friend?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. But you said that you knew Wayne had never had a job.
- Do you remember that?

- 1 A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Okay. And yet you remembered that back in the '90s he had a
- 3 Mercedes Benz and two Lexuses. Do you remember that?
- 4 A I ain't never say two. I said one.
- 5 O You said one Lexus?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And one Mercedes Benz?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Well, do you remember being asked this question?
- 10 MR. PYNE: I'll object.
- 11 THE COURT: Just read it to her, Mr. Harding.
- 12 Q Do you remember what kind of cars he had? Answer: Lexus.
- Question: A Lexus? Answer: He had like two Lexuses
- 14 and a Benz.
- Do you remember that?
- 16 A I just said I remember one Lexus.
- 17 Q Okay. And then the next question was: Two Lexuses and a
- Mercedes Benz? And you answered, yes. Do you remember saying
- 19 that in the grand jury?
- 20 A I don't remember.
- 21 Q Okay. And when he got out of -- you knew that he had just
- gotten out of a halfway house in March of 2002. Do you remember
- 23 that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And he had a Chrysler LeBaron when he got out, is that

- 1 right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And you knew that when he, when you first met him,
- 4 you said just now on direct that you were 15 or 16. But since we
- don't know how old you are, it's hard for me to figure how long
- 6 ago that was. May I ask how old you are?
- 7 A 29.
- 8 Q Okay. So we're talking about maybe 15 years ago. 1993,
- 9 does that sound right? Or '94, something like --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you said he was living with Mr. Gardner at that point?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O Goo?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Where was he living?
- 16 A I can't remember the name of the apartments but it was off
- of Cooks Lane.
- 18 Q Is that in Randallstown?
- 19 A No.
- Q Where is it?
- 21 A It's off of Cooks Lane. I don't know the name of the
- 22 neighborhood.
- 23 Q Northwest of Baltimore in the county?
- 24 A Um-hum. Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. Did there come a time when Wayne moved down to

- 1 southwest Baltimore with his mother, or was that before you met
- 2 him?
- 3 A Yeah. I remember when his mother used to live in south
- 4 Baltimore.
- 5 O You remember when his mother moved down there?
- A Not when she moved down there. But I, I was down that house
- 7 before.
- 8 Q Okay. Was that after you met him on Cooks Lane?
- 9 A I don't remember.
- 10 Q Okay. You knew that Wayne and Goo were tight, like
- 11 brothers, right?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. You knew that they had committed some robberies
- 14 together with one or two other people; did you know that?
- MR. COBURN: Objection. Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q Okay. Back to March of 2002. You knew that he had just
- gotten out of a halfway house a few weeks before this movie you
- went to with him on your birthday, is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you knew that before he was in the halfway house, he'd
- been locked up in a jail, right?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q But you didn't know, did you, what prison he was locked up
- in, isn't that correct?

- 1 A I don't remember.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. Your answer again?
- 3 A I don't remember.
- 4 Q Well, did you testify in the grand jury, do you remember,
- 5 | that you had lost touch with him for some years? Is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A I talked to him when he was locked up, before he went to the
- 8 halfway house.
- 9 Q I'm sorry, Ms. McCoy. I'll be right with you. Do you
- 10 remember being asked about the federal prison where Mr. Martin
- was locked up and you answered, I have no idea; the only place I
- do remember is the halfway house but I know we had lost contact
- with each other and he was locked up for like two years before he
- went to the halfway house. Do you remember that question and
- 15 answer?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And do you remember the next question? Oh, so you didn't
- communicate with him during that period of time? And your answer
- 19 was no. Do you remember that?
- 20 A No. If I said no, I ain't remember that. But now I
- 21 remember that I did talk to him. Like before he came home I was
- 22 talking to him.
- Q Okay. You remember when you got subpoenaed to the grand
- jury, Ms. McCoy, you didn't want to talk to me or my co-counsel
- or the agents before you went into the grand jury? Do you

- 1 remember that?
- 2 A Um-um. I don't remember that.
- 3 | Q Well, you don't, you didn't remember me so --
- 4 A That's why I ain't remember that.
- Okay. But you couldn't refuse to testify in the grand jury,
- 6 | could you, because you got a subpoena to testify, right?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q And when you testified, there were a lot of things that you
- 9 didn't remember or didn't know when you testified in the grand
- jury, isn't that right?
- 11 A I remembered a lot of things back then.
- 12 Q Okay. Well, you didn't know where Wayne had been locked up
- before he was in the halfway house and you didn't know what he
- was locked up for, either, did you?
- 15 A No.
- Q Okay. You didn't know that he had been arrested on I-95
- 17 back in 1999 with Goo, did you?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q You didn't know that he'd been arrested a few days before
- 20 that with a gun in his car here in Baltimore, did you?
- 21 A No.
- Q And you didn't know anything at all about Goo's arrest. You
- 23 | never knew he was ever arrested, right?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q And you had never met any of Goo's girlfriends, correct?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q You didn't know the woman he was living with back in 2002
- 3 until you met her when you came in for the grand jury, because
- 4 she was subpoenaed for the same day you were, isn't that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. So you'd never been out to Goo's house?
- 7 A No.
- 8 | O Okay. You didn't know Willie Mitchell or Bo, you said, is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q And you didn't know Shelton Harris, is that correct?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Let me call your attention to the night of the movie. You
- said, when you were asked in the grand jury, that you had no idea
- 15 what the movie was about. Do you remember that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you said, as you did here today, that you, in fact, fell
- asleep right at the beginning of the movie?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q So that's why you don't know anything about what happened in
- 21 the movie?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q But you remember the name of the movie, don't you?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q What was the name of the movie?

- 1 A Blade Two.
- 2 Q You didn't remember when the movie ended, as you testified
- 3 here today, is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And you didn't see anyone you knew the entire time you were
- 6 | with Wayne that night before the movie, during the movie, or
- 7 after the movie, or even the next day, until you got driven away
- 8 by Mr. Martin, is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And we asked you, also, whether he had ever used his cell
- 11 phone that night. You said he used it a lot before the movie
- 12 started. Do you remember that?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q You don't remember saying that he was on the phone all the
- 15 | time or words to that effect?
- 16 A Not while we was in the movies. When we was in the car,
- 17 yeah.
- 18 Q Right. When you were in the car. Of course, you don't know
- what happened during the movie because you were asleep, right?
- 20 A Exactly.
- Q Okay. Now, even though you didn't know a lot of stuff, when
- 22 you testified in the grand jury there were certain things that
- you remembered very clearly, such as the name of the movie and
- 24 the fact that it began at 10 p.m., isn't that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And you remembered the movie theater that it was in, is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q When you testified in the grand jury, you remembered that he
- 5 | had paid for the movie tickets with a credit card. Is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A I don't remember that.
- 8 Q You don't --
- 9 A I ain't never know he like paid with a credit card. I don't
- remember.
- 11 Q Yeah. And you weren't asked about that on direct, were you
- 12 Ms., I mean by Mr. Pyne, when he was questioning you. He didn't
- ask you about the method that Mr. Martin used to pay for the
- 14 tickets, did he?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. But back when you were interviewed by the homicide
- detectives, you remember they took a taped statement from you
- 18 | back in 2002?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Do you remember them asking you, the detective asked,
- 21 All right, who paid for the movies? And you answered, He did.
- 22 And then the detective said, And do you remember how he paid for
- 23 the movies? You said, Credit card. And then the detective said,
- And that was his credit card? And you said, Yes. Do you
- 25 remember that?

```
1 A No.
```

- Q Well, I happen to have a tape here of the conversation.
- 3 Would you like us -- let's just have a quick listen.
- Oh, actually, I'm sorry. No. I'm going to have to
- fast forward this a bit.
- 6 (Tape playing.)
- 7 Q Does that refresh your recollection about your telling the
- 8 detectives back in November of 2002 that Mr. Martin paid for the
- 9 movie tickets with a credit card, his credit card?
- 10 A I guess so. That's my voice.
- 11 Q Okay. And do you remember submitting a sworn affidavit on
- 12 May 1st of 2002 to the Circuit Court for Baltimore City in
- connection with Mr. Martin's state arrest in this case, Ms.
- McCoy? Do you remember that?
- 15 A Ask me again.
- Q Do you remember filling out a sworn affidavit on May 1st of
- 2002, well before you were interviewed by the homicide
- 18 detectives?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q You don't remember?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Is that your signature?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Does looking at this document refresh your recollection?
- 25 A Yeah. Yes.

- Q It does? Okay. Do you remember what you said about how the tickets were acquired in your sworn affidavit?
 - A It had to be what I said on the tape.
- 4 Q Well, actually, it's not at all what you said on the tape.
- 5 Let me, maybe I should move this into evidence, Your Honor. Or
- 6 should I just put it on the screen?
- 7 THE COURT: Without objection, it's admitted.
- 8 Q Okay. This will be CR-7.
- 9 THE COURT: Government's CR-7.
- Q You said, Mr. Martin and I arrived at the Owings Mills
 theater at approximately 9:30 p.m. We sat in the car and had a
 drink. Mr. Martin went into the theater and purchased the
 tickets and returned to the car. Mr. Martin and I then entered
 the theater and remained in the theater for the entire 10:00
- Do you remember that?

showing of Blade Two.

17 A Yes.

15

- Q So what you initially told the police was that you weren't even there when Mr. Martin bought the tickets?
- 20 A When I said that?
- 21 Q In this affidavit.
- A How wasn't I there? I was there when he bought the tickets.
- 23 That's what the thing just said to me. I was there.
- Q You waited in the car while he went in and bought the
- 25 tickets. That's what it says, Ms. McCoy, doesn't it?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And then he returned to the car and then the both of you
- 3 went in and watched the movie?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So you have no idea how he paid for the tickets?
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q So at some point someone came to you prior to your being
- 8 interviewed by the homicide detectives and told you that it was
- 9 important for you to say that he paid for the tickets with a
- 10 credit card, isn't that right, Ms. McCoy?
- 11 A I don't remember. Nobody ain't tell me it was important to
- 12 tell me he paid by a credit card.
- Q So your testimony here today is that you didn't know him to
- pay with a credit card, is that correct, ever, for anything?
- 15 A I don't remember.
- 16 Q You don't remember. Okay. No further questions, Your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. PYNE:
- 20 Q Just two questions. Just so the ladies and gentlemen of the
- jury are clear. You were not present when Shelly Wayne Martin
- 22 actually purchased the tickets. You were in the car in the
- 23 parking lot?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And did anyone ever tell you that it was important for you

- 1 to say that Shelly Wayne Martin paid with a credit card?
- 2 A No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- 3 Q All right. That's all I have. Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Ms. McCoy, thank you very much. You're excused.
- THE WITNESS: Welcome.
 - THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a recess at this time. I think we have time for one more witness this afternoon. We're making good progress.
 - Please leave your note pads on your chairs. Have no discussion about the case or about any of the evidence you've heard. Continue to keep an open mind about all issues. The jury's excused for a 15 minute recess.
 - (Jury exits the courtroom.)
 - THE COURT: Is Mr. Johnson the only one we've got left today? Nobody else has a witness? Okay. I'd like Mr. Johnson brought down, please, when the defendants are brought back.

 Immediately after, we'll deal with him first, and we'll have a short voir dire. And then we'll conclude. We're in recess for 15 minutes.
 - (Recess at 4:17 p.m.)
- 22 (Jury not present in courtroom. Defendants present.)
- THE COURT: All right. Let's deal with Mr. Johnson.
- MR. HARDING: Could I raise one preliminary issue?
- THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. HARDING: We have some of the witnesses in the audience and I wish to invoke the sequestration rule.

THE COURT: All right. Who are the witnesses?

MR. HARDING: Some of today's witnesses.

MR. MARTIN: Already testified.

THE COURT: They've already testified. I think I recognize Ms. Gardner and Ms. McCoy.

MR. HARDING: Yes.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Ms. Gardner and Ms. McCoy, you're free remain in the courtroom for the balance of the trial so long as you've been assured by counsel that you're not likely to be recalled as a witness and so long as you do not discuss anything that you see or hear in the courtroom with any other potential witness in the case. All right. I think that takes care of it.

The record will reflect that I got a phone call from the lead marshal, advising the Court that Mr. Johnson was refusing to come down. He did not wish to testify. The message I sent back was that the Court would like to address Mr. Johnson and that it may well be that he will not have to testify, but I need him to cooperate in coming to the courtroom.

Now, Mr. Kurland, I think you indicated, maybe I got it wrong, that basically you wanted Mr. Johnson to answer, I thought I heard four questions that, if I understood it, had already been asked him in the state court trial.

MR. KURLAND: Yes.

1 THE COURT: What are those questions? 2 MR. KURLAND: Okay. The first question is when he went 3 and showed the apartment complex to Mr. Montgomery, he went with Mr. Montgomery alone, which is consistent with his state court 4 5 testimony, where he says, I went out there with Will one time. 6 And also a page later he said --7 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. 8 MR. KURLAND: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Just tell me what questions you wish to 10 ask. 11 MR. KURLAND: The questions I wish to ask, I'm going to 12 ask him, I'm going to ask him, did there come a time in early 13 2002 that you pointed out the apartment building where Darius 14 Spence lived, you pointed that out to Mr. Will Montgomery? 15 THE COURT: Okay. That's one question. What's the 16 next one? 17 MR. KURLAND: And I wanted to clarify, just you and 18 him. 19 MR. HARDING: That's a question that was not in the 2.0 state proceeding. 2.1 MR. KURLAND: Well, he then goes on to say --22 THE COURT: What's the next question? 23 MR. KURLAND: I'm going to ask him --24 THE COURT: What is the next question? I just want to 25 hear the questions.

1 MR. KURLAND: Sure. I'm sorry. At the time of the 2 June 7th, 2002 events, is it true that you had never met Mr. 3 Holly and Mr. Gardner? THE COURT: All right. What's the next question? 4 5 MR. KURLAND: The next question was going to be, at 6 that time, did you even, did you even know Mr. Holly or Mr. 7 Gardner? 8 THE COURT: The next question? 9 MR. KURLAND: The next question is going to be 10 questions concerning that he pled to a conspiracy to commit an 11 unarmed robbery, and show him the count of conviction. This says 12 that he pled to unarmed robbery with Gardner and Holly, but then 13 ask him a question that was asked in the state court trial. 14 your agreement or anything you did with respect to this was with 15 Mr. Montgomery, to which he answered yes. 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Kurland, I'm not following 17 you. Was he asked about the unarmed robbery? First of all, he 18 testified in the Gardner trial? 19 MR. KURLAND: Yes. 2.0 THE COURT: And he was asked about the armed robbery? 2.1 MR. KURLAND: He was asked about his role in the events 22 and he said that he showed Will where --23 THE COURT: Right. But I'm talking now about the armed 24 robbery. MR. KURLAND: He pled guilty. He was originally 25

charged with murder and several other counts. He pled guilty to conspiracy to commit unarmed robbery with Holly and Gardner.

That was --

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: Yes. Yes. That was elicited in the state trial. And he also went on to specifically be asked, but you, your agreement or anything you did was with Mr. Montgomery? And he said yes.

THE COURT: In connection with the Spence matter?

THE COURT: Okay. So if he doesn't want to testify, you could actually read the transcript. I mean, I think you indicated that.

MR. KURLAND: Yes. And actually, we've worked out, I've been talking over the break with Mr. Harding. And we have basically worked out a stipulation to read in portions of the transcript. There's a couple other points of disagreement concerning how much of his prior criminal record he should be able to get out, a 609(a)(1) issue, which we would put up to the court.

We would ask that the transcript portions, over the weekend Mr. Harding and I would work out the portions we want.

We would redact any reference to this specifically being --

THE COURT: You're giving me way too much information, Mr. Kurland.

MR. KURLAND: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Way too much. Maybe I jumped the gun. Do

you no longer need Mr. Johnson?

2.0

2.1

MR. KURLAND: I believe the government and myself has worked out the contours of a stipulation that neither party is going to renege on. So no.

THE COURT: So the answer is you don't need him?

MR. KURLAND: As long as the government will represent that we're going to be able to stipulate, I don't need him.

MR. HARDING: Just so that it's clear, Your Honor, what we agreed to, in view, we think that under Rule 804(b)(1), this evidence would probably come in, the transcript of his trial testimony. We think that the entire trial testimony should come in with the exception of anything that shows that Mr. Gardner was on trial in state court for this crime. I mean, it's, it could be pretty easily redacted to do that. So the government thinks that what should come in is the entirety of the transcript.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARDING: Plus we've agreed that he will, we will get into evidence that particular charge from the charging document that Mr. Johnson pled guilty to.

THE COURT: All right. Let me see if I have this right.

MR. HARDING: And just one more thing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. HARDING: And I hope I'm not causing a headache.

THE COURT: No. No, you're not. Go ahead.

1 MR. HARDING: If he were to testify, of course, I would 2 have a right to cross examine him about his prior convictions. 3 THE COURT: Of course. MR. HARDING: So I wanted Mr. Kurland to stipulate to 4 5 the fact that he has about five prior convictions, four of them 6 narcotics offenses, and one of them the robbery that he pled to 7 in this case. 8 So Mr. Kurland, the hang-up, the only remaining hang-up 9 with that is that under Rule 609, he have a right to argue under 10 Rule 403 that some of those are more prejudicial than probative. 11 I think that the Court can indicate --12 THE COURT: Okay. Now you are giving me a headache. 13 MR. HARDING: I'd better sit down. THE COURT: Can we bring Mr. Johnson out, please? 14 15 Thank you. 16 (Mr. Johnson enters the courtroom.) 17 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, good afternoon. This is Judge 18 Davis speaking to you, sir. Over here. Would you state, just 19 state your name, please? 2.0 MR. JOHNSON: Jamane Johnson. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson, you've been 22 subpoenaed as a witness in this case to testify on behalf of the 23 defense. The matters about which you will be questioned relate

almost entirely, as I understand it, to matters that you have

already testified about in state court. It may be that you don't

24

1 have to testify at all because the lawyers have essentially 2 agreed to use your prior testimony in the form of a transcript so 3 that you don't have to testify. It also appears that you have been granted immunity 4 5 both by the State and by the Federal Government such that you 6 cannot be prosecuted for anything that you might say so long as 7 you are truthful here this afternoon. 8 Now, I understand you are serving a sentence in state 9 Division of Correction. Is that correct, sir? 10 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: All right. You do not presently have an 12 attorney, is that correct? 13 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So the question I have for you is, 15 are you willing to answer a few questions? I'm told that it's 16 just a very few questions related to your relationship with Mr. 17 Montgomery and Mr. Gardner and the events of June 7, 2002, about 18 which you have already testified. 19 MR. JOHNSON: No. 2.0 THE COURT: Are you willing to testify? 2.1 MR. JOHNSON: No. 22 THE COURT: You would rather not testify? 23 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

THE COURT: Can you tell the Court why you would rather

24

25

not testify?

```
1
                 MR. JOHNSON: Because I just don't know really the
 2
       whole situation I'm in.
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay. If the Court says you don't have a
       Fifth Amendment privilege and orders you to testify --
 4
                 MR. JOHNSON: I ain't --
 5
 6
                 THE COURT: Just let me ask the question. Will you
 7
       comply with the Court's order?
 8
                 MR. JOHNSON: I'm not testifying.
 9
                 THE COURT: So you would not comply with the Court's
10
       order?
11
                 MR. JOHNSON: I ain't testifying, period.
12
                 THE COURT: You understand that you could found in
13
       contempt of court if you don't testify?
14
                 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
15
                 THE COURT: All right. And your reason, again, is you
16
       just don't want to be bothered?
17
                 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, right.
18
                 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, from our earlier colloquy,
19
       while it may well be that I could technically hold Mr. Johnson in
2.0
       contempt, it sounds to me like you have substantially reached
2.1
       agreement, perhaps with a couple of rulings from the Court, and
22
       we can present Mr. Johnson's testimony by way of the transcript.
23
       Is that satisfactory, Mr. Kurland?
24
                 MR. KURLAND: Reluctantly, yes, Your Honor, that is
25
       satisfactory.
```

1 MR. HARDING: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson is excused. 2 I thank counsel for your efforts this afternoon. 3 think we got through the afternoon very well. 4 Who do we have lined up for Monday? Starting with Ms. 5 Rhodes. 6 7 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, one --8 THE COURT: I'll come back to you in a moment. Just 9 want to get the jury out of here. 10 MR. HARDING: Could I just say one thing about Jamane 11 Johnson, for the record? 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 MR. HARDING: The government does not consider his 14 testimony in the state court proceedings to be truthful and the use immunity letter that we gave him on that occasion was 15 16 conditioned upon his complete truthfulness. THE COURT: I see. So he does have a Fifth Amendment 17 18 privilege. I'm sorry. I should have asked you, Mr. Harding. 19 MR. HARDING: I just want that to be on the record. 2.0 We're still going to stipulate because even if he asserted his 2.1 Fifth Amendment right --22 THE COURT: Sure, of course. 23 MR. HARDING: -- still would make him unavailable. 24 THE COURT: Sure. But I appreciate the correction 25 because, in fact, I was labeling under the misapprehension that

```
1
      he had testified in a manner that the federal and state
 2
       governments believed to be truthful. But thanks for clarifying
 3
       that.
                 All right. Who do we have, Ms. Rhodes?
 4
 5
                 MS. RHODES: Your Honor, first of all, I think Mr.
 6
       Flannery might have another five or ten minutes today.
 7
                 THE COURT: Mr. Who?
 8
                 MS. RHODES: Mr. Flannery.
 9
                 THE COURT: Oh, you got another witness?
10
                 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, we have, we want to play the
11
       cell phone tape again with a transcript.
12
                 THE COURT: With whom? With whom on the stand?
13
                 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, we don't have to have anybody
14
      on the stand.
15
                 THE COURT: No. I didn't say you had to. But Ms.
16
       Rhodes said you had -- well, I guess she said you had ten more
17
       minutes. I thought she meant another witness. So you don't have
18
       another witness?
19
                 MR. MARTIN: No, not today, Your Honor.
2.0
                 THE COURT: You have a witness on Monday?
2.1
                 MR. MARTIN: We are not sure. We were supposed to meet
22
       with the witness last night. We didn't, but we're going to try
23
      to do it tomorrow. I think we will have one.
24
                 THE COURT: All right. We'll do that. So who do we
25
      have, Ms. Rhodes?
```

1 You know, before I do that, I had forgotten. Let's do 2 the tape and then we can deal with that. Yes, Mr. Kurland? 3 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, particularly in light of the last witness' testimony and, again, the government's cross 4 5 examination with the emphasis on state court proceedings, could 6 the jury be instructed today with the dual sovereignty 7 instruction so it doesn't just come --THE COURT: Of course. And I, I frankly meant to do it 8 9 this morning. And with the jurors being excused and all that, I 10 just had forgotten it. 11 MR. KURLAND: My stress test will go so much better 12 tomorrow if that instruction is given. 13 THE COURT: I will give it as soon as Mr. Martin has 14 concluded the tape. 15 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, thank you very much. 16 THE COURT: Let's have the jury, please. 17 (Jury enters the courtroom.) 18 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I have, you recall --19 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 2.0 Mr. Martin. 2.1 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I have what I marked as 22 Defendant's Exhibit 6, which is a state's request for discovery from the state analog case to this case, to the Mitchell, the 23 24 transcript that we had earlier with Niedermeier several weeks

Seems like two years ago. And I have a certified copy from

1 the court file of everything that was filed in the court record. 2 Mr. Harding is going to oppose me using this. 3 THE COURT: May I see it, please? MR. MARTIN: Sure, Your Honor. I'm really only 4 5 interested in the last couple pages, Your Honor, the transcript 6 itself. 7 THE COURT: So Mr. Martin, are you able to identify the 8 author of this? MR. MARTIN: No, Your Honor, I'm not able to identify 9 10 anything other than it was filed in the state court by the State 11 Attorney's Office. And I don't seek to admit it, Your Honor. I 12 just would like to have the jury look at the transcript while we 13 play the tape. 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, the objection -- you 15 should state your objection, Mr. Harding. 16 MR. HARDING: Yes. Your Honor, this was, this was 17 filed with the Court but it's not an official court document. 18 It's just that the state court requires the state prosecutors and the attorneys to deposit all of their discovery items with the 19 2.0 court file. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. HARDING: So it's not a real court record. And we 23 have no author for this. 24 THE COURT: Right. Okay. The objection's sustained,

Mr. Martin. I understand, the certification certainly is fine

2.0

2.1

and I understand your contention that it is part of an official record. But as you well know, there's got to be a greater showing of reliability than simply that it found its way into a court record.

MR. MARTIN: That's fine, Your Honor. We have a transcript. You will recall in the beginning of this case you said we could present our own transcripts. We have one that we have prepared, I would like the jury to follow along with. I'll give one to the Court now.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is prepared by you and Mr. Flannery? Okay. All right. You want to hand them out to the jury? So ladies and gentlemen, the same instruction applies to the transcript that you're now going to be provided as you listen to the tape of the voice mail recording which was previously made in this case. And thus, to the extent that you believe you hear something on the tape that varies from what you believe you hear in the transcript, you are to be guided by what you believe you hear on the tape. It is the tape that is the actual evidence in the case.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I don't think I need to remain here while we do this.

THE COURT: Certainly.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MARTIN: Mr. Flannery's going to play it from over here.

THE COURT: All right.

```
1
                 MR. FLANNERY: Government's Exhibit W-33.
 2
                 (Tape played.)
 3
                 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I should collect these from
       the jury?
 4
                 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen,
 5
 6
       that will -- there are a few more, Mr. Martin.
 7
                 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry.
 8
                 MR. HARDING: Your Honor, before the jury goes.
 9
                 THE COURT: All right.
10
                 MR. HARDING: Just like to suggest that Mr. Martin mark
11
       for identification the transcript. Mark for identification only.
12
                 THE COURT: Yes.
13
                 MR. HARDING: And also, Mr. Pyne and I have agreed that
14
      the tape recording of Lakeisha McCoy's statement that was played
15
       earlier will come into evidence as Government Exhibit CR-8.
16
                 THE COURT: The entire statement?
17
                 MR. HARDING: Yes.
18
                 THE COURT: The entire --
19
                 MR. HARDING: Tape.
2.0
                 THE COURT: -- tape. All right. CR --
2.1
                 MR. HARDING: CR-8.
22
                 THE COURT: -- eight is the tape of Ms. McCoy's
23
       interview. And Harris Six.
24
                 MR. MARTIN: Harris Six was already marked, Your Honor.
25
       Belinda has it.
```

THE COURT: For identification, the transcript proffered by Mr. Harris.

2.0

2.1

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, again, thank you so very much for your patience, your cooperation, your understanding, as we make our way through the balance of the trial.

We will be in session on Monday, not tomorrow. You have tomorrow off. We will resume at 9:30 on Monday morning. I expect we're going to have a full day on Monday. I expect that there's a chance that we will conclude all of the evidence on Monday, but I think it's only a small chance. I think we are probably going to be over into Tuesday with additional evidence. But I fully expect that the evidence will conclude on Tuesday. And then we'll have the balance of next week for closing argument, jury instructions, and for you to begin your deliberations.

With regard to Juror Number Three, I don't know if any of you have her address or if any of you would like to send her a note or any such thing as that. But I will tell you that if you wish to do so, if any of you wish to send a card or best wishes to that juror, while we can't give you her address, I assure you that if you give a sealed envelope to Ms. Arrington, the Court will see to it that whatever you wish to send to her in the way of your expressions of good wishes we will forward to her under the circumstances.

So with that, enjoy your weekend. Please continue to keep an open mind about all issues. Conduct no investigation, avoid any media reports about the case. Do not discuss the case. Conduct no investigation of any sort online, offline, or any books. Do not visit the location of any of the scenes mentioned in the testimony.

Enjoy your weekend, ladies and gentlemen. We'll see you Monday morning at 9:30 to continue the trial.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: I'm sorry. If I can ask you to have a seat for just a moment. Thank you, Mr. Kurland.

MR. KURLAND: All right. I didn't mean to delay proceedings.

THE COURT: There is one instruction that I want to give you today. I could have given it to you at an earlier point in the trial but this seems to be a particularly appropriate time. And I will repeat this instruction as a part of my larger instructions next week. But I want to leave you with this at the end of the week.

This is a federal prosecution. Many of the federal charges in the indictment refer to and incorporate to some degree violations of the criminal law of the State of Maryland.

You have heard some evidence in this trial concerning the outcomes of some prior state prosecutions. In some circumstances a witness remembered the particular outcome and in

2.0

2.1

some circumstances they did not recall the particular outcome.

In other instances, you've heard references to testimony given at a prior proceeding or trial but there was no inquiry concerning the outcome.

You are not to speculate about the purpose or outcome of any prior proceedings and you must reach your decision in this case by evaluating the evidence in this case to determine whether the government has proven each and every element of each offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

Under our system of government, both the federal government and the state government may undertake a criminal prosecution for the same general underlying conduct if the underlying conduct constitutes a crime under both state and federal law.

Under the dual sovereignty doctrine, which is a doctrine of the law, the federal government can bring federal criminal charges even if state prosecutors may have previously prosecuted the same general underlying conduct under state law.

Moreover, the federal government's decision to go forward with a federal prosecution is based on a variety of factors. It is not dependent on a particular result, if any, of a prior prosecution. Indeed, federal prosecutions often are authorized even after a prior state prosecution has resulted in a conviction.

Even though the charges may sound similar and there may be significant overlap of elements, the federal charges often

require proof of several elements that are not a part of any state prosecution.

2.0

2.1

In addition, whether or not the State of Maryland has previously prosecuted some of the alleged criminal acts that you have heard about during this trial, your verdict in this case will have no legal effect on whether the State of Maryland determines to bring a state prosecution after this case.

You will consider this instruction together with all of my instructions during your deliberations, ladies and gentlemen. And I will remind you now that when I instruct you on the law next week, I will read the instructions to you. I hope, also, to have my instructions programmed into the evidence system so that you, if you choose to, can also read along with me as I read them to you.

And then finally, each of you will have a separate copy of the instructions, together with the exhibits that will be sent into the jury room for your use during your deliberations.

So with that, have a pleasant weekend. We'll see you on Monday morning at 9:30. Thank you very much. Please leave your note pads on your chairs.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Nice catch, Mr. Kurland. The grandfather's brain works most of the time, not all of the time.

MR. MARTIN: You didn't think he was going to let you get away with it.

1 THE COURT: Not a chance. Not a chance. Okay. 2 Rhodes, who do we have? 3 MS. RHODES: Your Honor, for Monday, I have about three and a half hours worth of witnesses and about an hour and a half 4 5 Tuesday. 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 7 MS. RHODES: An hour and a half Tuesday. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. RHODES: The rap expert is coming in for Tuesday 10 morning. 11 THE COURT: Is Tuesday morning. Okay. 12 MS. RHODES: And there may be, I'm not 100% sure yet, 13 there may be, I'm assuming another half hour of somebody else who 14 night not be able to get here Monday. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Coburn? 16 MR. COBURN: Am I next, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: You're next. 18 MR. COBURN: I had mentioned earlier this afternoon 19 that I had a number of different things I was hoping to ask Your 2.0 Honor. I know the hour is kind of late. 2.1 THE COURT: No. Let's see if we can get through them. 22 MR. COBURN: Okay. The first and most important thing, 23 I just wanted to give the Court and government a heads-up in 24 terms of what we're planning. The first and most important has 25 to do with this expert issue.

1 THE COURT: The ballistics? 2 MR. COBURN: Exactly. 3 THE COURT: What is that all about? MR. COBURN: Basically, Your Honor, if I could just 4 5 show Your Honor this thing which I marked. 6 THE COURT: You don't have to show me. Just tell me. 7 MR. COBURN: Absolutely. I'll be very direct about it. 8 THE COURT: You described it as a rebuttal expert on 9 ballistics. 10 MR. COBURN: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Just cut to the chase. 12 MR. COBURN: Their expert, Ms. Sullivan, the one from 13 Baltimore City, testified that she had made a bullet to bullet 14 comparison of the squashed bullets with respect to the Wyche 15 homicide and the Lee homicide. I've got an expert, in fact, 16 possibly two experts, who will testify that that can't be done. 17 Can't be done. THE COURT: Well, you got to give me more than that. 18 19 That's all they're going to say, it can't be done? I assume 2.0 you're saying that they've looked at the same bullets? 2.1 MR. COBURN: They haven't. 22 THE COURT: Well, then, they're not going to testify. 23 MR. COBURN: Well, they're --24 THE COURT: They cannot come in here in the No. 25 abstract and say that something that a witness claims to have

2.0

2.1

done using real evidence, microscopically, that that witness can't do it. You haven't shown them the bullets. I'm not faulting you. But I'm not going to permit them to come in and testify abstractly that something can't be done when a witness with her experience has come in and said, that's what I've done. I understand the government also has a notice issue.

MR. COBURN: They do. They do. Your Honor, I have a long proffer about this. I will just seek to make it in writing, if possible.

THE COURT: I agree. Please make it in writing. I'll look at it over the weekend. Maybe I'll change my mind, but I don't think I'm going to change my mind. What's the next thing?

MR. COBURN: Everything else is just a lot quicker. I just wanted to give the Court a heads-up. Some of this may not pan out at all.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. COBURN: I wanted to let Your Honor know over the last week or so I've been in touch repeatedly with Bell South and with the particular entity that Bell South contracted with to manufacture and market the Bell South Model 1010 walkie-talkie.

I may have a witness from there who will testify very briefly about the way in which those walkie-talkies were marketed. But it's possible that I won't. I just wanted to let Your Honor know it's a possibility.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. COBURN: Excuse me. We've also, and this is something I think we're just not going to go in this direction. In fact, I'm not even going to bother Your Honor with it. THE COURT: Did you decide not to bring the eyewitnesses in? MR. COBURN: One of them --THE COURT: You had one here today? MR. COBURN: The one that was here today, we're not going to call. There is another one who I think's going to be very quick, who I think we are going to call. THE COURT: On Monday? MR. COBURN: On Monday, with the Court's permission. THE COURT: All right. MR. COBURN: I would still like, if possible, to get the AFPN web site into evidence. I misunderstood what we talked about it last week in terms of how to go about it. THE COURT: You can't do it through Detective Benson. He's never seen it. If the government wants -- I think the government's going to stipulate, if you can give them the web site. But the problem -- well, I'll hear from Mr. Harding. Your problem, Mr. Coburn, I think, maybe, I don't know, but it may be that you need to go back to 2005, 2006. If you just got something today, there's simply no way the government's going to be able to stipulate that it was there in 2006, unless, I suppose, unless it's dated.

MR. COBURN: I may be able to call a witness who can testify just about that.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.1

MR. COBURN: The other things I just wanted to apprise Your Honor of, there's one other relative of Mr. Gardner who, will probably be even shorter than Nicole Gardner, who we might call.

And the last thing is, if Your Honor thinks back to, I think it was the first government witness in this case was Darryl Bacon, we had reserved -- pardon me -- the right to recall him. And the reason was because, and this is going back quite a ways, but it has to do with some materials that Mr. Gardner had in his jail cell in Jessup. And we have been trying since that time to get access to those materials. And it's been a long flurry of phone calls back and forth with the Department of Corrections.

And basically, the upshot of those calls is that, apparently, the DOC makes a distinction between what's called base inmate possessions and other inmate possessions. What they're telling us is that the marshals can simply come by, I don't know if, how this is done, precisely, or if it can be done, but if the marshals go by the Jessup annex where he was incarcerated, they will give the materials to them. And we can then have access to them.

There's something in there that relates directly to Mr. Bacon which we were hoping to be able to use to examine him on.

```
1
       Or possibly just put into evidence by stipulation with the
 2
       government.
                 THE COURT: I can't help you, Mr. Coburn. I'm sorry.
 3
       You're telling the Court that DOC will not release an inmate's
 4
       property to a family member?
 5
 6
                 MR. COBURN: That's what they're telling us.
 7
       give, again, I'll do it in writing, Your Honor -- I'm going to
 8
       give Your Honor a point-by-point description of who we talked to
 9
       and what they told us.
10
                 THE COURT: The only reason I can think of why that
11
       would be is because if the inmate's not there, you know, the
12
       inmate could say, you stole it.
13
                 MR. COBURN: I'm sure Your Honor's right. I don't
14
       understand what the reasons for their procedures are. But it's
15
       been a tough --
16
                 THE COURT: Can you say what it is that --
17
                 MR. COBURN: A letter.
                 THE COURT: You don't know for a fact that it's there?
18
19
                 MR. COBURN: I believe for a fact that it's there.
2.0
                 THE COURT:
                             Well, what does that mean? I believe for a
2.1
       fact it's there? You didn't send it there, did you?
22
                 MR. COBURN:
                              No.
23
                             Okay. All right. So how could you
                 THE COURT:
24
       possibly know whether it's there and how could you possibly know
```

the contents of some letter among Mr. Gardner's belongings?

25

MR. COBURN: Well, I'm sure Your Honor can infer my source of information about this.

THE COURT: Well, I understand the source of information. Is it a letter to or from Mr. Bacon?

2.0

2.1

MR. COBURN: It's from Mr. Bacon to Mr. Gardner.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Coburn. I have never experienced on this court certainly that there was ever any problem with, with defendants bringing their legal materials with them into court. It happens every day. I'm not faulting Mr. Gardner. But Mr. Gardner knew on September 15th this trial was starting.

And your original request had to do with his appeal and post-conviction and there were papers he was working on.

MR. COBURN: That's true.

THE COURT: And now this, at this stage of the case, to say, oh, and by the way, there was material back in his cell related to a letter from Mr. Bacon.

MR. COBURN: Well, I mean, I understand exactly.

THE COURT: Look, I will sign an order. If you can get Mr. Gardner to sign something, and I suppose, I mean, it's hard to get something, well, it's not too hard to get something notarized when you're locked up. He's going to be at Supermax over the weekend, right? So if you can get him to sign a notarized statement, which I guess they would require. In fact, if he's at Supermax, he doesn't need to notarize it. The

1 correctional officers at Supermax can witness his signature, 2 authorizing a release of his property, I would assume, to his 3 attorney, if you want to drive out to Jessup. MR. COBURN: I'll do whatever I need to do. 4 5 THE COURT: Or send a family member. I'll sign an 6 order sort of verifying Mr. Gardner's signature or something. 7 Okay? 8 MR. COBURN: Much appreciated, Your Honor. I'm going 9 to try to do it right now, and see if that's going to suffice. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? I like that part 11 where you said you weren't going to even bother me with it. 12 MR. COBURN: I don't think so, Your Honor. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Anybody else? Mr. Crowe? 14 MR. CROWE: Yes, Your Honor. We have the defendant's 15 mother, whom we expect to testify on Monday. 16 THE COURT: Very briefly. 17 Very briefly. I don't expect my direct's MR. CROWE: 18 going to be more than ten minutes, maybe less than that. We've had, I have really messed up her schedule because I had her here 19 2.0 all day on Monday. We had her scheduled to come down today and 2.1 when the whole list of witnesses came out, other people wanted to 22 go first and I told her to come back. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. CROWE: So we'd just like some flexibility in

getting her on. I expect it will be in the morning because she

25

1 typically goes to work about 2:00 in the afternoon. We may have 2 one other witness who will be very, very brief. 3 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Kurland. MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, I've just been looking over 4 5 the Jamane Johnson state transcripts. They're only about 21 6 pages long. At the appropriate time once we work out, it would 7 take ten minutes to have them read into the record and perhaps 8 one of the law clerks could play the role of Mr. Johnson. 9 THE COURT: No. Not one of my law clerks. But one of 10 your law clerks or --11 MR. COBURN: I can do it if that would be a problem. 12 MR. KURLAND: If it's Tuesday, when Mr. Coburn's not 13 here, I'll find someone. 14 THE COURT: I hope we can do it Monday when Mr. Coburn 15 is here. 16 MR. KURLAND: I don't think that should be a problem. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. HARDING: The government agreed to the admission of 19 the transcript, but not to their reading it aloud in the 2.0 courtroom. 2.1 THE COURT: Well, that's typically the way to do it. 22 It's like a deposition. I don't think it would take long. Just 23 have Mr. Kurland read the questions and somebody read the 24 answers.

MR. KURLAND: I'll work it out with Mr. Harding,

25

certainly by Monday.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.

Well, here's the way it sounds to me. It sounds to me that we are going to be in a position to excuse the jury at lunchtime on Monday, perhaps with late lunch, or perhaps actually bring the jury back and excuse the jury in early afternoon. And then Ms. Rhodes has, did you say two hours or so, give or take?

MS. RHODES: On Tuesday morning, probably.

THE COURT: On Tuesday morning. And there may be some additional testimony perhaps on Tuesday. And, of course, each of the defendants has to make an election.

But in any event, it sounds like we're probably going to have Tuesday afternoon available to us to review final instructions and still get you out of here reasonably early on Tuesday afternoon. And so that would be great.

Please, please, please, even if you sent me or filed jury instructions before, I would really appreciate if you could e-mail a Word Perfect or Word version of your request for instructions, all defendants and government. And I assure you I'm going to be hard at work this weekend trying to pull together a draft of my charge. And I hope to actually have it available to you before we break on Monday so you can take it home with you on Monday afternoon, look it over, and then when we come back together on Tuesday, to really get down to cases and see if we can't really polish it up so that when you argue on Tuesday, on

1 Wednesday morning, you will know exactly what the charge is going 2 to be. 3 Mr. Harding, was I anywhere near close to right, that you probably wouldn't use the full three hours in your opening 4 5 close? Or are you going to use the full three, assuming you do a 6 three and one? 7 MR. HARDING: Mr. Hanlon is going to do the opening 8 close, Your Honor. And I haven't discussed it with him. 9 have, I thought we had four hours for both that and rebuttal. 10 THE COURT: Right. Three and one. 11 MR. HARDING: I imagine that Mr. Hanlon is not going to 12 want to use the full three hours, that's true. But I hesitate 13 to --14 THE COURT: No. I understand. And the reason I ask is, 15 you know, if we can get it all done on Wednesday, then all the 16 better. But it may be, and I assume the government has no 17 objection, if Mr. Kurland wants to carry over to Thursday morning, you won't have any objection to that? 18 19 MR. HARDING: No, Your Honor. 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. 2.1 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, I want to go complete, either 22 the end of the day Wednesday or the beginning --23 THE COURT: I see. So you would rather not break it 24 up?

25

MR. KURLAND:

Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So we probably ought to have as our working assumption that you will go on Thursday morning. MR. KURLAND: But I will be ready Wednesday afternoon if need be. THE COURT: Otherwise, Ms. Zajac will have all our heads. All right. Thank you all very much. I look for those jury instructions as soon as you can get them in the e-mail, and I'll see you on Monday morning. Sorry. One last thing. Mr. Martin, I'm going to hand to Ms. Arrington Harris 6. Or did we call the other one six? MR. MARTIN: We called the other one six, Your Honor. THE COURT: So let's make this Harris 7 for identification. That being the certified record from the Circuit court. (Conclusion of Proceedings at 5:25 p.m.)

Case 1:04 er 00029 RDB Decument 695 Filed 06/16/09	Page 230 of 261	
		230
INDEX		
	PAGE	
WITNESS: TFO KEITH BENSON	1 5	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARDING RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. MARTIN	15 25	
RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PYNE RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. COBURN	27 38	
WITNESS: NICOLE GARDNER	144	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COBURN CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HANLON	151	
WITNESS: PATRICK DULANEY	158	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLANNERY CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HARDING	164	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLANNERY	175	
WITNESS: LAKEISHA MCCOY DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PYNE	178	
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HARDING REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PYNE	187 198	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Mary M. Zajac, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings in the matter of USA v. Willie Mitchell, et al., Case Number(s) AMD-04-029, on November 13, 2009.

I further certify that the foregoing pages constitute the official transcript of proceedings as transcribed by me to the within matter in a complete and accurate manner.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto affixed my signature this ______, 2009.

Mary M. Zajac, Official Court Reporter

			1	1
•	1241 [18] - 22:6, 22:21,	61:8, 67:9, 107:16,	29 [12] - 53:3, 76:10,	5
	23:2, 23:3, 23:5, 23:9,	107:19, 107:21	76:14, 79:24, 84:8,	3
	23:12, 23:17, 23:24,	1997 [4] - 69:20, 108:3,	105:25, 107:17, 117:18,	
'01 [2] - 95:20, 95:21	24:5, 24:19, 40:23, 41:8,	109:1	126:22, 127:13, 129:11,	5 [4] - 44:14, 53:14,
'02 [1] - 151:25	41:17, 43:3, 43:11, 43:21	1998 [1] - 108:3	189:7	89:3, 157:9
'90s [3] - 93:11, 107:6,	1253 [8] - 22:14, 23:9,	1999 [12] - 54:4, 67:9,	29'd [2] - 122:23,	5515 [1] - 1:24
188:2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •	1	5811 [2] - 31:1, 37:5
'94 [4] - 68:2, 69:3,	23:11, 24:10, 24:20,	80:9, 94:11, 104:3,	127:10	5:00 [1] - 157:17
80:11, 189:9	43:9, 43:15, 43:23	160:11, 160:13, 161:8,	2:00 [2] - 89:22, 226:1	5:23 [1] - 24:7
	12:00 [3] - 10:2, 20:21,	162:16, 164:3, 177:6,	2:15 [3] - 89:4, 89:7,	
'95 [1] - 69:3	20:22	192:17	89:22	5:25 [1] - 229:16
'96 [2] - 69:3, 106:25	12:07 [6] - 18:19, 21:20,	1:30 [2] - 89:20, 89:25	2:58 [1] - 24:4	
'97 [4] - 69:4, 107:1,	36:11, 36:19, 37:16, 38:8	1st [4] - 31:12, 196:12,	2nd [1] - 97:24	6
107:2	12:08 [6] - 17:24, 18:18,	196:16		
'98 [4] - 69:4, 107:3,	21:24, 35:3, 37:18, 38:10		3	6 [3] - 53:14, 210:22,
109:1	12:08:40 [1] - 36:3	2		229:11
'99 [3] - 80:11, 97:2,	12:31 [1] - 117:1		2 0.0 0.14 0.17	609 [1] - 205:9
107:4	12:38 [2] - 19:5, 117:1	2 40.04 F0.44	3 [8] - 8:9, 8:14, 8:17,	
	12th [1] - 45:24	2 [2] - 40:21, 53:14	8:20, 8:23, 9:3, 9:6,	609(a)(1 [1] - 203:17
0	13 [3] - 1:11, 53:14,	20 [6] - 17:3, 20:2, 23:2,	53:14	61 [1] - 100:17
	231:5	41:10, 49:1, 135:16	30 [8] - 13:1, 17:3,	6204 [4] - 16:18, 16:19,
00 (4) 17:04	13th [4] - 42:14, 42:16,	2000 [11] - 54:4, 54:24,	19:24, 20:2, 20:8, 52:15,	17:21, 35:12
08 [1] - 17:24	42:25	55:22, 93:13, 107:5,	52:22, 52:23	65 [1] - 47:3
	14 [4] - 38:4, 53:14,	107:10, 107:12, 107:19,	30,000 [1] - 42:8	66 [5] - 47:3, 47:4, 92:9,
1	90:15, 147:1	108:25, 113:13, 155:21	30th [2] - 23:4, 23:7	98:24, 99:17
	144 [1] - 230:7	2001 [2] - 152:9, 156:23	31 [1] - 19:7	
1 [5] - 13:24, 18:11,	14th [1] - 136:19	2002 [24] - 31:21, 42:16,	35 [1] - 18:11	7
53:14, 96:11, 148:19	15 [12] - 12:14, 19:24,	49:18, 91:5, 94:15,	357 [2] - 125:3, 125:5	
10 [7] - 13:24, 20:9,	20:4, 20:9, 135:16,	95:23, 96:11, 151:22,	38 [1] - 230:6	7 to: 40:49 05:22
28:22, 39:24, 53:14,	179:17, 179:24, 189:4,	157:9, 157:16, 180:2,	3912 [2] - 28:9, 31:10	7 [6] - 49:18, 95:22,
	189:8, 199:13, 199:20,	180:15, 181:13, 188:22,		95:24, 151:22, 206:17,
88:8, 194:24	230:4	190:17, 193:2, 195:18,	4	229:13
100% [2] - 114:17,		196:8, 196:12, 196:17,	•	7th [4] - 31:21, 157:9,
218:12	151 [1] - 230:8	201:13, 202:2, 206:17		157:16, 202:2
101 [1] - 1:25	158 [1] - 230:9	2004 [4] - 45:23, 49:25,	4 [1] - 53:14	
1010 [1] - 220:20	15th [1] - 224:10	136:19, 145:16	4-B [3] - 71:24, 72:2,	8
1099 [4] - 153:7,	16 [4] - 96:11, 179:17,	2005 [3] - 45:24,	72:24	
153:10, 153:11, 153:15	179:24, 189:4	145:16, 221:22	4-D [3] - 62:4, 76:21,	8 [1] - 53:14
10:00 [3] - 91:24,	164 [1] - 230:10	2006 [5] - 58:15, 61:8,	79:5	8% [2] - 68:18, 68:19
183:22, 197:14	169 [1] - 96:9	68:2, 221:22, 221:24	40 [22] - 17:24, 17:25,	801 [1] - 178:16
10:36 [1] - 99:1	16th [1] - 97:24	2008 [1] - 1:11	18:18, 18:19, 19:6, 20:8,	
10:38 [2] - 99:1, 99:2	17 [2] - 101:19, 104:19	2009 [2] - 231:6, 231:11	20:21, 21:20, 21:24,	804(b)(1 [1] - 204:9
10:39 [1] - 99:2	175 [1] - 230:10	21 [1] - 226:5	37:6, 117:4, 123:15,	82 [1] - 99:20
10:42 [1] - 99:1	178 [1] - 230:12	21201 [1] - 1:25	123:16, 123:19, 124:20,	85 [1] - 128:6
10:43 [1] - 99:1	18 [6] - 49:20, 50:1,	22 [3] - 49:25, 99:13,	124:23, 125:1, 125:6,	8844 [1] - 16:22
11 [5] - 13:24, 39:24,	87:10, 94:11, 103:22,	99:19	125:9, 125:13, 125:19,	8:40 [1] - 17:23
44:12, 44:14, 53:14	103:23	23 [1] - 38:18	126:5	
1103 [1] - 178:15	187 [1] - 230:12	24 [2] - 38:15, 91:5	403 [1] - 205:10	9
11:30 [1] - 127:25	18th [1] - 95:7		443-838-1933 [2] -	
11:35 [2] - 99:4, 99:7	19 [1] - 116:24	24th [4] - 40:17, 92:11,	47:23, 47:25	9 _[2] - 53:14, 70:1
11:36 [1] - 99:12	1933 [13] - 22:25, 23:1,	180:13, 180:15	45 [4] - 30:13, 135:15,	9203 [9] - 16:21, 18:14,
11:37 [4] - 92:14,	23:7, 23:8, 23:14, 27:25,	25 [1] - 230:5	138:17, 138:23	18:25, 19:1, 21:20,
100:11, 127:19	41:1, 41:21, 42:2, 43:12,	25% [1] - 69:21	48 [2] - 47:17, 98:25	
11:48 [1] - 116:24	43:16, 43:18, 92:11	25th [16] - 17:12, 18:2,	4:00 [1] - 139:8	35:10, 35:18, 35:21, 36:15
11:49 [1] - 19:5	1959 [4] - 117:21,	21:21, 23:3, 24:4, 40:17,	4:17 [1] - 199:21	36:15
11:55 [1] - 90:1	117:24, 118:9, 131:12	40:18, 40:21, 41:6,	4:50 [2] - 157:17,	921 [2] - 49:20, 50:2
11:59 [1] - 20:22	1959's [1] - 113:19	41:10, 41:11, 41:15,	157:19	95 [5] - 18:10, 18:13,
	1959(a [1] - 84:20	43:5, 43:12, 43:14		35:13, 36:5, 36:6
12 [15] - 17:24, 20:20,	1975 [1] - 56:1	26th [9] - 22:9, 22:21,	4th [2] - 31:12, 45:23	9:30 [6] - 51:13, 197:11,
20:21, 28:22, 53:14,	198 [1] - 230:13	23:1, 23:18, 24:7, 24:15,		214:8, 215:8, 217:19
68:13, 69:25, 80:20,	1993 [1] - 189:8	43:7, 43:23		9:34 [2] - 99:1, 116:20
88:8, 89:3, 90:15, 92:25,	1994 [7] - 54:4, 58:15,	27 [2] - 19:6, 230:5		9:38 [1] - 2:1
121:20, 148:19, 156:19	1994[/] - 04.4, 00.10,			

Page 233 of 261

9:41 [1] - 91:18 9:51 [1] - 92:14

Α

Case 1:04-cr-00029-RDB

a.m [4] - 2:1, 17:25, 19:5, 90:1 Aaron [2] - 108:3, 108:4 abandoned [1] - 110:16 aberration [1] - 73:11 abetted [1] - 85:3 abetting [1] - 90:18 ability [2] - 177:10, 177:13 able [32] - 2:17, 5:10, 11:23, 12:9, 30:16, 30:18, 30:21, 34:7, 42:9, 51:19, 51:25, 109:14, 129:21. 130:5. 133:14. 134:7. 138:9. 142:13. 153:22, 156:25, 162:18, 168:19, 169:16, 175:11, 203:17, 204:7, 211:7, 211:9, 218:14, 221:24, 222:1, 222:25 absolute [1] - 77:2 **Absolutely** [7] - 6:2, 6:17, 45:16, 65:4. 149:15, 157:13, 219:7 **absolutely** [14] - 3:3, 3:5, 7:19, 13:11, 13:14, 62:22, 66:21, 77:4, 80:20, 132:13, 133:8, 137:13, 140:21 abstract [3] - 65:2, 219:25 abstractly [1] - 220:4 abundance [1] - 101:2 abundant [1] - 83:14 accept [1] - 123:21 accepted [2] - 92:13, 159:11 access [2] - 222:14, 222:23 accident [1] - 177:1 accompanied [1] -154:11 accomplice [1] - 174:7 accordance [1] - 140:2 According [2] - 93:21, 126:15 according [14] - 43:7, 63:15, 73:20, 73:21, 105:5, 107:14, 108:22, 109:2, 109:16, 109:20, 115:7, 115:16, 132:19 accordingly [2] - 34:11, 34:23

account [2] - 21:11,

153:19 accountant [1] - 153:22 accounts [1] - 107:14 accurate [7] - 25:20, 25:22, 26:3, 68:11, 118:13, 151:22, 231:9 achieve [1] - 130:16 acknowledge [1] -81:16 acknowledged [1] -107:17 acknowledging [1] -126:22 acquired [1] - 197:2 acquit [1] - 75:18 acquittal [11] - 53:13, 56:16, 70:2, 84:12, 85:20, 87:3, 91:1, 92:4, 94:21, 106:1, 132:22 acquitted [1] - 94:19 act [9] - 25:10, 71:12, 71:16, 71:17, 71:18, 73:11, 93:23, 129:2, 146:10 Act [1] - 56:17 activate [1] - 170:20 activations [1] - 32:10 actively [1] - 107:22 activities [10] - 60:21, 63:11, 63:13, 63:14, 87:13, 87:20, 87:24, 131:20, 132:6, 132:19 activity [13] - 47:18, 58:3, 59:25, 62:20, 64:1, 64:4, 64:5, 71:7, 80:12, 80:15, 88:3, 132:5, 147:5 actors [1] - 54:9 acts [8] - 74:12, 81:15, 131:7, 132:11, 142:7, 142:11, 142:12, 217:4 actual [15] - 18:10, 20:7, 21:6, 29:16, 30:16, 35:17, 44:23, 95:4, 99:15, 120:22, 160:13, 163:23, 166:14, 166:20, 212:18 Adam [1] - 1:22 add [4] - 96:24, 105:24, 121:11 added [1] - 128:13 addition [6] - 14:12, 75:25, 104:15, 108:19, 117:3, 217:3 additional [4] - 23:4, 47:4, 214:12, 227:10 additionally [1] - 48:20 additions [1] - 75:13 address [8] - 106:12,

106:13, 113:22, 117:20,

200:18, 214:18, 214:21

addressed [1] - 136:20 addresses [2] - 75:20 adds [2] - 96:25, 173:11 Administration [1] -48.12 administrative [1] -6:19 admissibility [1] -129:24 admissible [4] - 86:9, 86:25, 102:10, 103:13 admission [1] - 226:18 admissions [1] - 103:8 admit [1] - 211:11 admitted [15] - 17:17, 44:13, 45:5, 45:7, 45:12, 45:20, 46:1, 46:3, 46:10, 46:24, 47:8, 86:11, 104:17, 127:12, 197:7 adopt [3] - 75:12, 106:2, 126:22 adopting [3] - 81:20, 83:1, 86:25 adult [1] - 132:19 advance [2] - 11:8, 115:4 advised [1] - 97:11 advising [1] - 200:16 affects [1] - 90:21 affidavit [4] - 196:11, 196:16, 197:2, 197:21 affixed [1] - 231:10 **AFPN** [1] - 221:15 afternoon [40] - 2:17, 9:20, 10:4, 10:24, 13:17, 13:19, 23:3, 41:6, 88:22, 89:4, 89:7, 115:19, 117:23. 135:19. 138:15. 139:16, 144:17, 144:18, 151:10, 151:11, 158:21, 158:22, 164:9, 164:10, 177:18, 178:13, 182:2, 199:9, 205:17, 206:7, 208:3, 208:4, 210:19, 218:18, 226:1, 227:6, 227:13, 227:15, 227:23, 229.3 age [1] - 115:23 agent [2] - 146:10, 166.5 Agent [1] - 48:5 agents [1] - 191:25 ages [1] - 181:13 aggressive [1] - 113:4 ago [15] - 40:14, 40:20, 139:20, 140:12, 148:4, 148:5. 148:6. 151:13. 156:8, 183:13, 187:12. 189:6, 189:8, 210:25

agree [14] - 9:13, 9:23,

34:22, 44:8, 44:13, 62:22, 63:12, 68:9, 69:14, 94:5, 105:7, 120:21, 120:24, 220:10 agreeably [1] - 59:4 agreed [9] - 49:17, 49:23, 51:3, 140:7, 204:9, 204:17, 206:2, 213:13, 226:18 agreement [7] - 54:10, 71:8, 84:23, 97:8, 202:14, 203:7, 207:21 ahead [11] - 12:21, 38:19, 61:6, 116:1, 135:1, 150:22, 171:10, 204:23, 204:25, 208:12, 220:16 aided [1] - 85:3 aiding [1] - 90:18 ain't [7] - 188:4, 191:20, 192:4, 195:9, 198:11, 207:5, 207:11 air [10] - 134:24, 135:14, 170:22, 171:11, 171:13, 171:15, 171:16, 172:1, 172:3, 172:4 **AKA**[1] - 42:4 **akin** [2] - 59:16, 59:17 **al** [1] - 231:5 alarm [1] - 169:24 alibi [6] - 91:15, 103:4, 114:21, 115:4, 116:23 allege [1] - 80:19 alleged [24] - 55:23, 56:2, 58:14, 61:7, 61:13, 63:25, 68:11, 68:19, 69:16, 69:22, 70:12, 74:13, 76:16, 77:19, 79:11, 81:14, 81:23, 92:22, 121:20, 127:2, 128:23, 129:25, 142:7, 217:4 allegedly [1] - 143:19 alleges [5] - 62:4, 62:8, 63:13, 81:18 alleging [2] - 68:24, alleviate [1] - 58:23 alleviates [1] - 59:6 allocated [1] - 11:16 allow [1] - 26:6 allowed [4] - 96:17, 101:5, 101:17, 102:24 **allows** [2] - 146:9, 147:7 almost [4] - 19:25, 89:3, 122:1, 205:24 alone [2] - 154:10, 201:4 aloud [1] - 226:19

alter [1] - 2:21 altercation [2] - 143:15, 143:17 Alternate [1] - 14:16 alternative [1] - 101:4 altogether [1] - 60:7 Altoona [6] - 54:12, 80:24, 93:14, 109:4, 113:7, 113:14 Alvin [1] - 31:10 Alvin's [1] - 29:3 ambiguity [1] - 131:6 AMD-04-029 [2] - 1:6, 231.5 amend [1] - 128:12 amended [1] - 99:16 Amendment [4] -136:11, 207:4, 208:17, 208:21 **AMERICA** [1] - 1:5 America [6] - 31:15, 31:20, 49:16, 49:23, 96:13, 96:15 American [2] - 39:11, **ammunition** [1] - 48:8 amount [2] - 114:4, 151:15 amounts [3] - 3:11, 20:9, 148:7 ample [1] - 123:18 amplify [2] - 82:23, analog [1] - 210:23 analysis [10] - 19:18, 32:3. 33:8. 33:10. 33:14. 44:10. 44:23. 161:18. 161:20. 177:5 analyzed [3] - 44:9, 44:14, 118:1 **Andre** [1] - 1:13 **Annapolis** [1] - 158:24 annex [1] - 222:21 Answer [2] - 188:12, 188:13 answer [14] - 37:14, 37:21, 88:11, 122:13, 153:22, 157:11, 171:5, 171:7, 191:2, 191:15, 191:18, 200:22, 204:5, 206:15 answered [4] - 188:18, 191:11, 195:21, 202:15 answering [2] - 37:10, 113:17 answers [1] - 226:24 Anthony [2] - 90:14, 90.17 anti [1] - 174:16 anti-lockout [1] -

approaches [3] - 169:5,

approaching [1] - 83:16

appropriate [7] - 67:23,

94:21, 115:20, 129:23,

135:4, 215:16, 226:6

approve [1] - 45:11

approximation [1] -

172:17, 174:8

151:24

Case 1:04-cr-00029-RDB

April [6] - 22:17, 30:1, 96:11, 97:24, 101:19, 104:19 apropos [1] - 96:1 area [1] - 160:21 arguable [1] - 122:24 arguably [2] - 72:7, 80:13 argue [24] - 69:6, 71:12, 72:11, 77:5, 79:14, 79:22, 79:23, 82:19, 82:25, 85:3, 85:8, 85:12, 85:14, 86:15, 86:18, 122:4, 127:9, 128:15, 130:3, 131:18, 132:8, 205:9, 227:25 argued [2] - 142:17, 142:24 arguing [8] - 59:18, 59:20, 63:3, 66:15, 66:25, 67:1, 73:10, 142.19 argument [35] - 9:18, 10:18, 10:19, 11:9, 11:23, 12:15, 51:23, 52:14, 55:21, 65:22, 67:10, 70:11, 71:5, 71:10, 72:13, 75:11, 77:12, 78:6, 78:20, 79:21, 80:1, 80:2, 85:21, 86:13, 86:16, 95:2, 106:19, 118:4, 122:5, 122:25, 123:11, 128:25, 129:6, 131:5, 214:15 arguments [8] - 10:17, 53:1, 76:4, 90:5, 92:21, 93:16, 106:2, 140:23 arising [1] - 14:2 arm [2] - 160:20, 168:21 armed [2] - 202:20, 202:23 arms [1] - 171:12 arose [2] - 78:17, 78:22 arrange [1] - 181:17 arranged [1] - 115:4 array [3] - 46:21, 47:4, 47:11 arrays [1] - 46:23 arrest [7] - 23:10, 29:25, 44:17, 151:18, 151:21, 192:22, 196:13 arrested [21] - 28:12, 28:13, 28:16, 44:18, 94:11, 95:7, 95:14, 97:2, 108:24, 111:14, 113:8, 113:13, 115:10, 121:14, 131:18, 148:6, 151:14, 151:15, 192:16, 192:19, 192.23 arrests [3] - 44:9,

44:18, 133:17 **Arrington** [4] - 47:17, 165:25, 214:22, 229:11 Arrington's [1] - 106:16 arrived [5] - 4:23, 120:6, 184:16, 184:19, 197:10 articulated [1] - 121:12 articulation [1] - 127:11 artificial [1] - 94:18 ascertainable [4] -59:24, 62:1, 62:18, 62:24 aside [8] - 4:19, 5:3, 62:1, 66:5, 66:6, 74:24, 152:11 asleep [3] - 184:6, 193:18, 194:19 aspect [2] - 79:2, 139:12 assailant [8] - 172:16, 172:18, 173:18, 174:5, 174:7, 174:20, 175:11 assembly [2] - 160:17, 161:14 asserted [1] - 208:20 assist [1] - 154:11 Assistant [1] - 136:21 assisted [2] - 148:9, 154.4 assisting [2] - 154:24, 155:3 associate [1] - 63:4 Associated [1] - 41:23 associated [8] - 24:8, 39:5, 40:13, 40:25, 41:18, 78:2, 78:4, 87:11 associates [4] - 62:6, 76:23, 77:2, 77:10 associates' [1] - 63:11 association [9] - 58:3, 59:1, 59:11, 61:13, 65:18, 66:5, 66:7, 74:11, 87.10 assume [8] - 9:10, 9:19, 85:16, 88:8, 162:13, 219:19, 225:2, 228:16 assuming [10] - 12:4, 70:15, 75:14, 75:23, 128:16, 138:6, 139:11, 156:15, 218:13, 228:5 **assumption** [1] - 229:2 assure [4] - 136:23, 165:18, 214:21, 227:19 assured [1] - 200:11 attached [2] - 44:11, 44:24 attacking [1] - 53:17

attempt [1] - 30:20

attempted [1] - 102:12

attempting [1] - 34:16

attempts [3] - 32:22, 34:20, 110:2 attend [6] - 3:6, 14:7, 14:15, 15:4, 145:23, 150:24 attended [3] - 67:11, 146:20, 154:4 attention [3] - 6:21, 99:16, 193:13 attenuation [1] - 129:18 attorney [7] - 119:24, 134:5, 134:10, 134:17, 147:6, 206:12, 225:3 Attorney [1] - 136:21 Attorney's [2] - 136:24, 211:11 attorneys [5] - 110:4, 110:14, 133:9, 134:7, 211:19 attributed [1] - 128:2 audience [1] - 200:2 author [2] - 211:8, 211:23 authority [1] - 57:23 authorized [1] - 216:22 **authorizing** [1] - 225:2 automatic [2] - 165:6, 165:7 automatically [1] -121:21 automative [1] - 159:3 availability [2] - 11:5, 11:11 available [12] - 3:16, 5:25, 9:25, 10:4, 50:24, 51:12, 52:2, 79:21, 109:11, 112:4, 227:13, 227:21 Avenue [3] - 48:4, 48:24, 49:3 avoid [3] - 125:7, 125:8, 215:3 Avon [2] - 108:20, 109.6 aware [8] - 7:22, 28:14, 28:20, 30:7, 39:19, 63:21, 152:6, 186:17 awful [1] - 96:25 В

B-10 [1] - 31:9 Baby [1] - 26:21 baby [2] - 26:25, 27:1 babysit [1] - 181:22 babysitter [1] - 181:15 Bacardi [1] - 183:9 background [4] -127:12, 146:22, 154:21,

234 155:1 backwards [1] - 171:12 Bacon [16] - 54:2, 54:5, 67:15, 107:2, 107:20, 108:22, 109:16, 109:18, 109:21, 112:1, 222:10, 222:25, 224:4, 224:5, 224.17 bad [3] - 63:24, 113:8, 113:14 badly [1] - 170:10 bag [8] - 170:22, 171:11, 171:13, 171:15, 171:16, 172:1, 172:3, bail [4] - 146:10, 146:19, 149:4, 149:7 bails [1] - 147:6 balance [3] - 200:10, 214:5, 214:14 ball [1] - 3:7 ballistics [6] - 123:10, 123:12, 126:15, 126:24, 219:1. 219:9 balls [1] - 134:24 Baltimore [18] - 1:12, 1:25, 2:16, 98:5, 107:23, 108:17, 108:21, 108:25, 117:7, 146:2, 150:4, 157:16, 189:23, 190:1, 190:4, 192:20, 196:12, 219:13 barber [1] - 7:2 Barry [1] - 1:22 base [1] - 222:18 based [17] - 25:22, 28:21, 33:1, 34:5, 42:7, 55:15, 55:16, 78:21, 83:24, 86:20, 91:3, 91:8, 127:1, 128:19, 129:13, 171:8, 216:20 Based [1] - 37:22 bases [1] - 101:4 basic [1] - 175:19 Basic [1] - 159:3 basis [8] - 7:23, 26:2, 70:1, 103:21, 128:15, 130:16, 131:24 bearing [1] - 134:8 beat [1] - 126:16 beaten [1] - 72:9 Beats [1] - 96:19 became [6] - 93:22, 107:14, 108:12, 115:13, 149:4, 150:5 become [3] - 110:17, 146:19, 150:7

becomes [2] - 109:24,

becoming [1] - 146:19

bed [1] - 70:5 bedroom [3] - 185:6, 185:12, 185:16 beefs [1] - 112:25 began [4] - 54:13, 139:21, 156:23, 194:24 begin [7] - 9:19, 52:1, 53:16, 118:7, 140:3, 152:12, 214:15 beginning [4] - 176:11, 193:18, 212:6, 228:22 Behalf [5] - 1:15, 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21 behalf [1] - 205:22 behind [2] - 38:15, 38:18 beings [1] - 14:3 belief [2] - 50:22, 112:19 Belinda [2] - 2:10, 213:25 Belinda's [1] - 14:9 bell [2] - 19:22, 20:3 Bell [3] - 220:18, 220:19, 220:20 belongings [1] - 223:25 below [1] - 44:15 beneficial [1] - 10:25 benefit [2] - 52:10, 89:15 benefits [1] - 76:8 Benson [11] - 14:24, 15:6, 19:19, 25:5, 25:7, 39:1, 39:18, 44:2, 95:9, 95:22, 221:17 **BENSON** [2] - 15:10, 230:4 Benson's [1] - 99:16 Benz [4] - 188:3, 188:7, 188:14, 188:18 Bertolotti [1] - 55:25 Best [1] - 187:22 best [18] - 2:7, 9:1, 9:2, 14:4, 54:15, 55:2, 58:8, 61:24, 71:15, 90:9, 105:13, 114:19, 122:4, 129:14, 151:24, 153:23, 183:13, 214:20 better [3] - 205:13, 210:11, 228:16 Between [2] - 42:17, 42:18 between [43] - 5:7, 18:22, 20:23, 23:1, 25:14, 27:6, 27:12, 31:10, 34:3, 40:22, 41:17, 42:9, 42:13,

49:17, 49:24, 54:2,

56:24, 66:9, 75:24,

78:16, 80:11, 80:12,

207:16

84:6, 86:4, 88:1, 92:13, 93:11, 94:11, 94:18, 96:9, 96:10, 97:23, 98:23, 99:6, 99:12, 100:15, 100:18, 107:18, 112:14, 116:21, 117:1, 161:12, 222:17 beyond [17] - 40:6, 65:11, 68:15, 70:17, 71:6, 87:14, 87:17, 100:1, 129:22, 130:12, 141:5, 141:10, 141:12, 141:18, 144:2, 216:9 Beyond [1] - 171:6 **bifurcated** [1] - 54:25 big [2] - 122:17 bill [5] - 21:6, 21:13, 28:8, 47:23, 47:25 billed [2] - 18:23, 35:20 billing [7] - 20:7, 20:21, 21:2, 21:4, 21:5, 21:12, 30:17 bills [1] - 30:16 binder [1] - 48:21 binds [1] - 109:10 birthday [6] - 180:3, 180:11, 180:12, 180:19, 181:4, 190:19 **bit** [10] - 7:11, 10:20, 11:24, 12:21, 12:22, 103:3, 135:22, 184:21, 196:5 black [1] - 48:21 Blade [3] - 183:20, 194:1, 197:15 Bledsoe [1] - 59:17 bless [1] - 9:8 block [1] - 143:15 blood [5] - 39:21, 113:8, 113:15, 171:21, 173:3 Bo [3] - 116:4, 116:9, 193:8 bodies [11] - 117:5, 123:1, 123:4, 123:7, 123:19, 124:7, 124:10, 124:20, 125:14, 126:19 body [6] - 9:12, 123:2, 123:8, 124:24, 171:14, 172:3 bonds [4] - 146:19, 147:4, 149:4, 149:7 bondsman [1] - 146:10 books [2] - 31:11, 215:5 **bootstrap** [1] - 129:20 born [1] - 178:24 bother [2] - 221:3, 225:11 bothered [2] - 170:17,

bottom [1] - 85:10 bought [4] - 67:15, 197:19, 197:22, 197:24 bounty [2] - 153:5, 154:10 boyhood [3] - 58:8, 65:18, 65:23 brain [1] - 217:23 break [17] - 4:24, 10:15, 11:7, 11:9, 13:3, 66:24, 88:24, 88:25, 89:1, 90:10, 138:5, 138:6, 158:9, 177:19, 203:13, 227:22, 228:23 breaks [1] - 12:4 brick [2] - 115:8, 115:11 brief [14] - 5:5, 9:18, 39:8, 53:15, 53:16, 53:24, 54:11, 88:8, 90:4, 104:10, 140:5, 164:16, 226:2 briefly [8] - 23:13, 71:4, 89:21, 113:24, 121:4, 220:22, 225:16, 225:17 bring [15] - 3:9, 9:18, 14:17, 50:17, 59:5, 133:14, 134:12, 138:5, 147:10, 205:14, 216:16, 217:7, 221:4, 227:6 Bring [1] - 88:13 bringing [3] - 6:21, 67:16, 224:8 brings [2] - 74:2, 108:8 broad [2] - 70:9, 80:11 broke [3] - 14:25, 90:4, 93:20 broken [2] - 67:4 brother [3] - 145:5, 155:16, 155:22 brother's [1] - 145:6 brothers [14] - 30:21, 31:11, 40:16, 41:6, 96:3, 103:16, 112:21, 114:21, 117:6, 123:7, 125:24, 129:9, 145:8, 190:11 brothers' [10] - 22:11, 29:3, 31:7, 114:2, 116:25, 117:9, 122:9, 123:10, 123:14, 125:22 brought [7] - 7:21, 9:19, 10:3, 99:15, 143:3, 199:17 **Brown** [3] - 72:19, 93:24, 149:22 **brush** [3] - 80:11, 167:11, 167:13 brushed [1] - 172:4 bubble [1] - 110:8

bucks [1] - 110:7

buddies [2] - 132:2,

132:4 Buick [3] - 182:19, 182:20 building [1] - 201:13 bullet [2] - 219:13 bullets [4] - 126:16, 219:14, 219:20, 220:2 bum [1] - 165:24 bunch [2] - 94:17, 110:6 burn [2] - 40:23, 41:18 business [22] - 16:16, 60:4, 60:10, 60:22, 60:23, 61:1, 61:2, 61:3, 62:6. 62:12. 63:8. 73:21. 73:25, 76:23, 113:2, 119:20, 130:25, 148:12, 149:21, 152:2, 153:19 busy [1] - 5:25 button [6] - 162:4, 167:10, 169:25, 172:5, 174:14, 174:23 buy [1] - 183:8 buying [1] - 115:2 BY [27] - 15:12, 25:4, 27:18, 38:25, 40:11, 144:16, 150:23, 151:9, 158:19, 164:8, 175:17, 178:12, 180:1, 187:2, 198:19, 230:4, 230:5, 230:5, 230:6, 230:7, 230:8, 230:9, 230:10, 230:10, 230:12, 230:12, 230:13 **bye** [1] - 155:22 C

123:15, 123:16, 123:19, 124:20, 124:23, 125:1, 125:6, 125:9, 125:13, 126:6 calibers [1] - 125:20 cameras [1] - 29:19 cancel [1] - 14:5 candidly [2] - 6:22, 105:23 candor [1] - 137:2 cannot [8] - 29:21, 35:24, 55:24, 96:16, 114:14, 131:7, 206:6, 219:24 capable [1] - 154:12 car [42] - 16:23, 26:7, 26:19, 26:25, 46:20, 114:12. 114:15. 115:8. 115:11. 122:13. 161:5. 161:19, 162:1, 162:2, 163:7, 164:22, 164:25,

caliber [11] - 117:5,

165:17, 166:15, 167:2, 169:10, 169:11, 169:22, 169:25, 170:1, 170:10, 170:17, 170:21, 172:17, 172:20, 172:22, 174:9, 174:17, 182:17, 192:20, 194:16, 194:18, 197:11, 197:13, 197:24, 198:2, 198:22 card [13] - 115:3, 149:21, 195:5, 195:9, 195:23, 195:24, 196:9, 198:10, 198:12, 198:14, 199:1, 214:20 Card [1] - 48:11 cards [1] - 46:16 care [5] - 79:10, 79:12, 115:21, 181:17, 200:14 careful [1] - 7:18 carefully [2] - 4:20, 143.11 Carl [5] - 145:7, 145:13, 156:6, 156:7, 156:11 Carlson [2] - 157:9, 157:16 carried [4] - 85:9, 116:13, 125:5, 154:7 **carry** [5] - 3:7, 111:15, 154:5, 154:6, 228:17 carrying [2] - 12:22, 154:17 cars [6] - 165:3, 165:5, 165:11, 165:19, 170:25, 188:12 Case [1] - 231:5 CASE [1] - 1:6 case [99] - 7:6, 7:16, 9:19, 10:23, 12:20, 15:1, 17:21, 29:15, 30:14. 30:15, 30:21, 33:24, 39:21, 50:11, 51:14, 52:8, 52:16, 55:22, 55:25, 56:1, 56:15, 56:21, 57:7, 57:9, 57:19, 57:22, 57:24, 59:3, 59:16, 59:17, 59:23, 68:4, 68:16, 70:5, 75:15, 76:19, 77:14, 77:22, 79:16, 80:6, 81:11, 82:4, 83:23, 84:2, 86:6, 89:5, 91:14, 91:15, 92:3, 92:7, 103:3, 103:5, 104:25, 111:5, 116:24, 118:13, 119:4, 121:10, 122:6, 127:13, 132:20, 133:1, 133:4, 133:7, 134:9, 134:25, 136:25, 140:3, 140:23, 143:4, 143:22, 143:23, 143:24, 144:1, 164:12, 164:15, 166:16,

170:7, 196:13, 199:11, 200:14, 205:7, 205:22, 210:23, 212:6, 212:15, 212:19, 215:3, 216:7, 217:5, 217:7, 222:9, 224:15 cases [9] - 32:2, 44:20, 44:21, 55:6, 55:8, 82:18, 100:25, 227:24 cash [2] - 56:5, 148:7 cast [2] - 70:9, 70:10 casual [1] - 150:5 casualty [2] - 146:9, 146:21 catch [1] - 217:22 categorically [1] - 77:5 Catonsville [9] -107:24, 107:25, 108:1, 108:2, 108:5, 108:8, 108:20, 109:7, 146:20 caused [4] - 143:17, 168:21, 170:19, 172:5 causing [1] - 204:24 caution [1] - 101:3 CD [1] - 64:8 CD's [1] - 91:11 ceiling [1] - 124:3 celebration [1] - 78:15 cell [41] - 16:14, 16:15, 20:7, 20:20, 20:25, 21:3, 21:14, 27:6, 27:7, 28:6, 28:10, 30:4, 30:6, 30:9, 30:11, 30:18, 30:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:2, 31:4, 31:25, 32:2, 32:7, 40:12, 51:9, 91:11, 92:8, 92:12, 96:17, 96:22, 98:6, 101:7, 122:17, 129:18, 143:7, 143:15, 194:10, 209:11, 222:13, 224:16 Cell [1] - 20:25 center [1] - 179:13 Center [1] - 172:24 central [1] - 77:15 Central [1] - 77:22 centrality [5] - 78:7, 79:11, 79:25, 81:10, 82:2 certain [9] - 13:14, 54:22, 54:25, 64:13, 114:4, 120:23, 126:10, 157:1, 194:22 Certainly [10] - 2:10, 92:6, 131:14, 146:14, 146:17, 161:12, 167:21, 199:25, 212:22 certainly [41] - 54:16, 55:5, 56:20, 57:2, 57:3, 57:8, 59:13, 59:18, 60:3, 67:18, 68:5, 68:21, 71:10, 71:11, 72:13,

75:25, 77:5, 78:19, 79:21, 86:13, 88:21, 90:4, 92:3, 93:17, 94:19, 95:7, 97:13, 97:20, 103:25, 104:13, 105:5, 121:24, 130:11, 131:21, 132:14, 139:19, 211:25, 224:7. 227:1 certainty [1] - 114:17 CERTIFICATE [1] -231.1 certification [1] -211:25 certified [3] - 159:6, 210:25, 229:14 certify [2] - 231:3, 231:7 chain [2] - 55:7, 55:11 chairs [7] - 52:16, 52:23, 85:11, 89:3, 123:3, 199:10, 217:20 chance [10] - 45:10, 52:25, 70:8, 120:15, 143:5, 165:1, 214:10, 214:11, 218:1 change [6] - 51:8, 161:18, 161:20, 177:5, 220:11, 220:12 changes [2] - 46:7, 82:17 changing [1] - 52:11 Character [1] - 4:4 charge [18] - 3:10, 5:13, 5:21, 10:15, 18:20, 70:6, 91:17, 94:12, 94:14, 95:1, 95:14, 98:4, 104:14, 117:24, 117:25, 204:18, 227:21, 228:1 charged [23] - 19:11, 21:8, 53:19, 53:23, 58:16, 75:19, 83:3, 83:21, 84:16, 90:11, 93:1, 94:22, 114:1, 119:5, 119:19, 119:22, 129:19, 130:1, 141:6, 141:7, 141:10, 147:5, 203:1 charges [7] - 90:18, 96:24, 147:5, 215:21, 216:17, 216:24, 216:25 charging [1] - 204:18 chart [22] - 19:4, 22:1, 27:21, 31:9, 33:15, 33:16, 33:18, 33:19, 34:2, 34:9, 34:23, 35:3, 37:13, 38:2, 92:9, 96:7, 97:16, 99:11, 116:20, 123:13, 128:1 chase [1] - 219:11 check [5] - 42:22, 50:8,

116:10, 146:22, 155:1

checked [2] - 97:20, 116:5 checks [3] - 153:18, 153:20, 154:21 $\boldsymbol{chemical}~[2]-44:10,$ 44.23 chemists [1] - 44:10 child [3] - 115:21, 115:22, 156:18 childhood [2] - 132:2, 132:4 children [5] - 147:18, 156:11, 156:13, 181:11, 181:17 **choose** [8] - 70:8, 103:8, 133:8, 133:13, 134:6, 134:11, 134:15, 217:13 chooses [2] - 103:9, 134:2 **chose** [1] - 70:7 chosen [1] - 76:19 Chris [3] - 46:11, 47:8, 48:14 Chrysler [1] - 188:25 Cingular [1] - 20:5 Circle [1] - 130:23 circled [1] - 160:15 Circuit [7] - 56:1, 56:4, 57:22, 100:25, 131:7, 196:12, 229:14 circuit [1] - 147:3 circumstances [3] -214:25, 215:25, 216:1 cite [3] - 55:25, 59:17, 82:18 cited [3] - 55:8, 56:1, 57:22 cites [2] - 59:23, 70:4 city [1] - 94:12 City [3] - 150:4, 196:12, 219:13 civil [2] - 49:21, 50:2 claims [1] - 219:25 clarify [2] - 151:12, 201:17 clarifying [1] - 209:2 Clash [1] - 110:3 classic [2] - 114:10, 130:11 clear [16] - 11:12, 46:7, 47:7, 51:17, 52:20, 57:9, 62:3, 66:12, 67:24, 79:7, 113:9, 114:8, 125:17, 126:4, 198:21, 204:8 cleared [1] - 2:18 clearly [12] - 29:12, 54:8, 56:23, 60:12, 84:22, 93:10, 93:12, 101:9, 102:1, 111:7,

Document 695

119:16, 194:23 Clearly [1] - 64:9 CLERK [3] - 144:12, 158:14, 178:3 clerks [3] - 226:8, 226:9, 226:10 client [21] - 91:6, 91:17, 91:19, 92:7, 92:11, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:19, 95:10, 95:23, 96:15, 97:11, 98:23, 100:21, 101:4, 101:18, 101:19, 134:13 client's [4] - 91:9, 91:25, 96:12, 104:18 clients [1] - 148:8 clique [1] - 108:14 clock [1] - 134:22 close [17] - 12:7, 68:24, 93:5, 95:23, 95:25, 129:14, 147:11, 147:13, 155:15, 161:16, 162:4, 162:24, 168:11, 228:3, 228:5, 228:8 closed [4] - 162:22, 174:21, 176:15, 176:21 closing [9] - 10:17, 10:18, 10:19, 12:15, 51:23, 52:14, 106:19, 128:18, 214:14 club [3] - 93:20, 93:21, 130:24 co[1] - 191:24 co-counsel [1] - 191:24 coalition [3] - 108:16, 109:9. 110:1 Coburn [25] - 1:22, 2:6, 3:3, 4:18, 5:10, 5:18, 5:24, 7:13, 7:21, 9:22, 10:14, 22:4, 22:15, 56:20, 70:4, 134:21, 135:4, 136:5, 136:15, 150:22, 218:15, 221:21, 223:3, 224:6, 226:14 COBURN [65] - 2:8, 4:19, 5:15, 5:23, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 9:13, 38:25, 40:8, 40:11, 134:20, 134:22, 135:6, 135:19, 136:7, 136:10, 144:6, 144:16, 150:23, 151:5, 156:3, 157:10, 157:24, 158:6, 158:8, 158:10, 177:18, 177:22, 190:15, 218:16, 218:18, 218:22, 219:2, 219:4, 219:7, 219:10, 219:12, 219:21, 219:23, 220:7, 220:13, 220:17, 221:1, 221:6, 221:8, 221:12, 221:14,

222:1, 222:4, 223:6, 223:13, 223:17, 223:19, 223:22, 224:1, 224:5, 224:14, 224:18, 225:4, 225:8, 225:12, 226:11, 230:6, 230:7 Coburn's [1] - 226:12 cocaine [2] - 107:1, coconspirator [1] -84:5 coconspirators [2] -54:3, 55:3 codefendant [1] -134:10 codefendants [1] cognizant [1] - 131:5 colleagues [1] - 68:22 collect [1] - 213:3 college [4] - 67:11, 145:21, 145:23, 145:24 College [1] - 146:21 colloquy [2] - 4:20, 207:18 column [2] - 22:1, 44:22 combinations [4] -110:19, 111:2, 111:22, 176:10 combined [1] - 67:5 comfort [1] - 5:13 comfortable [4] - 7:9, 37:3, 88:16, 104:7 coming [7] - 152:13, 153:8, 153:24, 177:17, 180:18, 200:20, 218:9 comments [1] - 122:8 commit [10] - 58:4, 66:6, 66:8, 72:17, 74:12, 74:21, 110:19, 110:21, 202:10, 203:2 commitment [2] - 3:4, 132:10 committed [14] - 58:14, 66:6, 74:25, 117:14, 118:18, 118:21, 118:22, 118:24, 119:3, 119:16, 121:19, 142:10, 142:11, 190.13 committee [1] - 51:1 **committing** [2] - 119:7, 119:10 common [11] - 19:23, 30:7, 54:10, 55:5, 59:16, 63:1, 67:5, 67:6, 67:7, 119:15 Common [1] - 63:1 communicate [1] communications [2] -

31:10, 31:11 Community [1] - 146:20 companies [3] - 17:1, 30:10. 30:12 company [6] - 22:17, 28:10, 30:12, 30:19, 33:23, 154:17 company's [3] - 19:19, 19:20, 20:10 comparing [1] - 35:10 comparison [1] -219:14 compelled [1] - 133:6 compelling [4] - 60:19, 114:20, 115:5, 116:14 complained [1] - 116:4 complete [6] - 3:2, 52:3, 137:2, 208:16, 228:21, 231:9 completed [2] - 19:16, 47:18 completely [3] - 21:14, 21:19, 94:5 completeness [1] -103:10 complex [2] - 184:24, 201:3 **complicate** [1] - 125:12 complicated [2] -136:3, 175:6 comply [2] - 207:7, 207:9 component [2] - 160:7, 160:25 **comport** [1] - 56:15 compose [1] - 131:7 composed [1] - 91:12 comprehensive [1] -23:7 computer [1] - 21:15 concede [2] - 81:16, 127:12 conceivable [1] - 78:8 conceived [1] - 119:11 concentrated [2] - 17:7, 17:14 concept [2] - 25:7, 121:14 concern [3] - 75:20, 75:21, 135:14 concerned [1] - 52:7 concerning [7] - 49:6, 54:12, 118:6, 202:10, 203:16, 215:23, 216:3 conclude [16] - 10:13, 15:1, 51:20, 52:12, 64:23, 65:11, 65:13, 72:10, 87:1, 130:12,

131:2, 131:8, 131:23,

199:19, 214:10, 214:13

concluded [2] - 50:11, 210:14 Conclusion [1] - 229:16 conclusion [3] - 50:18, 65:22, 78:8 conclusive [1] - 127:21 concocted [1] - 114:21 concomitantly [1] conditionally [1] - 46:3 conditioned [1] -208:16 conditions [2] - 137:2, 156:24 conduct [5] - 119:19, 149:9, 216:12, 216:13, 216:18 Conduct [2] - 215:2, 215:4 confer [2] - 15:2, 146:15 conference [4] - 3:11, 5:13, 5:21, 10:15 conferred [1] - 50:19 confess [2] - 93:8, 103:24 confident [7] - 5:21, 12:18, 22:22, 27:11, 68:9, 90:24, 143:25 conflict [1] - 83:16 conflicts [1] - 86:21 confused [1] - 48:7 conjecture [1] - 92:19 conjunctive [2] - 85:20, connected [27] - 12:23, 19:11, 34:4, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14. 34:18. 35:5. 35:13. 35:16. 35:20. 35:25, 36:8, 37:4, 37:7, 38:3, 87:18, 94:7, 98:1, 98:22, 98:25, 99:4, 99:6, 99:11, 100:15, 116:21, 132:6 Connected [1] - 35:15 connecting [1] - 34:21 connection [8] - 78:24, 81:12, 83:13, 113:25, 114:1, 123:8, 196:13, 203:4 connections [2] -32:15, 109:13 connects [2] - 92:17, consider [4] - 85:24, 118:17, 208:13, 217:8 consideration [2] -94:9, 118:16 considered [3] - 33:7, 83:5, 86:5

considering [1] -172:13 consisted [1] - 148:3 consistent [3] - 91:22, 137:13, 201:4 consisting [1] - 130:13 consists [3] - 61:22, 62:18, 78:1 console [6] - 172:22, 172:23, 172:24, 173:1, 173:8, 173:19 conspiracies [18] -53:21, 54:1, 54:8, 54:10, 54:13, 54:18, 55:1, 67:18, 70:7, 74:12, 75:16, 75:19, 80:7, 93:7, 94:22, 141:12, 141:23 conspiracy [73] - 53:17, 53:19, 53:23, 54:2, 54:24, 55:6, 55:10, 55:11, 55:25, 56:7, 56:10, 56:13, 56:15, 58:5, 58:22, 58:23, 58:25, 59:7, 59:9, 59:10, 59:13, 67:17, 67:24, 68:1, 68:13, 68:19, 69:22, 69:25, 70:16, 71:9, 74:14, 75:17, 75:24, 76:17, 80:19, 80:21, 80:24, 82:5, 84:5, 90:11, 90:12, 92:23, 92:24, 93:3, 93:4, 94:2, 94:23, 94:25, 97:2, 97:4, 98:8, 101:24, 106:21, 107:18, 109:6, 110:15, 117:13, 117:14, 121:21, 121:22, 128:9, 128:23, 129:1, 129:2, 129:6, 130:11, 131:10, 141:7, 141:8, 202:10, 203:2 conspirator [1] - 97:7 conspirators [2] - 54:2, 117:14 **conspiring** [1] - 59:12 constitute [4] - 61:17, 68:12, 74:25, 231:7 constitutes [1] - 216:13 constraints [1] - 103:8 consultation [4] - 6:1, 133:8, 134:5, 134:16 consultations [1] -88:17 contact [18] - 34:16, 34:20, 40:21, 41:7, 41:16, 42:8, 42:13, 47:20, 47:21, 88:12, 97:23, 98:7, 98:10, 148:23, 164:4, 191:12 contacts [1] - 129:13 contained [1] - 90:6

contemplating [1] -2:24 contempt [3] - 25:8, 207:13. 207:20 contention [1] - 212:1 contents [1] - 223:25 context [1] - 72:9 Continue [1] - 199:12 continue [6] - 14:2, 14:23, 88:9, 131:19, 215:1, 215:8 continued [2] - 108:4, 113:12 **CONTINUED** [1] - 15:11 continues [1] - 44:24 continuing [5] - 62:25, 92:24, 93:4, 94:24, 119:15 continuity [6] - 57:11, 59:15, 66:12, 66:16, 69:17, 71:2 Continuous [1] - 66:17 continuous [1] - 66:24 contours [1] - 204:3 contract [1] - 78:23 contracted [1] - 220:19 contrary [3] - 80:24, 83:10, 100:20 control [1] - 44:15 controlled [2] - 44:11, controlling [1] - 159:4 conversate [1] - 155:23 conversation [6] -37:16, 92:15, 100:18, 143:12, 150:10, 196:2 conversations [4] -91:4, 96:9, 96:16, 97:6 convict [4] - 126:25, 129:13, 130:5 convicted [6] - 49:19, 49:25, 80:9, 132:14, 154:16, 186:22 conviction [4] - 133:19, 202:11, 216:23, 224:13 convictions [6] - 49:6, 133:12, 133:15, 133:17, 205:2, 205:5 convince [2] - 62:21, 64:19 convinced [2] - 69:25, 93:22 convoluted [1] - 123:10 Cooks [3] - 189:17, 189:21, 190:8 cooperate [1] - 200:20 cooperation [1] - 214:4 cooperators [1] -112:12

copies [5] - 21:13,

30:16, 39:10, 39:24, 45:8 copy [6] - 21:6, 91:17, 105:3, 136:16, 210:25, 217:15 core [1] - 88:3 corner [1] - 184:24 **corollary** [1] - 119:13 correct [91] - 15:24, 15:25, 16:3, 16:6, 16:7, 16:21, 17:19, 17:22, 18:4, 18:15, 18:19, 18:20, 19:6, 19:9, 19:15, 21:1, 22:2, 22:10, 22:14, 22:18, 22:19, 23:15, 23:16, 23:18, 23:19, 23:21, 24:12, 24:16, 24:17, 25:18, 26:7, 27:9, 27:10, 27:25, 28:16, 28:23, 30:1, 31:12, 31:15, 34:23, 35:4, 36:3, 36:7, 36:9, 36:12, 36:22, 37:18, 38:17, 41:25, 42:1, 42:5, 42:6, 42:10, 42:11, 42:14, 43:10, 43:17, 43:24, 66:13, 85:4, 123:5, 128:24, 152:9, 155:21, 156:15, 157:21, 164:23, 165:6, 166:10, 166:17, 166:22, 166:24, 167:2, 167:8, 167:12, 177:11, 183:3, 185:25, 190:25, 191:6, 192:25, 193:9, 193:11, 194:3, 194:8, 194:24, 195:2, 195:6, 198:14, 206:9, 206:12 Correct [33] - 16:8, 18:7, 18:10, 18:16, 19:2, 19:17, 20:16, 20:19, 22:12, 24:14, 26:8, 26:12, 27:15, 28:17, 29:4, 35:2, 35:18, 36:4, 38:16, 38:20, 40:19, 41:4, 41:14, 41:20, 41:22, 42:3, 43:6, 43:13, 43:20, 43:25, 176:2, 206:13 **Correction** [1] - 206:9 correction [1] - 208:24 correctional [1] - 225:1 Corrections [1] -222:15 correctly [3] - 16:14, 40:16, 161:3 correspondence [1] -Counsel [5] - 50:20, 52:25, 82:13, 89:19, 207:18 counsel [34] - 2:3, 2:14,

2:18, 9:10, 9:23, 14:6, 14:7, 15:2, 45:10, 45:13, 46:3, 49:5, 49:8, 50:9, 50:19, 50:23, 68:3, 88:17, 89:1, 92:20, 101:1, 102:11, 108:13, 130:9, 135:3, 139:21, 139:22, 139:24, 140:6, 149:14, 157:25, 191:24, 200:11, 208:3 counsel's [2] - 50:20, 118.4 count [11] - 53:17, 57:5, 81:9, 85:17, 118:8, 119:22, 127:7, 128:13, 131:10, 132:23, 202:11 Count [27] - 53:17, 53:18, 56:16, 57:5, 74:14, 75:11, 76:4, 76:5, 76:6, 76:16, 76:17, 77:15, 77:19, 79:11, 79:20, 81:18, 81:23, 82:21, 84:22, 92:21, 92:22, 93:1, 93:2, 129:5, 129:7, 131:10 counts [23] - 49:7, 82:22, 83:22, 84:13, 84:18, 84:20, 90:6, 90:10, 90:11, 90:13, 90:15, 90:19, 90:21, 92:20, 94:20, 106:1, 118:9, 119:5, 127:2, 128:13, 131:12, 131:13, Counts 151 - 53:13. 122:23, 127:9, 127:14, 129:4 county [2] - 150:3, 189:23 County [3] - 6:18, 41:8, 157:16 couple [24] - 3:21, 10:10, 27:21, 46:15, 64:24, 75:12, 91:4, 91:11, 95:3, 98:4, 100:24, 103:16, 110:7, 121:5, 128:20, 142:19, 154:19, 159:15, 175:18, 186:21, 187:14, 203:15, 207:21, 211:5 course [32] - 13:15, 14:19, 15:6, 18:5, 46:2, 47:5, 50:17, 52:19, 57:15, 65:9, 74:16, 90:18, 98:8, 99:24, 101:2, 107:7, 110:18, 113:10, 114:4, 116:16, 116:23, 128:4, 141:8, 170:20, 171:13, 174:4, 194:18, 205:1, 205:3,

208:22, 210:8, 227:10 court [37] - 25:8, 25:10, 46:11, 63:19, 86:8, 86:10, 88:25, 90:25, 92:8, 136:16, 137:13, 147:7, 147:8, 147:9, 147:10. 148:10. 153:25. 200:24, 201:4, 202:13, 203:18, 204:13, 205:25, 207:13, 208:14, 210:5, 211:1, 211:10, 211:17, 211:18, 211:20, 211:22, 212:4, 224:7, 224:9, 229:15 Court [63] - 6:16, 7:23,

11:16, 39:10, 45:7, 45:21, 45:24, 70:15, 76:6, 76:14, 77:8, 77:12, 79:22, 81:25, 82:13, 82:20, 84:3, 90:3, 90:7, 92:1, 93:16, 94:8, 96:5, 96:6, 99:13, 104:8, 104:15, 104:23, 105:18, 105:23, 106:10, 112:10, 116:18, 117:18, 117:21, 122:11, 126:22, 128:17, 129:23, 131:12, 132:21, 138:1, 139:6, 184:14, 184:16, 184:22, 196:12, 200:16, 200:18, 205:11, 206:24, 207:3, 207:21, 211:17, 212:9, 214:22, 218:23, 220:14, 223:4, 231:16

COURT [453] - 1:1, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 3:19, 3:21, 3:24, 4:2, 4:5, 4:8, 4:11, 4:13, 4:18, 5:10, 5:16, 5:18, 5:22, 5:24, 6:3, 6:6, 6:10, 6:17, 6:20, 6:23, 6:25, 7:2, 7:7, 7:12, 7:15, 7:19, 7:25, 8:3, 8:8, 8:10, 8:15, 8:18, 8:21, 8:24, 9:4, 9:7, 9:10, 9:14, 10:13, 11:6, 11:19, 11:25, 12:3, 12:18, 12:25, 13:4, 13:8, 13:11, 13:14, 13:19, 14:1, 15:8, 20:18, 24:24, 25:2, 32:17, 37:10, 37:21, 39:17, 40:1, 40:10, 44:2, 45:1, 45:5, 45:16, 45:22, 46:1, 46:9, 46:14, 46:18, 46:22, 47:2, 48:2, 48:10, 49:2, 49:11, 49:15, 50:4, 50:6, 50:8, 52:25, 53:6, 53:9, 53:11, 58:18, 60:8, 60:19, 60:25, 61:6, 61:9, 61:15, 61:19, 62:2, 62:14, 62:21, 63:2, 63:7, 63:18, 63:23, 64:2, 64:6, 64:18, 64:22, 65:1, 65:5, 65:7, 65:16, 65:21, 66:12, 66:16, 66:18, 66:20, 67:1, 67:7, 67:21, 68:6, 68:9, 68:18, 69:1, 69:7, 69:9, 69:14, 69:21, 69:24. 70:14. 70:19. 70:22, 71:24, 72:1, 73:8, 73:23, 74:9, 74:16, 75:2, 75:4, 75:6, 77:4, 77:24, 78:4, 78:14, 79:4, 79:7, 79:23, 82:8, 82:13, 84:17, 85:1, 85:7, 85:16, 85:23, 86:1, 87:4, 87:15, 88:7, 88:13, 88:16, 89:10, 89:14, 89:18, 90:2, 95:4, 95:12, 95:16, 95:20, 96:1, 96:12, 96:17, 96:20, 96:25, 97:16, 97:22, 98:3, 98:14, 98:16, 99:4, 99:6, 99:9, 99:14, 99:19, 99:21, 99:24, 100:11, 100:23, 101:12, 101:16, 101:22, 101:25, 102:5, 102:8, 102:18, 102:21, 103:1, 103:7, 103:18, 103:24, 104:4, 104:9, 105:2, 105:4, 105:9, 105:20, 105:22, 106:4, 106:8, 106:14, 106:17, 106:23, 107:8, 107:24, 108:6, 109:22, 112:8, 112:11, 112:16, 113:18, 113:23, 114:3, 114:11, 115:12, 115:15, 115:18, 116:1, 117:22, 120:2, 120:8, 120:12, 120:17, 120:21, 121:3, 123:12, 124:4, 124:8, 124:10, 124:13, 124:16, 124:21, 124:25, 125:4, 125:9, 125:16, 125:21, 126:2, 126:8, 126:14, 127:16, 127:21, 127:25, 128:4, 128:22, 130:7, 130:9, 134:21, 135:1, 135:18, 135:23, 136:2, 136:4, 136:8, 136:17, 137:7, 137:16, 137:18, 137:22, 137:25, 138:4, 138:11, 138:16, 138:19, 138:22, 138:24, 139:5, 139:8, 139:14, 139:16, 144:4, 144:8, 145:17, 146:14, 146:17, 149:12, 149:14, 149:17, 150:18, 150:20, 150:22, 151:3, 151:7, 157:11, 157:25, 158:7, 158:9, 159:11, 159:24,

160:1, 166:1, 166:3, 167:5, 170:9, 171:5, 171:7, 171:25, 172:10, 173:7, 173:15, 173:17, 177:16, 177:20, 177:23, 177:25, 178:6, 179:20, 179:24, 180:6, 188:11, 190:16. 191:2. 197:7. 197:9, 199:4, 199:7, 199:15, 199:23, 199:25, 200:3, 200:6, 200:9, 201:1, 201:7, 201:9, 201:15, 201:22, 201:24, 202:4, 202:8, 202:16, 202:20, 202:23, 203:4, 203:9, 203:22, 203:25, 204:5, 204:16, 204:20, 204:23, 204:25, 205:3, 205:12, 205:14, 205:17, 205:21, 206:11, 206:14, 206:20, 206:22, 206:24, 207:3, 207:6, 207:9, 207:12, 207:15, 207:18, 208:2, 208:8, 208:12, 208:17, 208:22, 208:24, 209:7, 209:9, 209:12, 209:15, 209:20, 209:24, 210:8, 210:13, 210:16, 210:19, 211:3, 211:7, 211:14, 211:21, 211:24, 212:10, 212:22, 212:25, 213:5, 213:9, 213:12, 213:16, 213:18, 213:20, 213:22, 214:1, 215:10, 215:14, 217:22, 218:1, 218:6, 218:8, 218:11, 218:15, 218:17, 218:21, 219:1, 219:3, 219:6, 219:8, 219:11, 219:18, 219:22, 219:24, 220:10, 220:16, 220:25, 221:4, 221:7, 221:11, 221:13, 221:17, 222:3, 223:3, 223:10, 223:16, 223:18, 223:20, 223:23, 224:3, 224:6, 224:15, 224:19, 225:5, 225:10, 225:13, 225:16, 225:23, 226:3, 226:9, 226:14, 226:21, 227:2, 227:9, 228:10, 228:14, 228:20, 228:23, 229:1, 229:5, 229:13 Court's [18] - 7:22, 24:23, 75:16, 75:20, 75:21, 79:19, 81:24, 81:25, 86:4, 105:25, 115:23, 117:24, 130:10, 134:14, 151:1, 207:7, 207:9, 221:12 Courthouse [1] - 1:24 courtroom [19] - 8:7,

9:9, 13:25, 52:24, 88:15, 89:17, 112:2, 139:15, 144:23, 182:8, 199:14, 199:22, 200:10, 200:13, 200:20, 205:16, 210:17, 217:21, 226:20 courts [1] - 147:4 covers [1] - 80:16 **CR** [1] - 213:20 **CR-1** [2] - 165:25, 166:1 CR-2 [2] - 167:4, 167:5 CR-3 [2] - 170:8, 170:9 CR-4 [1] - 170:13 CR-5[2] - 171:24, 171:25 CR-6 [2] - 173:6, 173:7 CR-7 [2] - 197:8, 197:9 CR-8 [2] - 213:15, 213:21 craft [1] - 70:8 crafted [1] - 103:11 crash [7] - 164:23, 165:1, 170:7, 170:18, 170:19, 170:22, 170:25 created [1] - 33:15 creates [3] - 11:1, 69:16, 79:16 credibility [3] - 133:16, 133:21, 173:11 Credit [1] - 195:23 credit [10] - 115:3, 195:5, 195:9, 195:24, 196:9, 198:10, 198:12, 198:14, 199:1 crediting [1] - 65:9 Cree [4] - 92:1, 184:14, 184:16, 184:22 crime [18] - 49:19, 49:25, 58:5, 59:19, 64:7, 64:8, 64:9, 64:11, 118:18, 118:21, 118:23, 119:3, 119:16, 121:19, 154:16, 173:22, 204:13, 216:13 crimes [8] - 55:23, 59:5, 64:4, 66:6, 66:8, 74:21, 74:25, 110:25 Crimes [1] - 58:14 **CRIMINAL** [1] - 1:6 criminal [14] - 6:19, 74:7, 97:9, 133:7, 136:25, 147:5, 149:9, 186:20, 203:16, 215:22, 216:11, 216:17, 217:4

critical [1] - 76:25

Critical [1] - 119:2

39:19, 110:2, 110:3,

134:7, 134:12, 137:5,

138:12, 205:2, 210:4

cross [11] - 3:22, 22:5,

CROSS [7] - 38:24, 151:8, 164:7, 187:1, 230:8, 230:10, 230:12 CROWE [47] - 3:25, 4:3, 90:3, 95:7, 95:13, 95:18, 95:21, 96:5, 96:14, 96:19, 96:22, 97:5, 97:19, 97:25, 98:12, 98:15, 98:21, 99:5, 99:8, 99:10, 99:15, 99:20, 99:23, 100:1, 100:14, 100:24, 101:14, 101:17, 101:23, 102:1, 102:7, 102:14, 102:20, 102:22, 103:2, 103:14, 103:21, 104:3, 104:8, 104:10, 105:3, 105:5, 105:17, 105:21, 225:14, 225:17, 225:24 Crowe [11] - 1:20, 3:24, 88:7, 89:21, 90:2, 95:4, 105:11, 106:6, 113:25, 225:13

curve [2] - 19:22, 20:3 custody [2] - 123:22, 123:23 cut [2] - 132:13, 219:11 cuts [1] - 132:3 cutting [1] - 12:2 cylinder [3] - 160:22, 163:21, 163:23

Crowe's [1] - 106:10

D

D-U-L-A-N-E-Y [1] -158:17 daily [5] - 23:1, 23:2, 23:11, 41:16, 41:25 Daily [1] - 23:2 damaged [1] - 170:10 Damita [2] - 45:20, 47:11 damning [1] - 100:20 Darius [2] - 119:12, 201:13 Darryl [22] - 16:10, 16:11, 16:15, 16:22, 17:12, 17:18, 18:24, 21:20, 26:5, 90:13, 90:17, 109:1, 109:2, 109:17, 109:18, 109:21, 112:2, 112:24, 113:6, 113:10, 113:12, 222:9

data [1] - 21:18

date [5] - 21:11, 23:8,

44:16, 95:25, 151:21

dated [2] - 136:19,

221:25

dates [8] - 31:22, 52:20, 68:11, 70:16, 75:21, 95:5, 152:11, 152:21 Davis [2] - 1:13, 205:18 days [18] - 22:21, 23:4, 28:22, 28:25, 29:1, 29:2, 30:14, 50:24, 52:9, 81:7, 131:25, 142:1, 142:19, 148:17, 148:18, 156:8, 192:19 deal [6] - 122:17, 128:17, 199:18, 199:23, 210:2 dealers [7] - 56:6, 63:14, 63:16, 81:15, 81:16, 88:1, 119:10 dealing [2] - 63:14, 129:24 Dean [1] - 136:20 debate [1] - 105:24 decade [1] - 56:13 December [3] - 29:24, 107:12 decide [4] - 65:25, 104:5, 114:10, 221:4 decided [2] - 80:19, 88:19 decides [3] - 169:6, 172:21, 173:20 decision [10] - 68:14, 133:3, 133:25, 134:4, 134:16, 134:17, 143:22, 216:6. 216:19 deemed [1] - 56:5 **DEFENDANT** [2] -144:10, 158:12 **Defendant** [4] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21 defendant [15] - 49:18, 49:24, 82:3, 87:18, 87:19, 103:12, 118:20, 119:3, 132:22, 133:7, 139:22, 147:8, 147:9, 156:16, 156:22 Defendant's [1] defendant's [2] -118:20, 225:14 **Defendants** [3] - 1:9, 2:1, 199:22 defendants [22] - 11:17, 44:18, 47:12, 56:11, 56:22, 60:6, 80:9, 81:6, 112:1, 131:20, 132:14, 132:19, 139:21, 140:7, 140:23, 141:25, 142:13, 147:4, 199:17, 224:8,

227:11, 227:19

57:4

Defender [2] - 57:3,

defense [25] - 3:15, 7:21, 9:19, 11:21, 12:10, 12:12, 39:21, 45:9, 45:13, 46:3, 50:9, 88:23, 89:24, 91:15, 110:4, 110:14, 133:4, 133:10, 134:24. 139:18. 140:3. 140:5, 141:25, 143:3, 205:23 **Defense** [1] - 108:13 deferred [2] - 13:9, 140:16 defined [2] - 49:20, 50:1 definition [5] - 66:16, 66:23, 88:5, 118:10, 118:14 deflated [2] - 172:1, 172:2 degree [1] - 215:21 **Delaney** [8] - 159:18, 160:3, 161:7, 164:1, 164:9, 165:3, 166:25, 170.11 **Delaney's** [1] - 159:9 delay [2] - 140:20, 215:12 delays [2] - 14:2, 50:15 delete [1] - 162:5 deliberations [7] - 52:1, 52:3, 52:6, 52:9, 214:16, 217:9, 217:17 demeanor [1] - 150:16 demonstrate [3] -141:22, 142:3, 142:6 demonstration [1] -159.14 **Denham** [2] - 112:23, 114:17 denied [2] - 101:1, 131:13 deny [5] - 117:18, 130:10, 132:21, 132:23 Department [1] dependent [3] - 90:14, 129:5. 216:21 deploys [1] - 171:11 deposit [1] - 211:19 deposition [1] - 226:22 depress [3] - 170:4, 173:25, 175:24 depressed [3] - 161:20, 171:2, 174:14 depresses [3] - 169:10, 169:25, 175:1 depressing [1] - 169:1 depth [1] - 33:10 describe [7] - 160:5, 160:16, 161:9, 162:18, 164:2, 184:21, 187:21

described [3] - 91:20, 187:19, 219:8 describes [1] - 130:14 description [3] - 132:7, 165:16, 223:8 desirable [1] - 3:1 desperate [1] - 71:17 despite [1] - 27:11 destroyed [1] - 9:7 detailed [3] - 34:3, 99:22, 100:12 details [1] - 164:17 detective [3] - 195:20, 195:22, 195:23 **Detective** [31] - 14:24, 15:6, 15:14, 16:11, 19:18, 21:19, 25:5, 25:7, 26:9, 26:23, 27:19, 29:6, 29:10, 29:22, 30:3, 33:20, 37:12, 37:15, 38:22, 39:1, 39:18, 44:2, 91:20, 94:12, 95:9, 95:22, 101:5, 101:18, 102:9, 102:12, 221:17 Detectives [1] - 47:14 detectives [5] - 130:1, 195:17, 196:8, 196:18, 198.8 determination [2] -93:12, 117:11 determine [3] - 35:19, 143:16. 216:7 determined [2] - 34:10, 132.11 **determines** [1] - 217:7 device [6] - 159:18, 159:19, 160:16, 160:23, 160:24, 161:4 devices [1] - 17:2 diagnostics [1] - 159:3 diagram [2] - 33:12, 165:16 dialogue [1] - 65:8 difference [3] - 18:18, 84:6, 86:4 $\textbf{different} \ [33] \ - \ 4:3,$ 17:1, 19:20, 20:23, 21:15, 29:2, 34:21, 60:7, 70:7, 74:17, 74:18, 75:7, 75:19, 76:5, 93:6, 93:7, 93:9, 109:5, 111:2, 111:22, 111:23, 112:17, 112:19, 137:11, 149:2, 162:15, 172:16, 175:8, 175:20, 176:10, 218:19 differently [1] - 187:19 difficult [4] - 14:21, 27:1, 58:24, 59:8 Digest [1] - 106:19 dire [1] - 199:19

direct [8] - 40:7, 103:4, 122:15, 134:8, 180:2, 189:4, 195:11, 219:7 **DIRECT**[7] - 15:11, 144:15, 158:18, 178:11. 230:7, 230:9, 230:12 Direct [1] - 3:22 direct's [1] - 225:17 directed [1] - 122:2 direction [1] - 221:2 **directions** [1] - 111:6 directly [6] - 109:17, 116:19, 144:12, 158:14, 178:3. 222:24 directories [1] - 29:3 dirty [3] - 56:22, 125:6, 125:13 Dirty [2] - 104:20, 104:21 disagreement [2] -73:20, 203:15 **discipline** [1] - 118:19 discounted [1] - 93:17 discovery [2] - 210:22, 211:19 discrepancies [3] -25:14, 37:6, 38:4 discrepancy [1] - 19:23 discrete [1] - 120:18 discuss [4] - 3:8, 11:10, 200:12, 215:3 discussed [4] - 40:8, 68:7, 176:11, 228:8 discussing [1] - 68:22 discussion [5] - 22:4, 52:16, 62:15, 89:5, 199:11 disinclined [1] - 5:1 disjunctive [2] - 85:24, **Dismiss** [1] - 84:18 dispute [2] - 78:11, 78:14 disrupting [1] - 63:19 distinct [14] - 53:20, 53:21, 53:25, 54:9, 55:18, 55:19, 55:20, 56:3, 59:24, 61:24, 62:19, 62:24, 67:17, **distinction** [4] - 34:3, 35:15, 112:13, 222:17 distinctive [1] - 37:1 distinguish [1] - 49:1 distributed [1] - 108:17 distributing [2] - 67:14, 107:22 distribution [12] - 55:7, 55:11, 55:19, 56:2, 67:6, 74:12, 108:15, 109:24,

110:16, 110:24, 132:12, 133.12 district [1] - 147:3 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:2 Division [1] - 206:9 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 DOAR [3] - 49:12, 49:13, 149:12 Dobropolski [4] -46:11, 47:9, 73:20, 78:11 Dobropolski's [2] -48:23, 104:11 **DOC** [2] - 222:17, 223:4 doctors [1] - 9:1 doctrine [3] - 103:10, 216:15, 216:16 document [4] - 160:3, 196:24, 204:19, 211:17 documents [6] - 3:23, 4:7, 39:23, 48:17, 48:19, 152:23 dogs [1] - 104:7 done [30] - 15:15, 32:13, 33:8, 33:10, 56:21, 63:25, 71:20, 73:14, 76:18, 80:6, 84:15, 104:2, 119:7, 122:2, 142:16, 142:21, 142:22, 142:23, 143:25, 174:9, 219:16, 219:17, 219:19, 220:1, 220:4, 220:5. 222:20. 228:15 door [67] - 26:17, 103:9, 159:22, 160:20, 161:1, 161:15, 161:16, 161:21, 162:3, 162:4, 162:12, 162:21, 162:23, 162:24, 163:3, 163:7, 163:10, 163:12, 163:13, 163:20, 166:8, 166:9, 166:11, 166:12, 166:15, 167:1, 167:7, 167:24, 168:1, 168:4, 168:8, 168:11, 168:12, 168:13, 168:19, 168:25, 169:1, 169:5, 169:14, 169:15, 169:20, 170:2, 170:17, 170:19, 171:23, 172:19, 173:23, 174:1, 174:9, 174:14, 174:17, 174:19, 174:21, 174:23, 175:1, 175:3, 176:7, 176:11, 176:17, 177:10, 185:1, 185:2, 185:3 door's [1] - 176:15 doors [42] - 160:23, 161:11, 162:9, 162:17, 162:24, 162:25, 163:4, 163:12, 163:17, 163:22, 164:4, 166:16, 166:21,

166:25, 167:11, 167:18, 167:23, 168:9, 168:18, 168:22, 169:6, 169:7, 169:9, 169:11, 169:15, 169:19, 170:1, 171:3, 172:5, 172:13, 172:17, 173:20, 174:11, 174:15, 175:2. 175:4. 175:10. 175:24, 176:4, 176:17, 176:21, 177:6 dots [1] - 94:18 double [2] - 80:13, 111:4 doublecheck [1] -51:12 doubt [14] - 18:8, 65:11, 70:17, 87:14, 87:17, 129:22, 130:13, 132:8, 141:5, 141:10, 141:13, 141:19, 144:2, 216:9 Down [1] - 185:5 down [31] - 43:8, 87:15, 90:10, 98:19, 112:14, 135:10, 138:5, 143:18, 145:18, 160:23, 161:4, 168:19. 170:18. 174:10. 177:7. 178:6. 180:8. 180:9, 185:3, 185:12, 185:16, 189:25, 190:5, 190:6, 199:17, 200:17, 205:13, 225:20, 227:24 downstairs [1] - 184:20 draft [3] - 120:4, 120:15, 227:21 draw [2] - 98:19, 100:10 drawn [1] - 94:18 dreamed [1] - 140:12 dried [1] - 173:3 drink [3] - 181:23, 181:24, 197:12 drive [2] - 165:9, 225:3 driven [1] - 194:7 driver [11] - 161:13, 168:17, 168:21, 169:5, 169:6, 169:8, 169:18, 169:23, 170:15, 170:20, 172:17 driver's [16] - 159:22, 160:20, 162:21, 162:23, 163:20, 166:8, 167:7, 168:21, 171:2, 174:9, 174:14, 174:17, 174:18, 174:21, 175:1, 175:24 drop [1] - 97:14 drug [63] - 16:16, 53:17, 55:25, 56:5, 56:7, 56:10, 56:12, 58:18, 58:20,

60:9, 60:11, 60:16,

60:21, 60:22, 60:23,

60:25, 61:1, 61:2, 61:3,

61:20, 61:23, 62:10, 63:14, 63:16, 64:14, 64:20, 64:23, 65:2, 65:10, 65:12, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 73:3, 74:9, 74:10, 74:12, 74:14, 76:17, 77:20, 77:21, 80:10. 81:15. 87:23. 88:1, 90:12, 93:2, 95:2, 106:15, 107:18, 109:13, 110:18, 110:24, 112:13, 119:10, 131:10, 132:11, 133:12, 141:7, 141:23 drugs [12] - 44:8, 44:20, 44:21, 54:6, 55:16, 63:14, 67:16, 107:22, 109:14, 109:16, 109:17, 113:11 drunk [1] - 181:24 dual [2] - 210:6, 216:15 dubbed [1] - 74:7 due [1] - 25:15 **DULANEY** [2] - 158:12, 230:9 **Dulaney** [12] - 158:11, 158:16. 158:20. 158:22. 159:13, 166:5, 171:5, 171:20, 173:4, 174:2, 175:18, 177:16 dummies [1] - 170:24 duration [11] - 18:10, 18:20, 19:23, 21:7, 21:8, 35:13, 35:19, 36:16, 36:23, 37:6, 38:8 **Duration** [1] - 36:5 durations [2] - 38:1, 38:3 during [33] - 4:24, 15:4, 31:14, 32:11, 32:20, 33:3, 33:6, 39:19, 47:5, 48:5, 48:17, 48:19, 48:23, 51:5, 51:9, 96:14, 101:2, 116:16, 116:17, 121:19, 141:8, 148:3, 154:8, 154:24, 155:10, 157:6, 184:5, 191:18, 194:6, 194:19, 217:5, 217:9, 217:17 duties [1] - 159:2 duty [2] - 134:11, 143:1 dutybound [1] - 142:24

Document 695

Ε

e-mail [2] - 227:18, 229.8 earliest [1] - 109:2 early [12] - 5:9, 10:16, 17:12, 35:15, 54:3,

88:19, 91:7, 99:11, 141:16, 201:12, 227:6, 227:14 earn [1] - 60:20 earned [2] - 60:20, 88.21 easier [2] - 37:4, 59:11 easily [2] - 77:19, 204:14 easy [1] - 65:7 eat [3] - 185:15, 185:16, 185:24 Edgecombe [1] -130:23 effect [3] - 105:14, 194:15, 217:6 effectively [1] - 76:10 effort [2] - 57:1, 111:14 efforts [2] - 50:20, 208:3 **Eight** [7] - 53:18, 56:16, 74:15, 75:11, 76:4, 90:12, 93:2 eight [8] - 29:23, 80:16, 98:25, 141:9, 175:8, 181:8, 181:9, 213:22 Eighth [1] - 57:22 Either [1] - 3:1 either [15] - 4:23, 5:1, 10:19, 43:3, 92:17, 98:6, 103:10, 121:21, 148:8, 154:23, 173:23, 176:13, 184:4, 192:14, 228:21 election [1] - 227:11 **element** [4] - 87:13, 87:17, 119:1, 216:8 elementary [1] - 132:15 elements [3] - 57:6, 216:25, 217:1 elicit [5] - 101:5, 101:17, 102:12, 137:12, 137:14 elicited [2] - 95:9, 203:5 embellished [1] -143:19 emerge [1] - 111:3 **emergency** [1] - 14:6 emerges [1] - 111:9 emotion [1] - 9:12 emphasis [1] - 210:5 employ [1] - 153:13 employed [3] - 87:11, 107:11, 158:23 employee [3] - 148:15, 153:1, 153:10 employees [1] - 153:4 employer [2] - 51:10, 52:19 employment [2] -153:21, 156:25

encounter[1] - 14:2 encountered [1] - 50:16 end [18] - 8:3, 11:2, 12:8, 12:14, 13:6, 14:24, 22:16, 39:12, 40:9, 51:21, 53:7, 111:14, 118:15, 135:21, 182:14, 215:19, 228:22 End [1] - 107:10 ended [3] - 67:10, 67:17, 194:2 ending [1] - 41:1 ends [1] - 22:6 enforcement [1] -173:21 engage [4] - 71:8, 119:18, 132:11, 169:8 engaged [5] - 56:11, 64:10, 67:14, 132:4, 132:20 enhance [1] - 118:23 enhancing [3] - 63:10, 64:6, 113:19 Enjoy [2] - 89:6, 215:7 enjoy [1] - 215:1 ensure [1] - 147:7 entered [2] - 84:12, 197:13 entering [1] - 161:19 enterprise [102] - 57:9, 57:10, 57:21, 58:1, 58:7, 58:13, 58:16, 58:19, 59:6, 59:10, 59:11, 59:12, 59:20, 60:7, 60:12, 60:17, 61:7, 61:11, 61:13, 61:14, 61:22, 62:5, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 63:3, 63:4, 63:11, 64:6, 64:10, 64:14, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 65:3, 65:10, 65:12, 65:14, 65:15, 66:10, 71:1, 71:6, 71:13, 71:14, 71:18, 72:2, 72:3, 72:11, 73:12, 74:2, 74:3, 74:5, 74:6, 74:15, 74:20, 74:21, 74:22, 74:23, 76:21, 77:16, 77:19, 78:1, 78:5, 79:15, 80:3, 80:4, 81:12, 81:13, 81:23, 83:3, 83:21, 84:16, 85:18, 87:10, 87:11, 87:18, 87:20, 88:4, 90:14, 92:22, 94:23, 97:9, 97:14, 107:7, 111:18, 113:11, 118:11, 118:19, 118:20, 118:22, 118:24, 119:17, 119:19, 130:17, 141:6, 141:15, 141:18, 141:23,

158:3, 177:17, 199:5,

142:13 enterprise's [2] - 73:24, 87:12 enterprises [2] - 61:24, 206:17 119.8 enters [7] - 8:7, 13:25, 88:15, 139:15, 169:20, 205:16, 210:17 entertain [1] - 53:1 Entertainment [9] -58:13, 58:15, 60:5, 62:7, 74:4, 76:24, 77:15, 79:3, 130:19 entire [9] - 55:6, 82:17, 92:25, 184:2, 194:5, 197:14, 204:11, 213:16, 213:18 entirely [6] - 57:13, 90:14, 113:9, 115:21, 134:17, 205:24 entirety [3] - 44:5, 46:4, 204.15 entitled [3] - 37:21, 121:18, 132:17 entity [4] - 78:1, 78:2, 132:7, 220:19 envelope [1] - 214:22 equal [3] - 83:11, 83:25 equally [1] - 100:7 equals [1] - 72:8 equipment [2] - 154:5, 165:16 **equipped** [1] - 177:10 Eric [5] - 110:3, 117:6, 117:8, 125:20, 126:1 **especially** [3] - 106:11, 140:17, 172:13 Esquire [10] - 1:16, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21, 1:22, 1:22 essential [1] - 34:5 essentially [18] - 19:6, 53:17, 54:1, 54:24, 55:11, 55:14, 56:3, 82:19, 92:16, 93:23, 94:17, 95:2, 100:17, 103:3, 119:6, 129:5, 163:1, 206:1 Essentially [2] - 19:22, establish [1] - 117:13 223:1 established [4] - 76:8, 81:13, 107:18, 119:1 et [1] - 231:5 evaluating [1] - 216:7 evening [8] - 2:24, 2:25, 6:5, 6:11, 10:17, 91:7, 91:18

event [5] - 79:1, 82:16,

83:2, 137:5, 227:12 155:24, 155:25, 156:2, events [5] - 51:16, 156.4 180:3, 202:2, 202:21, ex-husband's [1] -156:5 exact [2] - 31:22, 148:5 eventually [1] - 24:10 evidence [153] - 3:2, Exactly [4] - 35:11, 10:8, 10:14, 44:13, 36:17, 194:20, 219:2 46:25, 47:6, 47:7, 47:10, exactly [10] - 20:20, 47:19, 48:8, 51:20, 54:5, 29:13, 56:8, 97:23, 54:11, 54:12, 54:15, 119:19, 132:9, 181:20, 54:17, 54:20, 55:4, 186:4, 224:18, 228:1 55:24, 58:11, 60:14, exam [3] - 110:3, 60:19, 63:15, 63:24, 146:21 65:8, 65:9, 66:2, 69:3, examination [7] -69:12, 72:14, 72:18, 14:24, 32:1, 39:4, 39:19, 72:21, 72:23, 73:5, 137:5, 210:5 73:13, 73:17, 73:19, **EXAMINATION** [24] -74:10, 74:24, 75:8, 76:9, 15:11, 25:3, 27:17, 76:12, 76:13, 78:8, 38:24, 144:15, 151:8, 78:12, 78:15, 78:19, 158:18, 164:7, 175:16, 78:20, 79:1, 79:17, 80:7, 178:11, 187:1, 198:18, 230:4, 230:5, 230:5, 80:23, 80:25, 81:5, 81:17, 81:21, 83:6, 83:7, 230:6, 230:7, 230:8, 83:9, 83:10, 83:14, 230:9, 230:10, 230:10, 83:24, 84:4, 84:11, 230:12, 230:12, 230:13 84:25, 85:5, 85:11, examination's [1] -85:14, 86:9, 86:16, 138:12 86:24, 86:25, 88:2, 89:6, **examine** [5] - 110:3, 90:22, 91:3, 91:12, 92:2, 134:12, 165:1, 205:2, 93:3, 95:5, 95:17, 97:3, 222:25 98:21, 98:22, 100:20, examined [2] - 130:25, 101:9, 101:10, 102:1, 134:7 103:9, 105:1, 106:21, **examining** [1] - 22:5 107:14, 113:16, 114:5, example [11] - 21:5, 114:19, 115:5, 115:12, 23:20, 30:13, 37:23, 115:14, 116:14, 116:16, 64:7, 80:22, 84:21, 118:7, 118:17, 119:4, 118:17, 131:15, 165:18 119:21, 119:23, 120:1, exceeding [2] - 49:20, 122:10, 122:15, 122:21, 123:18, 126:17, 126:24, excellent [1] - 148:13 127:4, 127:5, 127:7, Excellent [3] - 89:14, 127:11, 127:13, 129:24, 228:20 130:2, 130:6, 130:20, except [5] - 19:3, 52:17, 131:14, 131:20, 132:17, 72:16, 108:10, 112:1 132:20, 133:16, 134:12, exception [6] - 10:8, 139:18, 139:24, 142:15, 102:21, 103:11, 103:18, 143:25, 146:13, 149:16, 103:19, 204:12 149:17, 197:5, 199:11, excessive [1] - 3:11 204:10, 204:18, 212:18, exchanged [2] - 96:9, 213:15, 214:10, 214:12, 130:25 214:13, 215:23, 216:7, exculpatory [1] -217:12, 220:1, 221:15, 103:11 excuse [6] - 88:9, 89:2, evidentiary [7] - 52:12, 135:24, 138:9, 227:4, 84:7, 86:4, 86:11, 86:20, 227:6 126:11, 129:21 Excuse [5] - 8:9, 89:10, evidently [1] - 164:22 115:18, 172:10, 221:1 ex [5] - 155:24, 155:25, excused [15] - 2:13, 156:2, 156:4, 156:5 9:4, 10:14, 14:15, 52:15, ex-husband [4] -52:22, 89:4, 89:7, 158:1,

Document 695

199:13, 208:2, 210:9 Executive [1] - 130:15 exercise [1] - 143:1 Exhibit [16] - 47:17, 48:15, 48:18, 48:20, 48:25, 49:9, 49:10, 92:9, 98:24, 99:17, 105:6, 149:16, 173:1, 210:22, 213:1, 213:15 exhibit [14] - 45:1, 45:2, 45:17, 46:7, 46:8, 47:16, 48:6, 96:6, 99:15, 104:16, 104:23, 106:23, 165:23 exhibits [6] - 39:15, 44:19, 44:24, 50:9, 158:2, 217:16 Exhibits [1] - 44:12 exist [1] - 59:20 existed [3] - 61:11, 68:1 141:18 existence [6] - 57:9, 58:12, 61:11, 70:1, 87:12, 87:19 exit [4] - 161:10, 161:11, 162:13, 176:7 exited [1] - 170:16 exits [5] - 9:9, 52:24, 89:17, 199:14, 217:21 expansive [1] - 131:11 expect [13] - 5:4, 5:5, 90:7. 109:25. 132:10. 137:11, 137:12, 214:9, 214:13, 225:15, 225:17, 225:25 expectation [2] -121:15, 132:1 expected [6] - 4:24, 118:21, 119:3, 119:18, 131:19, 133:5 expecting [1] - 4:6 expeditiously [1] -50.18 **experience** [1] - 220:5 experienced [1] - 224:7 expert [13] - 3:19, 3:20, 5:8, 7:21, 33:23, 135:13, 159:10, 159:11, 218:9, 218:25, 219:8, 219:12, 219:15 expert's [1] - 138:16 experts [2] - 44:10, 219:16 **explain** [3] - 37:20, 37:21, 175:10 explained [4] - 17:6, 17:20, 18:13, 109:11 explanation [1] - 38:6

exploded [1] - 172:4

explodes [1] - 171:13 exposed [1] - 115:23 express [1] - 137:2 expressions [1] -214:24 extended [1] - 111:19 extending [2] - 2:16, 140:19 extensive [1] - 103:22 extent [10] - 11:20, 52:7, 52:17, 60:8, 75:20, 91:16, 112:24, 122:16, 135:20, 212:15 **extremely** [1] - 5:5 eye [1] - 134:22 eyewitnesses [2] -4:21, 221:5

F

face [3] - 9:12, 145:18, 178.7 fact [61] - 14:3, 17:1, 22:5, 22:20, 25:16, 26:9, 27:11, 31:20, 32:19, 33:12, 33:23, 34:2, 34:3, 34:8, 34:13, 39:20, 44:15, 53:20, 53:22, 54:9, 59:11, 61:13, 62:14, 63:7, 64:19, 64:22, 65:11, 67:3, 67:4, 67:14, 73:8, 74:6, 74:18, 78:2, 78:18, 79:8, 91:21, 92:6, 97:5, 102:14, 108:15, 114:1, 114:5, 114:7, 116:11, 116:12, 125:7, 126:12, 130:12, 134:2, 172:25, 193:17, 194:24, 205:5, 208:25, 219:15, 221:3, 223:18, 223:19, 223:21, 224:24 factors [2] - 118:15, 216:20 facts [7] - 64:18, 67:5, 107:19, 121:10, 122:6, 143:2, 143:3 factual [4] - 80:2, 86:21, 121:16, 121:23 fail [1] - 141:14 failed [5] - 53:19, 57:8, 62:23, 141:17, 141:18 **failing** [1] - 110:15 failings [1] - 142:2 Fair [1] - 61:21 fair [8] - 63:20, 64:15, 64:16, 77:3, 78:20, 82:5, 143:23, 160:11 fairly [3] - 50:18, 92:14,

140:4

fall [2] - 20:3, 78:10 falls [1] - 126:24 familiar [1] - 147:16 family [20] - 2:11, 8:12, 8:22, 14:6, 14:7, 14:13, 14:15, 14:20, 16:10, 52:20, 59:17, 59:19, 109:11, 112:5, 147:16, 155:15, 155:17, 155:20, 223:5, 225:5 far [10] - 27:13, 43:2, 69:12, 75:15, 77:20, 86:14, 89:6, 129:21, 145:19, 172:15 **fascinating** [1] - 110:2 fast [1] - 196:5 fatal [5] - 76:11, 76:15, 79:18, 82:19 faulting [2] - 220:3, 224.9 favor [6] - 65:9, 76:8, 81:21, 83:1, 87:1, 126:23 favorable [1] - 76:13 feasibility [1] - 2:24 feature [2] - 103:5, 174:16 February [4] - 45:23, 80:12, 96:11, 97:24 Federal [1] - 206:5 federal [18] - 51:2, 94:13, 95:15, 137:3, 137:4, 147:4, 191:10, 209:1, 215:20, 216:10, 216:14, 216:16, 216:19, 216:20, 216:22, 216:25 feedback [1] - 2:19 feelings [1] - 187:9 fell [2] - 184:6, 193:17 fellow [3] - 65:24, 104:19, 104:21 felon [1] - 94:13 felt [2] - 34:5, 90:9 females [1] - 154:10 few [12] - 15:13, 24:3, 40:14, 48:5, 113:24, 142:1, 190:18, 192:19, 206:15, 206:16, 213:6 field [1] - 160:24 Fifth [4] - 136:11, 207:4, 208:17, 208:21 fight [3] - 78:12, 78:16, figure [1] - 189:5 file [2] - 211:1, 211:20 filed [5] - 90:7, 211:1, 211:10, 211:17, 227:16 **fill** [2] - 147:6, 164:14 **filled** [1] - 47:13 filling [2] - 138:15,

196:16

final [10] - 46:4, 50:8, 50:17, 54:22, 56:18, 98:9, 98:10, 120:25, 164:1, 227:13 finalize [1] - 45:17 finalized [5] - 33:15, 33:19, 33:25 finally [5] - 104:10, 123:13, 140:11, 157:4, 217:15 **Finally** [2] - 60:1, 149:22 finder [4] - 64:19, 64:22, 65:11, 130:12 fine [9] - 2:5, 7:24, 105:17, 105:20, 151:21, 157:20, 157:23, 211:25, 212:5 Fine [1] - 61:12 fingerprint [1] - 146:23 finish [5] - 10:8, 10:19, 12:15, 37:9, 37:10 finished [2] - 51:3, 66:15 finishing [1] - 12:22 firearm [2] - 90:17, 154:6 firearms [6] - 5:8, 7:21, 90:15, 110:21, 129:24 First [7] - 2:4, 15:14, 57:6. 64:25. 68:2. 180:11. 202:17 first [50] - 9:21, 11:17, 17:20, 18:24, 23:5, 24:7, 24:15, 33:20, 38:14, 40:1, 40:4, 40:22, 41:7, 43:3, 44:7, 53:17, 67:10, 69:7, 69:8, 69:11, 72:8, 75:10, 75:13, 77:1, 77:23, 78:9, 109:10, 118:3, 141:9, 143:16, 144:5, 145:23, 160:2, 162:5, 168:2, 170:21, 171:13, 171:14, 171:17, 173:25, 179:16, 181:1, 189:3, 199:18, 201:2, 209:5, 218:22, 218:24, 222:9, 225:22 first-hand [1] - 171:17 fit [3] - 88:5, 93:18, 138:10 Five [8] - 90:13, 122:23, 127:9, 127:14, 129:4, 129:7, 159:14, 160:15 five [11] - 22:21, 23:4, 63:3, 63:5, 80:12, 80:16, 139:1, 148:18, 181:14, 205:5, 209:6

fixed [1] - 185:15

flail [1] - 170:25

FLANNERY [66] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:23, 53:10, 53:12, 58:20, 60:13, 60:23, 61:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:17, 61:21, 62:13, 62:17, 62:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:20, 63:24, 64:3, 64:12, 64:21, 64:24, 65:4, 65:6, 65:13, 65:17, 66:1, 66:14, 66:17, 66:19, 66:22, 67:3, 68:5, 68:7, 68:16, 68:21, 69:6, 69:8, 69:10, 69:15, 69:23, 70:3, 70:18, 70:21, 70:23, 71:25, 73:4, 73:10, 74:1, 74:10, 74:17, 75:3, 138:18, 138:23, 158:11, 158:19, 171:4, 171:6, 172:8, 173:14, 175:17, 213:1, 230:9, 230:10 Flannery [18] - 1:19, 3:17, 13:16, 53:9, 75:2, 75:11, 76:18, 80:3, 80:25, 120:25, 135:12, 160:1, 164:13, 166:4, 167:16, 209:6, 209:8, 212:11 Flannery's [4] - 131:5, 135:15, 158:10, 212:23 flesh [2] - 39:21, 102:22 flexibility [1] - 225:24 flies [1] - 171:14 flimsy [1] - 86:16 flip [1] - 168:8 flipper [23] - 161:2, 161:3, 161:14, 161:16, 162:3, 162:11, 162:24, 163:2, 166:10, 166:14, 167:1, 168:2, 168:8, 168:25, 169:1, 169:14, 170:4, 173:25, 176:7, 176:8, 176:22, 176:23 floor[1] - 139:2 flurry [1] - 222:14 focus [3] - 77:14, 79:25, 111:19 follow [5] - 141:19, 142:25, 155:6, 162:25, 212:8 Following [1] - 39:3 following [5] - 44:9, 47:14, 52:2, 137:7, 202:16 follows [2] - 51:20, 118:14 **FOR** [1] - 1:2 foregoing [1] - 231:7

foreman [1] - 159:1

forest [1] - 126:11

Document 695

forget [2] - 113:1, 175:5 forgot [1] - 85:21 forgotten [2] - 210:1, 210:10 form [5] - 9:14, 68:4, 120:3, 147:6, 206:2 former [1] - 14:13 forms [1] - 153:15 forth [5] - 41:17, 83:20, 137:3, 146:23, 222:15 forward [17] - 14:17, 66:2, 143:21, 143:23, 161:12, 163:11, 163:14, 165:9, 167:13, 170:22, 171:14, 171:17, 172:3, 172:15, 196:5, 214:24, 216:19 foundation [4] - 40:1, 110:24, 121:16, 132:9 four [38] - 11:16, 11:18, 26:17, 46:24, 53:25, 56:3, 56:11, 58:8, 61:14, 61:15, 61:16, 61:23, 63:25, 64:16, 64:25, 66:3, 66:5, 66:11, 74:21, 74:24, 80:7, 81:7, 96:7, 114:11, 114:13, 122:12, 122:14, 130:13, 137:12, 140:23, 141:25, 166:15, 166:16, 187:12, 200:23, 205:5, 228:9 Four [2] - 88:5, 94:3 fourth [3] - 53:14, 63:18, 94:3 Fourth [2] - 100:25, 131:6 frame [2] - 31:18, 106:24 frankly [7] - 6:7, 10:7, 52:7, 69:13, 85:1, 88:20, 210.8 free [4] - 42:21, 52:21, 122:4, 200:9 frequent [1] - 150:3 Friday [1] - 52:1 Friend [1] - 179:4 friend [8] - 129:14, 149:3, 154:13, 174:20, 179:7, 187:18, 187:22 Friends [2] - 39:11, 40:5 friends [7] - 58:8, 65:18, 65:23, 132:15, 150:5, 181:3 friendship [1] - 131:24 front [16] - 25:14, 32:4, 92:9, 167:23, 168:7, 168:20, 169:12, 169:22, 170:16, 170:20, 173:20, 174:6, 174:8, 185:1,

185:2, 185:3 full [7] - 52:9, 75:23, 100:17, 214:9, 228:4, 228:5, 228:12 fully [5] - 3:4, 88:22, 120:21, 120:23, 214:13 fund [2] - 81:16, 88:1 fundamentally [1] -82:19 funeral [1] - 150:24 furtherance [6] - 58:14, 71:18, 90:17, 121:21, 121:22, 129:2

G

gain [2] - 57:1, 58:12

gang [27] - 58:18, 60:9, 60:10, 60:11, 60:16, 60:25, 61:1, 61:3, 61:20, 61:23, 62:10, 64:20, 64:23, 65:2, 65:10, 65:12, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 73:3, 74:9, 74:10, 77:20, 77:21, 87:23, 110:17, 130:13 **GARDNER** [3] - 1:8, 144:10, 230:7 Gardner [143] - 1:21, 9:21, 22:6, 22:13, 22:22, 23:10, 24:8, 28:15, 39:6, 39:23, 40:13, 41:18, 42:9, 42:13, 43:7, 43:11, 43:14, 49:6, 49:9, 49:17, 49:18, 54:2, 54:11, 54:13, 54:20, 55:1, 60:3, 62:14, 67:11, 76:7, 79:2, 79:8, 79:17, 79:20, 80:25, 81:22, 85:3, 85:14, 86:17, 88:5, 92:15, 93:11, 94:10, 100:15, 106:24, 107:1, 107:2, 107:4, 107:18, 107:21, 108:7, 108:11, 108:19, 109:19, 112:20, 114:23, 115:6, 115:7, 115:9, 115:16, 116:2, 116:9, 116:10, 116:17, 117:25, 118:8, 119:14, 119:16, 122:10, 122:16, 122:18, 122:21, 122:22, 122:24, 123:9, 123:16, 123:18, 123:22, 124:11, 124:13, 125:5, 126:25, 127:10, 127:17, 128:19, 129:8, 130:20, 131:19, 133:1, 135:8, 144:6, 144:9, 144:14, 144:17, 144:21, 145:7, 145:8, 145:12, 145:13, 145:17,

145:19, 147:12, 147:24, 150:11, 151:10, 151:13, 151:25, 152:6, 152:15, 153:1, 153:9, 154:23, 155:5, 155:13, 155:14, 155:19, 156:1, 156:16, 156:22, 157:4, 157:13, 158:1. 189:11. 200:7. 200:9, 202:3, 202:7, 202:12, 202:18, 203:2, 204:12, 206:17, 222:5, 222:6, 222:12, 224:5, 224:10, 224:20 **Gardner's** [24] - 5:11, 9:22, 23:2, 23:17, 24:11, 39:5, 42:19, 43:4, 83:7, 87:2, 118:4, 122:9, 124:8, 128:16, 128:23, 129:4, 135:5, 149:3, 153:13, 154:2, 155:15, 155:16, 223:25, 225:6 GARDNER'S [1] -144:10 gathered [1] - 130:25 geared [1] - 121:9 general [11] - 77:17, 87:20, 87:22, 87:23, 88:3, 121:7, 122:6, 160:2, 175:23, 216:12, 216:18 generally [2] - 59:9, 59:16 **generated** [1] - 61:2 **generis** [1] - 94:6 gentlemen [22] - 14:1, 14:6, 29:18, 50:10, 50:13, 88:17, 89:15, 139:16, 140:11, 146:4, 180:10, 181:19, 182:11, 184:22, 198:20, 199:7, 210:19, 212:12, 213:5, 214:3, 215:7, 217:9 Gerard [1] - 1:19 gig [1] - 111:16 girl [1] - 115:20 girlfriend [1] - 100:9 girlfriends [1] - 192:25 given [17] - 25:23, 25:25, 33:1, 68:4, 75:25, 76:8, 76:12, 78:7, 82:4, 83:4, 85:10, 103:25, 135:19, 140:20, 210:12, 215:15, 216:2 goal [1] - 71:14 goals [1] - 119:10 God [1] - 9:8 Golder [2] - 110:3,

Goo [9] - 108:2, 124:22,

125:13, 179:10, 179:12,

179:14, 189:13, 190:10, 192:17 Goo's [3] - 192:22, 192:25, 193:6 Goose [3] - 111:13, 119:13, 121:12 government [125] - 5:7, 7:6, 7:22, 11:16, 12:4, 12:6, 12:11, 12:16, 39:9, 39:10, 44:4, 49:4, 50:7, 50:10, 53:19, 55:8, 55:24, 56:3, 56:9, 57:7, 57:10, 58:21, 59:23, 62:23, 64:16, 65:9, 66:2, 68:18, 69:24, 70:3, 71:19, 72:11, 73:21, 76:9, 76:14, 76:16, 76:19, 77:14, 79:16, 80:5, 80:6, 80:18, 81:10, 81:20, 81:21, 81:22, 82:4, 83:2, 85:8, 85:12, 85:13, 86:7, 87:1, 87:14, 87:17, 87:24, 88:4, 89:22, 90:16, 91:16, 92:18, 94:17, 103:7, 104:16, 104:17, 104:20, 106:11, 107:17, 117:10, 117:12, 117:17, 119:21, 119:25, 120:3, 123:5, 126:19, 126:23, 127:4, 128:6, 128:11, 128:12, 129:20, 130:22, 132:3, 132:8, 132:16, 133:13, 134:7, 136:12, 137:3, 137:4, 137:9, 138:20, 139:22, 140:24, 141:14, 141:17, 141:22, 142:9, 142:11, 142:16, 143:2, 143:7, 143:10, 144:1, 149:14, 159:8, 204:2, 204:6, 204:14, 208:13, 216:8, 216:10, 216:11, 216:16, 218:23, 220:6, 221:18, 222:9, 223:2, 226:18, 227:19, 228:16 GOVERNMENT [1] -178:1 Government [6] - 1:15, 98:23, 99:17, 172:25, 206:5, 213:15 GOVERNMENT'S [1] -15:10 Government's [16] -9:16, 48:15, 48:18, 48:20, 48:22, 48:25, 49:9, 49:10, 49:22, 92:9, 105:6, 149:16, 166:1, 167:5, 197:9, 213:1 government's [35] -

10:21, 11:18, 12:5, 15:1,

58:10, 63:9, 68:10, 77:22, 78:21, 85:2, 87:6, 87:8, 87:9, 91:3, 91:15, 92:3, 93:21, 103:4, 107:9, 121:11, 122:4, 122:11, 122:12, 123:6, 123:22, 127:11, 129:3, 129:8. 130:3. 142:2. 142:3, 210:4, 216:19, 221:19, 221:23 governments [1] -209.2 grand [25] - 25:15, 25:16, 32:4, 32:7, 32:23, 33:9, 45:7, 46:2, 50:10, 53:4, 63:13, 187:11, 187:15, 187:17, 187:19, 188:19, 191:4, 191:23, 191:25, 192:5, 192:9, 193:3, 193:14, 194:22, 195:4 grandfather's [1] -217:22 grandmother [1] -178:19 grant [1] - 76:14 granted [4] - 75:23, 84:18, 91:2, 206:4 granting [2] - 70:2, 92:3 great [6] - 6:8, 13:23, 38:6, 120:17, 227:15 greater [1] - 212:2 Green [2] - 45:20, 47:11 grew [1] - 132:16 Griffin [1] - 59:23 grocery [2] - 130:21 grounds [4] - 75:18, 79:19, 87:3, 106:7 group [7] - 57:14, 66:10, 78:1, 111:22, 111:24, 111:25 grouped [1] - 111:2 gruesome [1] - 171:19 guarantee [1] - 12:25 guess [18] - 54:23, 56:17, 64:12, 68:2, 71:5, 84:20, 98:16, 100:16, 105:11, 106:20, 106:21, 112:18, 146:24, 150:6, 165:23, 196:10, 209:16, 224:24 guided [1] - 212:17 guilt [4] - 103:15, 103:19, 117:12, 129:22 guilty [6] - 117:16, 141:21, 142:14, 202:25, 203:1, 204:19 gun [21] - 85:9, 94:12,

98:4, 117:8, 123:2,

123:3, 123:9, 123:23,

124:2, 124:8, 124:11, 124:13, 125:18, 126:11, 169:8, 169:11, 169:21, 192:20, 203:25 guns [5] - 85:11, 123:3, 124:2, 129:18, 130:24 guts [1] - 91:15 **guy** [16] - 65:23, 104:12, 108:19, 111:5, 111:6, 111:7, 111:8, 117:6, 169:11, 169:15, 169:19, 170:1, 170:15, 174:25 guys [12] - 69:4, 108:10, 108:14, 108:17, 109:8, 110:4, 110:6, 110:14, 110:16, 111:25, 112:4, 128:2

Н

Hagerstown [1] - 136:6

half [9] - 12:13, 33:14,

haircut [1] - 6:22

52:13, 96:10, 140:15, 218:4, 218:7, 218:13 halfway [9] - 95:19, 98:5, 188:22, 190:18, 190:21, 191:8, 191:12, 191:14, 192:13 hallmark [2] - 59:22, 59:23 Hammerjacks [3] -72:10, 78:13, 78:18 hand [9] - 8:10, 132:8, 135:14, 144:9, 171:2, 171:17, 172:4, 212:11, 229:10 handed [2] - 2:10, 9:14 handful [1] - 48:3 handgun [4] - 95:14, 117:5, 154:15, 154:17 handle [14] - 5:21, 161:1, 166:9, 166:11, 166:12, 167:1, 167:7, 167:25, 168:8, 169:13, 169:24, 170:3, 171:23, 176:22 handles [1] - 166:16 Handy [3] - 40:23, 41:8, 41:17 Handy's [2] - 43:4, 43:22 hang [2] - 205:8 hang-up [2] - 205:8 hanging [1] - 65:23 Hanlon [13] - 1:16, 47:5, 48:1, 49:12, 50:4, 106:12, 113:21, 117:20, 121:8, 158:2, 164:12,

228:7, 228:11 **HANLON** [12] - 7:20, 8:2, 48:3, 48:11, 49:3, 49:13, 49:16, 50:5, 117:23, 150:15, 151:9, 230:8

happy [6] - 53:1, 103:5, 107:16, 120:11, 140:11, 149:13

hard [7] - 14:9, 70:25, 71:1, 189:5, 224:20, 224:21, 227:20

hard-pressed [1] - 71:1 Harding [44] - 1:16, 14:25, 15:8, 25:13, 26:5, 28:1, 29:5, 31:25, 34:24, 39:4, 40:4, 40:13, 40:20, 45:2, 57:15, 67:23, 95:11, 106:8, 115:18, 116:1, 117:22, 122:8, 122:12, 122:25, 124:16, 130:14, 136:19, 136:23, 137:19, 141:3, 164:11, 175:19, 176:12, 177:9, 187:5, 188:11, 203:13, 203:20, 208:18, 211:2, 211:15, 221:20, 226:25, 228:3

HARDING [89] - 15:12, 37:9, 39:13, 39:25, 40:6, 44:4, 45:3, 45:6, 45:19, 45:23, 46:6, 46:10, 46:15, 46:19, 46:23, 47:4, 47:25, 50:7, 67:9, 105:7, 106:9, 106:16, 106:22, 107:6, 107:10, 108:1, 108:7, 109:23, 112:10, 112:12, 112:18, 113:21, 113:24, 114:4, 114:13, 115:14, 115:16, 116:2, 120:4, 120:11, 120:13, 120:20, 124:19, 124:22, 125:1, 125:5, 125:11, 125:17, 125:22, 137:20, 137:23, 138:1, 138:21, 139:6, 164:8, 179:19, 187:2, 199:24, 200:1, 200:4, 200:8, 201:19, 204:8, 204:17, 204:22, 204:24, 205:1, 205:4, 205:13, 208:1, 208:10, 208:13, 208:19, 208:23, 211:16, 211:22, 213:8, 213:10, 213:13, 213:17, 213:19, 213:21, 226:18, 228:7, 228:11, 228:19, 230:4, 230:10, 230:12

Harding's [1] - 122:13 HARRIS [2] - 1:7,

158:12 Harris [46] - 1:18, 2:4, 15:21, 15:23, 49:6, 49:10, 49:23, 49:24, 53:13, 53:22, 54:16, 55:2, 60:6, 62:11, 63:8, 64:11, 67:12, 71:5, 91:12, 107:3, 107:7, 107:9, 107:13, 108:11, 108:23, 111:7, 111:9, 112:2, 112:20, 130:18, 131:16, 132:25, 140:1, 141:11, 141:21, 143:24, 144:2, 159:13, 160:15, 193:11, 213:23, 213:24, 214:2, 229:11, 229:13 Harris's [3] - 48:11, 53:13, 107:17 Hastings [1] - 47:15 Hayes [8] - 15:16, 15:23, 16:1, 45:7, 46:2, 50:10, 81:2, 143:18 headache [2] - 204:24, 205:12 headquarters [1] -130:24 heads 131 - 218:23. 220:14, 229:6 heads-up [2] - 218:23, 220.14 headway [1] - 58:12 hear [24] - 4:1, 6:11, 8:11, 12:12, 25:7, 56:20, 57:8, 57:15, 67:23, 89:20, 89:22, 137:19, 141:15, 143:6, 150:20, 159:16, 179:19, 186:15, 200:13, 201:25, 212:15, 212:16, 212:18, 221:20 heard [23] - 2:15, 7:24, 40:5, 54:11, 54:12, 56:25, 81:8, 89:6, 95:21, 105:1, 107:14, 107:20, 107:22, 112:23, 113:6, 117:7, 133:16, 141:8, 199:12, 200:23, 215:23, 216:2, 217:5 hearsay [4] - 102:11, 103:11, 103:18, 103:20 heavy [1] - 157:14 Heights [9] - 61:18, 67:20, 73:15, 74:8, 84:24, 86:18, 108:20, 108:24, 109:6 held [3] - 76:20, 80:19, 119:1 Hello [1] - 187:4 help [10] - 14:21, 106:24, 109:12, 124:16, 133:22, 151:12, 153:7,

173:21, 223:3 helped [1] - 152:2 helpful [1] - 134:13 helps [1] - 174:7 hereby [1] - 231:3 hereunto [1] - 231:10 heroin [2] - 107:2, 107:3 herself [1] - 8:4 hesitate [1] - 228:12 hi [1] - 155:22 Hi [2] - 146:7, 187:3 Hickey [1] - 107:10 hierarchical [1] -109:24 hierarchy [2] - 57:16, 59:2 high [2] - 131:25, 132:15 higher [1] - 7:10 highlights [1] - 87:7 highly [3] - 128:14, 171:8, 172:12 Highly [1] - 164:5 hill [1] - 170:18 himself [2] - 93:13, 102:12 historic [1] - 30:15 history [1] - 19:18 hit [3] - 93:22, 168:21, 171:18 hold [5] - 4:12, 30:10, 30:14, 30:19, 207:19 holding [1] - 12:13 holds [1] - 66:9 holiday [1] - 52:10 Holly [9] - 85:7, 108:4, 108:5, 108:8, 109:7, 202:3, 202:6, 202:12, Holly's [1] - 123:24 home [9] - 91:24, 91:25, 92:12, 152:13, 156:23, 186:9, 186:10, 191:21, 227:22 homes [2] - 148:9, 155:6 homicide [19] - 24:13, 29:16, 33:1, 47:6, 82:25, 84:13, 84:14, 114:2, 126:12, 129:20, 129:25, 130:1, 150:8, 195:16, 196:17, 198:8, 219:15 homicides [3] - 41:25, 111:4, 127:1 Honda [2] - 26:10, 26:11 honest [3] - 142:4, 148:13, 153:4 Honestly [2] - 151:19,

152:4 Honor [208] - 2:5, 2:8, 3:18, 3:25, 4:6, 4:19, 5:15, 5:23, 7:4, 7:14, 7:20, 8:2, 9:13, 11:4, 12:17, 13:9, 15:7, 15:13, 20:17, 25:1, 32:16, 37:14, 38:22, 39:16, 40:7, 44:3, 45:4, 45:6, 45:20, 46:8, 46:16, 46:23, 47:7, 48:1, 48:3, 48:21, 49:4, 49:14, 50:5, 50:7, 53:3, 53:7, 53:10, 53:12, 53:15, 53:24, 54:8, 54:22, 55:4, 55:7, 55:21, 56:8, 56:14, 56:18, 57:5, 57:7, 57:13, 58:7, 58:22, 59:14, 60:1, 60:13, 61:21, 62:17, 63:1, 63:6, 63:17, 63:20, 63:21, 64:12, 64:21, 64:24, 65:14, 66:1, 66:14, 66:22, 67:9, 69:10, 70:23, 71:16, 73:4, 74:14, 74:20, 75:3, 75:7, 77:1, 79:6, 79:14, 88:11, 89:8, 90:3, 99:8, 100:24, 103:2, 104:15, 105:23, 106:5, 107:6, 107:16, 108:2, 111:12, 112:13, 115:6, 116:15, 116:23, 117:3, 117:10, 117:23, 119:2, 120:4, 120:11, 121:4, 122:7, 126:3, 126:16, 126:21, 127:15, 127:24, 128:5, 130:8, 134:20, 135:6, 135:21, 136:7, 138:2, 138:7, 138:18, 138:21, 139:4, 139:6, 140:10, 144:6, 145:19, 146:12, 146:16, 149:11, 149:13, 149:15, 150:15, 151:1, 151:5, 156:20, 157:23, 157:24, 158:6, 158:11, 159:8, 164:6, 170:8, 171:4, 172:8, 173:14, 173:16, 175:14, 175:15, 177:19, 177:24, 186:23, 197:5, 198:17, 199:3, 204:8, 204:22, 207:24, 208:1, 208:7, 209:5, 209:10, 209:13, 209:19, 210:3, 210:15, 210:18, 210:21, 211:4, 211:5, 211:9, 211:11, 211:16, 212:5, 212:20, 213:3, 213:8, 213:24, 215:9, 218:3, 218:16, 218:20, 219:4, 219:5, 220:7, 220:17, 220:24, 221:3,

222:5, 222:8, 223:7, 223:8, 224:1, 225:8, 225:12, 225:14, 226:4, 228:8, 228:19, 228:21, 229:12 honor [1] - 25:20 Honor's [2] - 113:17, 223:13 Honorable [1] - 1:13 hooked [1] - 107:13 hope [9] - 3:8, 6:13, 90:4, 133:23, 171:19, 204:24, 217:11, 226:14, 227:21 hopeful [2] - 3:6, 8:25 hopefully [6] - 89:22, 133:8, 134:4, 134:16, 141:16, 143:4 hoping [5] - 10:19, 135:2, 177:20, 218:19, 222:25 hospitalized [1] - 14:14 hour [13] - 3:14, 4:25, 9:17, 11:16, 12:11, 12:13, 12:16, 96:3, 140:15, 218:4, 218:7, 218:13, 218:20 Hours [1] - 41:13 hours [21] - 3:13, 3:21, 10:10, 11:16, 11:18, 12:6, 12:7, 40:20, 41:3, 41:6, 148:16, 155:12, 157:4, 157:6, 157:13, 157:14, 218:4, 227:7, 228:4, 228:9, 228:12 house [26] - 28:6, 28:8, 95:19, 98:5, 104:19, 104:24, 123:24, 125:2, 130:24, 182:1, 184:13, 184:14, 184:24, 184:25, 186:13, 186:17, 186:18, 188:22, 190:6, 190:18, 190:21, 191:8, 191:12, 191:14, 192:13, 193:6 huge [1] - 84:6 hum [6] - 152:8, 163:15, 171:22, 180:4, 180:23, 189:24 human [2] - 14:3, 164:4 hung [1] - 110:7 hunters [2] - 153:5, 154:10 hurt [2] - 133:22, 187:9 husband [4] - 155:24, 155:25, 156:2, 156:4 husband's [1] - 156:5 hustlers [3] - 112:14, 112:15, 112:21 hypothetical [3] -162:15, 173:16, 173:17

ı I-95 [2] - 104:3, 192:16 **ID** [1] - 48:11 idea [8] - 51:11, 98:4, 132:2, 157:8, 157:15, 191:11, 193:14, 198:5 identical [3] - 19:25, 38:2, 38:3 identifiable [4] - 59:24, 62:24, 66:8, 75:1 identification [4] -213:11, 214:1, 229:14 identifications [2] -15:15, 91:9 identified [7] - 15:18, 15:23, 40:22, 47:11, 53:25, 114:6, 114:18 identifies [1] - 44:16 identify [6] - 42:9, 66:9, 101:11, 114:16, 211:7, ignition [3] - 161:8, 174:13, 175:3 illegal [3] - 96:22, 132:5. 169:9 imagine [2] - 7:15, 228:11 Immediately [1] -199:18 immediately [2] -152:13, 185:11 immunity [2] - 206:4, 208:15 impact [3] - 164:3, 170:22, 171:14 impartially [1] - 50:18 impeach [1] - 133:20 impeached [2] - 86:23, 86:24 impeaching [1] -133:15 impeachment [1] -86:24 implicated [1] - 131:17 **important** [13] - 7:12, 34:8, 69:18, 102:14, 108:9, 126:10, 126:21, 129:25, 198:9, 198:11, 198:25, 218:22, 218:24 impossible [2] - 164:5, 172:13 impressed [1] - 69:2 **impression** [1] - 33:5 imprisonment [2] -

49:19, 50:1

85:13

improper [2] - 85:12,

improperly [1] - 98:6

102:19, 175:7

interested [6] - 63:8,

100:8, 100:21, 106:11,

IN [1] - 1:1 in-court [1] - 86:8 in-depth [1] - 33:10 inaccurate [1] - 25:25 incarcerated [3] -95:12, 95:13, 222:22 incarceration [1] - 95:5 incident [2] - 2:12, inclination [1] - 113:1 incline [1] - 177:7 include [3] - 11:18, 16:15, 128:6 included [3] - 119:9, 120:13, 154:3 includes [2] - 77:13, 165:22 including [12] - 3:19, 3:20, 50:16, 61:23, 62:7, 76:24, 80:20, 109:12, 119:10, 127:11, 163:13, 171:12 Including [2] - 16:18, 63:23 income [1] - 153:2 incoming [16] - 17:3, 18:14, 18:17, 19:11, 19:14, 19:20, 19:25, 21:19, 32:14, 33:3, 35:19, 35:21, 36:11, 36:13, 36:15, 37:24 incomplete [1] - 87:9 inconclusive [1] - 126:4 inconsistent [10] -71:22, 83:4, 84:1, 86:7, 86:8, 101:23, 103:14, 103:15, 103:19, 121:12 inconvenience [1] incorporate [1] - 215:21 incorrect [3] - 123:13, 124:5, 128:1 increase [5] - 84:15, 86:17, 87:2, 121:6, 122:2 increasing [2] - 85:17, 118:11 incrimination [1] -133:7 incubator [1] - 111:21 Indeed [1] - 216:22 indeed [4] - 63:18, 92:17, 131:15, 141:1 independent [1] - 81:19 **INDEX** [1] - 230:1 indicate [2] - 35:12, 205:11 indicated [11] - 16:13, 39:5, 41:15, 43:2, 44:11, 49:5, 136:12, 151:13, 154:2, 200:21, 203:11

indicates [1] - 35:13 Indicating [1] - 182:13 indicator [1] - 23:5 indict [3] - 76:19, 80:5, 85.23 indicted [5] - 81:10, 84:21, 85:22, 87:24, 88:4 Indictment [1] - 88:5 indictment [31] - 11:22, 53:15, 53:20, 53:23, 58:14, 58:17, 61:7, 62:3, 62:4, 62:8, 63:2, 63:15, 63:19, 68:1, 68:11, 68:20, 68:25, 70:4, 70:8, 70:12, 77:3, 90:10, 94:4, 95:1, 122:1, 141:2, 141:20, 215:21 individual [19] - 37:15, 58:8, 65:19, 92:8, 134:4, 149:8, 161:7, 161:10, 161:19, 162:16, 162:19, 171:11, 176:6, 176:12, 176:20, 178:25, 179:11, 182:8, 182:14 individually [1] - 133:25 individuals [18] - 55:18, 59:1, 61:14, 61:15, 61:16, 61:23, 63:25, 64:25, 66:5, 67:11, 72:4, 72:17, 74:21, 74:24, 78:1, 96:7, 130:14, 148:10 indulgence [2] - 24:23, 151:1 infer [9] - 55:14, 98:16, 99:25, 100:7, 115:9, 117:3, 123:25, 125:18, 224:1 inference [5] - 69:16, 100:2, 100:10, 126:23, 131:21 inferences [5] - 81:21, 83:1, 86:25, 98:20, 132:13 inferential [2] - 122:15, 131:15 **infidelity** [1] - 83:19 Infiniti [10] - 138:17, 158:24, 159:6, 159:10, 160:9, 160:12, 160:13, 165:18, 166:6, 166:8 Infinitis [3] - 165:3, 165:4, 165:5 inflates [1] - 170:23 information [22] - 22:1, 25:23, 25:25, 26:2, 30:4, 30:6, 30:11, 30:20, 31:1, 31:2, 31:5, 33:1, 47:13, 91:21, 91:22, 114:25, 116:13, 127:12, 143:7,

203:22, 224:2, 224:4 initial [1] - 39:19 inmate [3] - 222:18, 223:12 inmate's [2] - 223:4, 223:11 innocence [1] - 117:12 innuendo [1] - 90:23 input [1] - 165:10 inquire [1] - 39:9 inquired [1] - 30:23 inquiry [4] - 2:23, 117:24, 139:7, 216:3 inside [5] - 114:25, 162:20, 164:17, 167:2, 175:23 insider [1] - 114:24 insiders [1] - 116:13 insisted [1] - 14:7 instance [2] - 161:18, 176:10 instances [2] - 91:6, 216:2 instead [4] - 18:18, 30:16, 30:17, 105:13 **institution** [1] - 73:3 institutional [1] - 73:2 instruct [5] - 12:16, 85:1, 141:15, 141:16, 217:10 instructed [3] - 121:25, 141:19, 210:6 instruction [25] - 55:10, 67:25, 75:16, 75:17, 75:24, 76:1, 87:6, 87:8, 87:10, 87:21, 88:2, 118:15, 120:13, 120:22, 121:8, 121:9, 121:18, 128:8, 131:11, 210:7, 210:12, 212:12, 215:14, 215:17, 217:8 instructions [25] -10:22, 11:8, 15:3, 51:23, 52:14, 68:15, 104:6, 118:12, 120:6, 120:9, 128:6, 141:20, 142:25, 143:1, 214:15, 215:18, 217:9, 217:11, 217:12, 217:16, 227:14, 227:17, 227:19, 229:8 insufficient [1] - 65:8 insurance [2] - 146:10, 153:21 insure [1] - 10:3 integrally [1] - 87:25 intelligent [1] - 105:24 intend [5] - 2:19, 32:23, 137:14. 141:21. 142:15 intended [1] - 60:20 interest [3] - 51:3,

Document 695

112:25. 211:5 interesting [1] - 111:11 interfere [1] - 13:12 interplay [1] - 75:24 interpretation [3] -72:21, 72:22, 72:24 interpretations [2] -75:8, 76:9 interpreted [1] - 72:14 interpreting [1] - 76:13 interrogated [1] - 47:19 interrogation [1] -47:14 interrogatories [1] -75:14 interrogatory [2] -70:15, 76:1 interview [1] - 213:23 interviewed [3] -195:16, 196:17, 198:8 intimidate [1] - 73:15 intimidating [1] - 63:16 intimidation [2] - 72:5, 132:12 introduced [8] - 44:19, 44:20, 44:21, 44:22, 58:11, 133:20, 149:4, 149:16 inverse [1] - 86:6 investigated [1] - 29:25 investigation [9] -25:24, 29:6, 29:11, 29:14, 29:16, 43:8, 46:13, 215:2, 215:4 investigations [1] -44:9 investigative [1] - 30:7 investigators [1] - 33:2 invite [1] - 51:8 invited [1] - 104:15 invoke [1] - 200:2 involve [2] - 32:2, 147:2 involved [34] - 29:14, 29:15, 29:16, 44:17, 54:16, 54:20, 56:12, 62:7, 67:12, 76:23, 77:9, 80:23, 85:15, 92:11, 101:10, 102:16, 110:25, 111:8, 114:13, 122:14, 122:16, 122:22, 125:25, 127:3, 129:1, 131:21, 146:19, 149:4, 149:8, 164:3, 164:22, 187:15 involvement [2] -114:19, 122:9 involves [1] - 147:3 involving [3] - 29:15,

55:1, 55:2 Irene [1] - 101:20 irrelevant [1] - 79:5 issue [24] - 5:6, 53:4, 67:22, 70:15, 75:13, 76:7, 81:18, 81:19, 82:1, 84:11, 87:7, 113:19, 113:22, 114:10, 117:20, 118:12, 121:23, 125:12, 127:23, 199:24, 203:17, 218:25, 220:6 issued [2] - 2:16, 153:15 issues [7] - 106:11, 106:12, 115:22, 120:19, 136:11, 199:12, 215:2 item [2] - 149:11, 149:15 items [3] - 134:23, 135:22, 211:19 itself [8] - 57:7, 59:12, 59:25, 60:16, 62:18, 71:17, 170:19, 211:6

J

jail [9] - 94:11, 95:10, 152:7, 152:9, 152:16, 152:18, 152:19, 190:22, 222:13 Jamane [8] - 83:18, 136:1, 136:9, 136:22, 158:6, 205:20, 208:10, 226.5 James [1] - 1:21 **January** [4] - 49:25, 107:1, 107:5, 113:13 Jessup [3] - 222:13, 222:21, 225:3 job [3] - 154:21, 156:25, 187:24 jockeying [2] - 78:13, 78:23 **JOHNSON** [12] -205:20, 206:10, 206:13, 206:19, 206:21, 206:23, 207:1, 207:5, 207:8, 207:11, 207:14, 207:17 Johnson [36] - 2:15, 3:16, 4:19, 5:4, 9:19, 10:3, 83:18, 136:1, 136:9, 136:22, 136:24, 136:25, 137:5, 137:6, 139:7, 139:11, 158:6, 158:7, 199:15, 199:16,

199:23, 200:16, 200:18,

200:22, 204:1, 204:19,

205:14, 205:16, 205:17,

205:20, 205:21, 207:19,

208:2, 208:11, 226:5, 226.8 Johnson's [2] - 137:1, 207:22 join [1] - 107:9 joined [3] - 56:12, 62:10, 131:5 joint [2] - 102:2, 102:6 Jones [8] - 24:13, 47:6, 111:20, 125:2, 125:19, 125:24, 125:25, 131:17 journey [1] - 2:4 judge [7] - 6:17, 6:19, 6:20, 46:12, 77:17, 141:15, 143:21 Judge [13] - 1:13, 6:13, 11:15, 13:7, 40:6, 44:4,

205:17 Judge's [1] - 142:25 judges [1] - 51:2 judgment [11] - 53:13, 56:16, 68:12, 70:2, 85:20, 87:3, 91:1, 92:4, 94:21, 106:1, 132:22 judgments [1] - 84:12

78:19, 85:19, 105:7,

106:9, 135:25, 137:20,

July [1] - 45:24 jumped [1] - 203:25 June [11] - 49:18, 94:11. 95:7. 103:22. 103:23. 151:22. 151:25. 157:9, 157:16, 202:2, 206:17

Juror [6] - 8:5, 8:7, 9:9, 14:13, 14:18, 214:17 **juror** [9] - 9:11, 13:23, 72:10, 72:23, 73:13, 73:17, 131:8, 131:23, 214:21

JUROR [7] - 8:9, 8:14, 8:17, 8:20, 8:23, 9:3, 9:6 jurors [3] - 2:11, 139:7,

JURORS [1] - 89:13 jury [143] - 2:19, 3:9, 6:14, 9:18, 10:23, 11:4, 13:20, 14:1, 25:15, 25:17, 29:18, 32:4, 32:7, 32:24, 33:9, 33:22, 34:12, 45:7, 45:18, 46:2, 46:5, 50:10, 50:12, 51:9, 53:4, 61:4, 63:13, 63:23, 64:9, 65:22, 65:25, 66:3, 68:14, 69:1, 69:2, 69:7, 69:19, 69:25, 70:15, 72:7, 74:6, 75:17, 77:6, 79:21, 80:2, 81:19, 83:1, 83:4, 83:6, 83:12, 84:9, 84:11, 85:2, 85:6, 85:24,

86:13, 87:1, 88:9, 88:14, 89:23, 93:10, 97:1, 97:22, 98:19, 99:25, 100:7, 100:10, 104:13, 104:23, 105:14, 114:10, 115:9, 117:3, 117:11, 117:15, 118:12, 118:17, 120:5. 120:23. 121:23. 121:24, 123:16, 125:18, 126:25, 129:12, 130:4, 130:5, 131:2, 131:11, 132:17, 133:14, 133:18, 134:12, 135:24, 138:24, 139:12, 139:17, 140:11, 143:13, 146:4, 146:5, 146:6, 148:2, 159:15, 160:2, 161:10, 180:10, 181:19, 182:12, 184:22, 187:11, 187:15, 187:17, 187:19, 188:19, 191:4, 191:24, 191:25, 192:5, 192:10, 193:3, 193:14, 194:22, 195:4, 198:21, 208:9, 210:6, 210:16, 211:12, 212:8, 212:12, 213:4, 213:8, 214:15, 217:17, 227:4, 227:6, 227:17, 229:8 Jury [11] - 1:14, 2:1, 13:25, 52:24, 88:15, 89:17, 139:15, 199:14, 199:22, 210:17, 217:21 jury's [4] - 52:22, 89:6, 105:11, 199:13 justifies [1] - 92:3

K

justify [1] - 132:7

juvenile [1] - 4:21

keep [10] - 12:9, 41:16, 88:23, 108:13, 158:3, 174:16, 179:20, 179:22, 199:12, 215:2 KEITH [2] - 15:10, 230:4 Kelsey [1] - 1:17 kept [4] - 4:9, 110:6, 123:24, 124:2 Kevin [1] - 178:16 key [10] - 163:19, 163:20, 163:21, 163:23, 163:24, 166:19, 166:20, 167:6, 174:13, 175:3 keyed [1] - 120:14 keys [3] - 161:8, 162:17, 174:17 kidnappings [1] -126:19

kill [2] - 117:8, 119:12

killed [11] - 16:12,

40:16, 41:3, 41:7, 41:13, 43:23, 112:8, 117:6, 150:20, 164:16, 164:18 killing [7] - 31:7, 63:15, 63:16, 81:15, 83:12, 83:18. 83:19 $\pmb{kind}\ [23]\ \textbf{-}\ 2{:}8,\ 3{:}15,$ 20:14, 30:17, 77:17, 80:10, 83:18, 87:6, 119:19, 132:1, 132:9, 135:6, 148:2, 150:4, 150:5, 150:6, 150:14, 153:9, 155:22, 182:17, 188:12, 218:20 kinds [4] - 110:25, 113:4, 131:20, 132:19 Kirk [1] - 47:15 Klas [1] - 48:5 knit [2] - 59:1, 65:18 knob [1] - 160:22 knowledge [2] - 28:19, 188.1 **known** [5] - 25:7, 61:16, 74:23, 110:12, 114:24 knows [3] - 104:13, 133:18, 169:8 KURLAND [88] - 5:17, 5:20, 7:4, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 11:15, 11:20, 12:1, 12:17, 12:20, 13:2, 13:7, 53:3, 75:5, 75:7, 77:7, 78:3, 78:6, 78:19, 79:6, 79:13, 79:24, 82:9, 82:15, 84:25, 85:5, 85:8, 85:19, 85:25, 86:2, 87:5, 87:16, 121:2, 121:4, 123:20, 124:6, 124:9, 124:12, 124:15, 126:3, 126:9, 126:15, 127:20, 127:23, 128:2, 128:5, 128:24, 130:8, 135:25, 136:3, 136:14, 136:18, 137:9, 137:17, 139:10, 200:25, 201:2, 201:8, 201:11, 201:17, 201:21, 201:23, 202:1, 202:5, 202:9, 202:19, 202:21, 202:25, 203:5, 203:12, 203:24, 204:2, 204:6, 207:24, 208:7, 210:3, 210:11, 210:15, 215:9, 215:12, 226:4, 226:12, 226:16, 226:25, 228:21, 228:25, 229:3 Kurland [27] - 1:22, 3:7, 5:16, 12:3, 75:6, 84:17. 87:4, 88:7, 94:6, 121:3,

127:16, 127:18, 130:9,

136:10, 137:7, 200:21,

202:16, 203:23, 205:4,

Document 695

205:8, 207:23, 210:2, 215:11, 217:22, 226:3, 226:23, 228:17

L L-1 [2] - 44:12, 44:14 L-5 [1] - 44:12 L-7 [2] - 44:12, 44:14 L-A-K-E-I-S-H-A [1] -178.9 lab [2] - 44:11, 44:25 labeling [1] - 208:25 lack [2] - 67:5, 67:6 lacking [2] - 55:24, 57:13 Ladies [6] - 14:1, 115:19, 140:10, 199:7, 213:5, 214:3 ladies [17] - 14:5, 29:18. 50:10, 50:13, 88:16, 89:15, 139:16, 146:4, 180:10, 181:19, 182:11, 184:21, 198:20, 210:19, 212:12, 215:7, 217:9 Lakeisha [6] - 91:19, 138:7, 177:24, 178:5, 178:9, 213:14 **LAKEISHA** [2] - 178:1, 230.11 Lancaster [1] - 108:21 Lane [6] - 108:5, 157:9, 157:16, 189:17, 189:21, language [8] - 9:12, 77:1, 84:21, 87:22, 118:6, 118:12, 119:2, 121:7 **Lanvale** [1] - 178:15 large [2] - 55:12, 148:7 largely [2] - 53:18, 55:8 larger [3] - 55:15, 142:12, 215:17 last [27] - 2:12, 4:21, 11:21, 14:14, 24:5, 39:3, 39:12, 39:19, 42:12, 42:21, 44:22, 98:9, 98:22, 120:5, 121:6, 138:3, 154:19, 155:19, 156:1, 156:7, 209:22, 210:4, 211:5, 220:18, 221:16, 222:8, 229:10 lasted [5] - 18:13, 68:19, 69:25, 88:18, 108:1 late [7] - 10:20, 30:8, 40:17, 54:4, 139:3,

218:20, 227:5

latent [1] - 46:16

246 latest [2] - 54:4, 54:5 Laura [1] - 1:17 law [50] - 51:24, 52:14, 56:15, 57:9, 58:23, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 66:24, 68:16, 76:12, 76:15, 77:11, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5, 84:7, 84:10, 84:11, 85:20, 86:3, 86:10, 86:12, 88:6, 118:6, 118:7, 118:13, 119:22, 121:17, 122:1, 122:5, 128:8, 128:9, 128:25, 131:7, 143:1, 143:24, 173:21, 215:22, 216:14, 216:16, 216:18, 217:10, 226:8, 226:9, 226:10 Lawlor [7] - 1:18, 4:5, 6:12, 15:14, 89:21, 105:22, 106:4 LAWLOR [13] - 6:13, 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, 20:17, 24:23, 25:1, 47:3, 47:24, 53:7, 105:23, 106:5, lawyer [5] - 57:2, 83:8, 86:22, 137:24, 138:4 lawyers [3] - 93:6, 133:23, 206:1 lay [3] - 5:3, 40:1, 160:21 lead [2] - 20:18, 200:16 leader [6] - 57:14, 57:16, 111:3, 111:4, 111:10, 111:13 leaders [1] - 111:23 leading [1] - 20:17 learned [3] - 115:9, 150:7, 150:10 least [18] - 3:18, 12:18,

12:25, 16:13, 24:3,

27:13, 44:19, 52:8,

53:25, 76:6, 86:5, 101:4,

111:14, 119:22, 126:19,

leave [17] - 9:22, 51:22,

130:24, 131:18, 143:8

52:15, 52:23, 70:24,

89:3, 100:12, 128:2,

161:10, 162:1, 167:18,

169:15, 174:11, 176:17,

199:10, 215:18, 217:19

169:12, 169:14, 173:22

leaves [4] - 127:25,

leaving [3] - 135:2,

Leaving [1] - 152:11

LeBaron [1] - 188:25

ledge [1] - 13:21

168:8, 172:21

Lee [18] - 117:6, 117:8, 122:25, 123:7, 123:9, 123:14, 124:14, 125:9, 125:10, 125:20, 126:1, 126:6, 126:13, 126:14, 129:19, 129:25, 130:1, 219:15 left [24] - 5:12, 58:1, 58:6, 58:7, 92:18, 98:18, 99:22, 115:8, 115:11, 123:16, 123:17, 124:14, 161:15, 166:12, 168:12, 169:5, 173:24, 174:20, 182:1, 184:9, 184:11, 185:8, 185:21, 199:15 legal [8] - 25:7, 75:8, 76:7, 80:1, 122:6, 127:23, 217:6, 224:8 legally [1] - 98:6 legitimately [1] - 123:25 Lemm [2] - 57:22, 57:24 length [2] - 16:25, 17:6 lengthy [5] - 11:24, 32:8, 32:19, 32:20, 33:7 less [4] - 9:17, 79:10, 151:25, 225:18 **letter** [14] - 46:11, 104:18, 104:19, 105:2, 105:8, 105:14, 136:18, 136:23, 137:4, 208:15, 223:17, 223:25, 224:4, 224:17 lettered [1] - 94:2 letters [1] - 136:15 letting [2] - 104:7, 115:23 level [4] - 5:13, 7:10, 55:13, 55:14 lever [2] - 161:2, 166:14 Lexus [4] - 188:5, 188:12, 188:13, 188:16 Lexuses [3] - 188:3, 188:13, 188:17 liability [4] - 128:16, 129:4, 129:8 license [1] - 146:9 licensed [2] - 146:11, licenses [3] - 145:21, 145:22, 146:3 licensing [2] - 154:20, 155:1 lie [1] - 104:7 life [1] - 72:12 light [5] - 51:16, 72:24, 76:13, 131:11, 210:3 lightly [1] - 60:17 likelihood [2] - 164:2, 177:5

likely [3] - 51:20,

131:12, 200:11 Likewise [1] - 134:10 limit [2] - 131:21, 131:22 limited [2] - 55:4, 133:19 Limited [2] - 62:7, 78:4 linchpin [1] - 85:16 line [11] - 12:9, 22:21, 23:5, 23:8, 23:9, 37:5, 37:6, 41:17, 53:8, 81:1, 85:10 lined [1] - 208:5 lines [5] - 21:18, 34:10, 78:24, 94:18, 164:18 liquor [3] - 181:23, 183:3, 183:6 Lisa [4] - 72:19, 93:24, 149:22, 150:13 list [4] - 48:6, 134:23, 135:22, 225:21 listed [8] - 11:22, 19:4, 21:22, 27:22, 28:18, 29:2, 31:10, 76:22 listen [6] - 133:23, 142:24, 143:11, 143:13, 196:3, 212:13 listened [2] - 101:19, 103:24 lists [3] - 18:5, 47:21, 63:2 literally [1] - 106:18 live [4] - 35:17, 70:9, 179:14, 190:3 lived [4] - 140:25, 150:3, 178:16, 201:14 lives [2] - 142:20, 142:22 living [6] - 178:14, 178:19, 178:21, 189:11, 189:15, 193:2 loan [1] - 110:21 located [3] - 146:1, 159:21, 160:18 location [4] - 160:7, 171:9, 172:15, 215:5 lock [46] - 160:17, 160:23, 161:2, 161:13, 162:4, 162:9, 162:19, 162:22, 162:23, 162:24, 163:12, 163:22, 165:8, 165:10, 166:21, 167:11, 167:14, 168:9, 168:13, 168:22, 169:7, 169:9, 169:14, 170:4, 170:17, 171:9, 171:10, 172:5, 172:13, 173:20, 174:10, 174:14, 174:15, 174:23, 175:4, 175:24, 176:1, 176:4, 176:7, 176:22,

177:10 lock/unlock [1] - 166:14 locked [33] - 54:14, 67:12, 104:12, 161:11, 161:16, 162:1, 162:2, 163:4, 163:17, 164:4, 166:25, 167:18, 167:24, 168:9, 169:15, 171:2, 174:1, 174:24, 175:10, 176:12, 176:15, 176:18, 176:21, 177:6, 186:21, 190:22, 190:24, 191:7, 191:11, 191:13, 192:12, 192:14, 224:22 locking [7] - 161:5, 161:19, 163:3, 165:4, 165:6, 165:7, 174:16 lockout [1] - 174:16 locks [6] - 138:17, 160:8, 169:10, 169:25, 170:20, 175:2 lockup [2] - 137:21, 143:20 log [1] - 47:18 logical [1] - 100:10 Lombard [1] - 1:25 long-standing [1] -119:14 Look [2] - 62:2, 224:19 look [27] - 17:20, 21:14, 30:25, 45:14, 57:21, 57:24, 57:25, 58:6, 67:4, 78:7, 86:5, 97:22, 104:2, 105:4, 112:14, 141:2, 143:15, 143:21, 143:23, 153:24, 172:21, 173:1, 182:7, 211:12, 220:11, 227:23, 229:7 looked [7] - 22:24, 23:6, 40:2, 43:1, 57:21, 123:13, 219:20 looking [18] - 14:20, 21:4, 33:2, 33:11, 35:3, 35:6, 35:7, 35:23, 35:24, 36:2, 36:10, 99:9, 160:2, 160:5, 173:18, 174:9, 196:24, 226:4 **Looking** [1] - 76:16 looks [2] - 170:25, 172:2 loosely [3] - 59:1, 65:15, 65:18 loot [2] - 172:21, 174:10 lopped [1] - 69:7 Lopped [1] - 69:8 losing [2] - 175:7 lost [3] - 113:11, 191:5, 191:12 loud [1] - 179:23

low [1] - 68:16

lower [2] - 129:22, 161:1 loyalty [1] - 132:10 luck [1] - 101:14 lump [1] - 54:23 lunch [9] - 4:25, 10:23, 88:9, 88:10, 88:19, 88:20, 89:2, 89:6, 227:5 luncheon [2] - 10:1 lunchtime [1] - 227:5 lyrics [1] - 47:9

М

M-C-C-O-Y [1] - 178:10 ma'am [2] - 8:8, 157:23 Madam [1] - 82:13 mafia [2] - 59:17, 59:19 Magginson [1] - 101:20 Magginson's [2] -91:10, 101:7 mail [30] - 15:15, 19:13, 32:22, 33:4, 34:4, 34:7, 34:11, 35:5, 35:16, 35:22, 36:1, 91:10, 98:2, 98:15, 98:18, 99:3, 99:18, 100:13, 101:7, 101:20, 114:7, 114:9, 114:16, 116:4, 116:8, 116:21, 128:1, 212:14, 227:18, 229:8 mails [2] - 116:18, 128:3 main [13] - 135:20, 159:20, 160:17, 161:14, 161:19, 168:13, 168:18, 168:21, 169:10, 170:14, 174:10, 175:1, 176:1 maintain [12] - 62:5, 62:11. 73:24. 84:15. 86:17, 87:2, 118:18, 118:19, 118:25, 119:17, 121:6, 122:2 maintained [1] - 123:19 maintaining [6] - 76:22, 83:3, 83:21, 85:17, 113:19, 118:10 maintenance [1] -159:3 Maintenance [1] - 48:12 major [3] - 14:13, 142:3, 142:7 majority [1] - 31:24 man [1] - 116:12 manage [1] - 135:18 managed [1] - 14:9 manner [8] - 76:20, 77:14, 79:15, 81:10,

84:15, 142:9, 209:1,

231:9 manual [3] - 160:13, 169:13, 170:3 manually [5] - 162:9, 162:22, 162:23, 166:16, 173:24 manufacture [1] -220:20 March [22] - 17:12,

22:9, 23:18, 24:4, 31:12,

31:21, 42:14, 42:16, 43:23, 80:13, 91:5, 92:10, 94:14, 95:22, 95:24, 180:2, 180:13, 180:15, 188:22, 190:17 marijuana [1] - 106:25 Mark [1] - 213:11 mark [5] - 165:23, 165:24, 170:8, 173:6,

213:10 marked [18] - 34:11, 34:23, 39:14, 39:23, 44:12, 48:9, 48:13, 48:15, 48:18, 48:20, 48:21, 48:22, 49:8, 149:15, 159:13, 210:21,

213:24, 219:5 market [2] - 55:16, 220:20

marketed [1] - 220:23 marriage [1] - 145:15 married [3] - 145:5, 145:13, 155:16 marshal [1] - 200:16 marshals [5] - 2:17, 2:23, 10:3, 222:19,

222:21

MARTIN [29] - 1:8, 2:5, 7:1, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, 25:4, 139:1, 140:10, 173:13, 178:1, 200:5, 209:10, 209:13, 209:19, 209:21, 210:18, 210:21, 211:4, 211:9, 212:5, 212:20, 212:23, 213:3, 213:7, 213:24, 217:24, 229:12, 230:5

Martin [116] - 1:19, 1:20, 2:4, 13:8, 13:14, 15:18, 16:2, 23:14, 25:2, 25:6, 28:18, 31:14, 32:9, 40:25, 41:23, 43:12, 43:15, 54:2, 54:14, 55:1, 56:25, 57:1, 60:3, 62:14, 67:11, 68:6, 79:9, 89:23, 90:11, 90:16, 90:22, 90:24, 91:22, 92:17, 95:6, 97:23, 98:4, 98:12, 99:7, 99:12, 100:4, 100:6, 100:7, 100:16,

102:11, 102:15, 104:14,
106:25 107:1 107:4
106:25, 107:1, 107:4, 107:18, 107:21, 108:11,
109:19, 109:20, 109:22,
109:23, 111:13, 111:16,
112:20 113:25 114:1
112:20, 113:25, 114:1,
114:21, 115:1, 115:10,
116:16, 116:21, 117:4,
117:16, 117:18, 119:13,
121:14, 122:19, 123:21,
121.14, 122.19, 123.21,
124:19, 125:14, 127:19,
124:19, 125:14, 127:19, 127:25, 128:20, 131:16,
131:19, 132:25, 135:2,
138:25, 139:25, 140:4,
140:9, 144:4, 164:14,
179:1, 179:11, 179:13,
180:25, 182:16, 183:1,
183:24, 184:4, 185:21,
191:10, 194:8, 195:13,
196:8, 197:10, 197:12,
197:13, 197:19, 198:21,
199:1, 210:13, 210:20,
211:7, 211:25, 213:6,
213:10, 229:10
Martin's [21] - 22:24,
27:22, 28:2, 42:2, 42:5,
43:18, 43:24, 83:8,
86:22, 100:11, 102:8,
104:24, 111:16, 114:16,
114:18, 114:19, 117:11,
123:6, 124:8, 185:5,
196:13
Mary [3] - 1:24, 231:3,
231:15
MARYLAND [1] - 1:2
Maryland [6] - 1:12,
-
1:25, 146:2, 215:22,
217:3, 217:6
master [1] - 70:4
match [2] - 17:2, 32:14
matched [2] - 33:3,
126:16
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5, 84:7, 84:10, 84:11,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5, 84:7, 84:10, 84:11, 85:20, 86:3, 86:10,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5, 84:7, 84:10, 84:11, 85:20, 86:3, 86:10,
126:16 matching [2] - 35:19, 36:23 material [2] - 137:2, 224:16 materially [1] - 137:10 materials [5] - 14:17, 222:12, 222:14, 222:22, 224:8 matter [37] - 3:6, 4:22, 7:4, 50:17, 51:3, 66:24, 76:11, 76:15, 77:13, 79:12, 79:19, 81:18, 82:3, 82:20, 82:25, 83:5, 84:7, 84:10, 84:11,

128:8, 128:9, 134:8,

```
169:20, 172:19, 203:4,
231:4, 231:9
 matters [9] - 14:8,
14:15, 49:3, 50:21,
50:25, 52:12, 93:15,
205:23, 205:24
 MB-30 [1] - 46:17
 MB-30's [1] - 46:16
 MB-30A [1] - 46:17
 MB-38 [2] - 46:11, 46:12
 MB-38's [1] - 46:10
 MB-38A [1] - 46:13
 MB-39 [1] - 46:20
 MB-39's [1] - 46:19
 MB-39A [1] - 46:20
 McCaffity [10] - 20:19,
37:5, 37:13, 37:25,
46:20, 72:17, 72:20,
73:5, 93:24, 127:3
 McCaffity's [1] - 20:6
 McCaffity/Brown [8] -
71:11, 71:22, 72:14,
73:14, 78:9, 93:18,
111:8, 142:8
 McCoy [21] - 91:19,
91:21, 91:25, 138:7,
177:24, 178:7, 178:9,
178:13, 179:21, 181:11,
186:20, 186:24, 187:3,
191:9, 191:24, 196:14,
197:25, 198:10, 199:4,
200:7. 200:9
 MCCOY [2] - 178:1,
230:11
 McCoy's [2] - 213:14,
213:22
 mean [43] - 13:23,
20:10, 34:15, 51:25,
65:16, 68:21, 69:11,
72:18, 72:20, 73:4,
74:14, 77:5, 79:10,
84:20, 85:16, 86:12,
95:16, 98:3, 98:8, 99:9,
113:25, 114:5, 122:1,
124:1, 127:2, 127:18,
127:23, 129:20, 132:5,
134:2, 136:11, 149:8,
149:22, 160:9, 163:23,
165:5, 195:12, 203:10,
204:13, 215:12, 223:20,
224:18, 224:20
 Meaning [1] - 155:24
 meaning [2] - 110:9,
141:16
 meaningful [1] - 87:19
 means [8] - 17:23, 77:8,
81:11, 82:4, 109:9,
123:7, 130:11, 133:7
 meant [5] - 89:8,
108:12, 112:5, 209:17,
```

```
210:8
 meantime [1] - 143:6
 meat [1] - 55:22
 mechanical [1] - 175:25
 mechanically [1] -
175:24
 mechanics [1] - 159:10
 mechanism [2] - 161:5,
 mechanisms [2] -
165:4, 165:17
 media [1] - 215:3
 meet [4] - 98:18, 179:7,
179:11. 209:21
 meeting [1] - 51:1
 member [10] - 2:11,
53:22, 59:19, 62:9, 63:4,
81:22, 117:13, 119:18,
223:5, 225:5
 members [11] - 60:11,
61:1, 62:6, 63:11, 64:7,
76:23, 77:2, 77:8, 77:9,
117:14, 119:14
 membership [3] -
113:20, 118:22, 128:23
 memorandum [1] - 90:7
 memorialized [2] -
49:5. 49:7
 men [3] - 112:21, 132:2,
160.21
 mention [7] - 6:4,
56:18, 104:5, 104:11,
130:22, 136:5, 174:5
 mentioned [18] - 15:14,
15:18, 16:1, 44:25, 67:5,
114:9, 120:18, 146:3,
147:24, 151:21, 154:20,
157:4, 157:19, 162:6,
167:18, 187:18, 215:5,
218:18
 Mercedes [3] - 188:3,
188:7, 188:18
 mere [1] - 68:15
 message [3] - 91:10,
99:22, 200:17
 messed [1] - 225:19
 messing [1] - 173:19
 met [14] - 54:17,
127:14, 148:8, 150:4,
179:13, 179:14, 179:16,
187:5, 189:3, 190:1,
190:8, 192:25, 193:3,
202:2
 method [1] - 195:13
 Michael [2] - 1:16, 1:18
 microphone [2] - 8:10,
145:17
 microscopically [1] -
220:1
 mid [6] - 10:24, 22:17,
```

```
55:13, 93:11, 107:6,
109:1
 mid-afternoon [1] -
10.24
 mid-level [1] - 55:13
 midday [1] - 10:14
 middle [2] - 33:13,
33:18
 midnight [2] - 40:17,
40:18
 Might [1] - 186:4
 might [24] - 3:12, 12:3,
12:4, 20:21, 29:7, 40:22,
41:18, 48:6, 52:6, 81:4,
85:8, 93:7, 101:7,
105:13, 106:24, 111:3,
111:23, 115:10, 126:7,
134:13, 139:12, 206:6,
209:6, 222:6
 mike [5] - 144:13,
158:14, 178:3, 178:6,
179.22
 mildly [1] - 3:13
 Mills [3] - 183:15,
183:16, 197:10
 mind [4] - 199:12,
215:2, 220:11, 220:12
 Mine [1] - 135:15
 minimal [2] - 58:3, 66:7
 minimize [1] - 140:7
 minimum [3] - 114:15,
121:24, 127:18
 minor[1] - 92:5
 minute [30] - 17:7,
18:11, 18:22, 18:23,
19:12, 19:19, 19:23,
20:3, 20:4, 27:6, 27:12,
33:6, 36:20, 36:21,
36:23, 36:24, 37:1, 37:7,
37:16, 38:1, 38:4, 38:19,
40:20, 52:15, 52:23,
80:14, 99:13, 99:19,
175:6, 199:13
 minutes [25] - 12:14,
13:1, 18:21, 18:23, 19:7,
36:5, 36:16, 36:18, 38:9,
40:14, 52:22, 100:16,
100:18, 116:25, 135:15,
135:16, 138:17, 138:23,
139:1, 199:20, 209:6,
209:17, 225:18, 226:7
 miracle [1] - 9:1
 misapprehension [1] -
208:25
 miscellaneous [2] -
48:17, 48:19
 mislead [1] - 32:23
 misleading [2] - 34:12,
34:15
 missing [1] - 101:12
```

mistake [1] - 73:9 mistakes [1] - 72:15 mistrial [2] - 101:4, 132:24 misunderstood [3] -110:15, 116:11, 221:15 MITCHELL [1] - 1:7 Mitchell [106] - 1:17, 15:19, 15:23, 16:16, 16:19, 17:11, 17:17, 27:12, 34:25, 36:21, 37:5, 37:13, 37:17, 37:25, 38:10, 38:15, 38:18, 38:21, 42:13, 47:18, 47:19, 54:7, 54:12, 54:18, 54:20, 55:2, 60:6, 60:20, 62:9, 63:8, 64:10, 67:10, 67:13, 71:15, 72:7, 72:8, 72:9, 72:25, 73:6, 73:7, 73:22, 74:4, 74:7, 78:16, 80:23, 81:6, 91:6, 93:13, 93:22, 96:2, 96:16, 97:23, 98:7, 98:9, 98:10, 98:23, 99:7, 99:12, 99:21. 100:3. 100:6. 100:8. 100:12. 100:19. 100:22, 101:8, 101:11, 101:21, 102:10, 102:16, 103:17, 104:12, 106:2, 107:5, 107:11, 107:15, 107:19, 108:11, 108:23, 109:17, 109:20, 111:4, 111:9, 112:19, 113:4, 113:6, 113:8, 113:10, 113:13, 116:19, 116:20, 116:22, 127:25, 130:18, 131:16, 131:18, 132:25, 148:22, 148:25, 193:8, 210:23, 231:5 Mitchell's [10] - 17:21, 21:22, 35:24, 36:14, 36:20, 42:18, 43:4, 47:13, 62:10, 72:12 model [2] - 160:11, 160:12 Model [1] - 220:20 modifications [1] modifying [1] - 51:15 moment [11] - 24:24, 49:12, 115:18, 134:20, 146:15, 147:14, 151:2, 156:20, 175:14, 208:8, 215:11 Monday [51] - 2:18, 2:21, 2:25, 3:1, 4:11, 4:14, 5:11, 6:5, 6:11, 6:14, 10:6, 10:8, 11:5, 11:11, 11:12, 14:25,

50:15, 50:21, 50:23, 50:25, 51:4, 51:6, 51:12, 51:17, 51:18, 52:2, 52:12, 52:18, 52:20, 89:12, 133:2, 208:5, 209:20, 214:7, 214:8, 214:9, 214:11, 215:8, 217:19. 218:3. 218:14. 221:11, 221:12, 225:15, 225:20, 226:14, 227:1, 227:5, 227:22, 227:23, 229:9 money [5] - 112:15,

112:22, 119:24, 183:11, 183:12

Montgomery [34] -46:24, 56:25, 83:17, 83:24, 84:4, 85:9, 108:2, 108:7, 109:10, 111:12, 111:17, 112:1, 112:5, 114:23, 115:6, 115:17, 116:2, 116:7, 116:8, 116:11, 116:12, 117:4, 119:14, 124:19, 124:22, 125:12, 125:14, 126:18, 201:3, 201:4, 201:14, 202:15, 203:7, 206:17

Montgomery's [1] -114:20

month [3] - 22:16, 96:10, 107:13 months [6] - 29:23, 69:25, 70:1, 96:8, 111:20, 111:21

Moreover [1] - 216:19 Morgan [1] - 145:25 morning [35] - 7:20, 8:8, 10:18, 10:22, 12:11, 13:3, 14:2, 17:12, 21:21, 25:5, 25:6, 27:19, 27:20, 39:1, 39:2, 53:10, 53:11, 88:17, 117:2, 117:21, 158:20, 186:12, 210:9, 214:8, 215:8, 217:19, 218:10, 218:11, 225:25, 227:8, 227:9, 228:1, 228:18, 229:2, 229:9 morphed [1] - 108:10 morphs [1] - 94:13

most [15] - 4:9, 4:15, 10:11, 14:12, 19:23, 42:12, 76:13, 80:9, 80:10, 107:20, 148:14, 153:4, 217:23, 218:22, 218:24

Most [1] - 32:2 mother [8] - 181:18, 181:22, 184:13, 184:24, 190:1, 190:3, 190:5, 225:15

motion [17] - 11:9, 53:25, 54:23, 70:2, 75:23, 76:14, 82:24, 92:4, 94:21, 101:3, 107:17, 117:18, 131:13, 132:22, 132:23, 177:11

Motion [1] - 84:18 motions [6] - 9:18, 53:2, 91:1, 100:25, 106:6, 130:10

motivation [5] - 73:1, 73:2, 83:7, 83:14, 119:24 move [11] - 51:14, 53:13, 56:14, 56:16, 103:21, 104:16, 106:1, 163:21, 165:9, 168:2,

197:5

198:3

moved [3] - 189:25, 190:5, 190:6 movie [45] - 29:20, 32:9, 32:11, 33:3, 33:6, 91:19, 91:23, 115:2, 116:17, 116:20, 116:23, 116:24, 128:21, 129:15, 129:16, 181:21, 183:14, 183:18, 183:21, 184:1, 184:2, 184:3, 184:5, 184:9, 184:12, 190:18, 193:13, 193:15, 193:18, 193:21, 193:23, 193:25, 194:2, 194:6, 194:7, 194:11, 194:19, 194:23, 195:1, 195:5, 196:9,

movies [15] - 100:11, 129:17, 180:16, 180:20, 180:21, 180:24, 181:5, 181:7, 181:24, 181:25, 194:16, 195:21, 195:23 moving [2] - 168:25, 186:17

Moving [1] - 57:5 MP-2 [1] - 173:1

MR [430] - 2:5, 2:8, 3:18, 3:20, 3:23, 3:25, 4:3, 4:19, 5:15, 5:17, 5:20, 5:23, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:13, 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, 7:1, 7:4, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 7:20, 8:2, 9:13, 11:15, 11:20, 12:1, 12:17, 12:20, 13:2, 13:7, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, 15:12, 20:17, 24:23, 25:1, 25:4, 27:18, 37:9, 38:25, 39:13, 39:25, 40:6, 40:8, 40:11, 44:4, 45:3, 45:6, 45:19, 45:23, 46:6, 46:10, 46:15, 46:19, 46:23, 47:3, 47:4, 47:24,

47:25, 48:3, 48:11, 49:3, 49:13, 49:16, 50:5, 50:7, 53:3, 53:7, 53:10, 53:12, 58:20, 60:13, 60:23, 61:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:17, 61:21, 62:13, 62:17, 62:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:20, 63:24, 64:3. 64:12, 64:21, 64:24, 65:4, 65:6, 65:13, 65:17, 66:1, 66:14, 66:17, 66:19, 66:22, 67:3, 67:9, 68:5, 68:7, 68:16, 68:21, 69:6, 69:8, 69:10, 69:15, 69:23, 70:3, 70:18, 70:21, 70:23, 71:25, 73:4, 73:10, 74:1, 74:10, 74:17, 75:3, 75:5, 75:7, 77:7, 78:3, 78:6, 78:19, 79:6, 79:13, 79:24, 82:9, 82:15, 84:25, 85:5, 85:8, 85:19, 85:25, 86:2, 87:5, 87:16, 90:3, 95:7, 95:13, 95:18, 95:21, 96:5, 96:14, 96:19, 96:22, 97:5, 97:19, 97:25, 98:12, 98:15, 98:21, 99:5, 99:8, 99:10, 99:15, 99:20, 99:23, 100:1, 100:14, 100:24, 101:14, 101:17, 101:23, 102:1, 102:7, 102:14, 102:20, 102:22, 103:2, 103:14, 103:21, 104:3, 104:8, 104:10, 105:3, 105:5, 105:7, 105:17, 105:21, 105:23, 106:5, 106:9, 106:16, 106:22, 107:6, 107:10, 108:1, 108:7, 109:23, 112:10, 112:12, 112:18, 113:21, 113:24, 114:4, 114:13, 115:14, 115:16, 116:2, 117:23, 120:4, 120:11, 120:13, 120:20, 121:2, 121:4, 123:20, 124:6, 124:9, 124:12, 124:15, 124:19, 124:22, 125:1, 125:5, 125:11, 125:17, 125:22, 126:3, 126:9, 126:15, 127:20, 127:23, 128:2, 128:5, 128:24, 130:8, 134:20, 134:22, 135:6, 135:19, 135:25, 136:3, 136:7, 136:10, 136:14, 136:18, 137:9, 137:17, 137:20, 137:23, 138:1, 138:7, 138:14, 138:18, 138:21, 138:23, 139:1, 139:3, 139:6, 139:10,

140:10, 144:6, 144:16,

150:15, 150:23, 151:5, 151:9, 156:3, 157:10, 157:24, 158:6, 158:8, 158:10, 158:11, 158:19, 164:8, 171:4, 171:6, 172:8, 173:13, 173:14, 175:17, 177:18, 177:22, 177:24. 178:12. 179:19. 180:1, 187:2, 188:10, 190:15, 198:19, 199:24, 200:1, 200:4, 200:5, 200:8, 200:25, 201:2, 201:8, 201:11, 201:17, 201:19, 201:21, 201:23, 202:1, 202:5, 202:9, 202:19, 202:21, 202:25, 203:5, 203:12, 203:24, 204:2, 204:6, 204:8, 204:17, 204:22, 204:24, 205:1, 205:4, 205:13, 205:20, 206:10, 206:13, 206:19, 206:21, 206:23, 207:1, 207:5, 207:8, 207:11, 207:14, 207:17, 207:24, 208:1, 208:7, 208:10, 208:13, 208:19, 208:23, 209:10, 209:13, 209:19, 209:21, 210:3, 210:11, 210:15, 210:18, 210:21, 211:4, 211:9, 211:16, 211:22, 212:5, 212:20, 212:23, 213:1, 213:3, 213:7, 213:8, 213:10, 213:13, 213:17, 213:19, 213:21, 213:24, 215:9, 215:12, 217:24, 218:16, 218:18, 218:22, 219:2, 219:4, 219:7, 219:10, 219:12, 219:21, 219:23, 220:7, 220:13, 220:17, 221:1, 221:6, 221:8, 221:12, 221:14, 222:1, 222:4, 223:6, 223:13, 223:17, 223:19, 223:22, 224:1, 224:5, 224:14, 224:18, 225:4, 225:8, 225:12, 225:14, 225:17, 225:24, 226:4, 226:11, 226:12, 226:16, 226:18, 226:25, 228:7, 228:11, 228:19, 228:21, 228:25, 229:3, 229:12, 230:4, 230:5, 230:5, 230:6, 230:7, 230:8, 230:9, 230:10, 230:10, 230:12, 230:12, 230:13 **MS** [16] - 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:15, 10:12, 11:4, 11:13, 88:11, 89:8, 209:5,

209:8, 218:3, 218:7,

218:9, 218:12, 227:8

multiple [6] - 53:20, 55:10, 67:24, 75:16, 75:17, 75:24 Multiple [1] - 24:1 murder [52] - 22:11, 29:16, 29:25, 56:19, 56:23, 57:1, 71:23, 72:5, 72:6, 72:17, 73:14, 77:23, 78:9, 78:25, 80:15, 83:13, 84:14, 85:3, 85:10, 90:13, 90:25, 91:18, 93:18, 96:24, 111:8, 114:14, 114:22, 115:10, 116:14, 116:25, 117:9, 117:12, 117:15, 117:16, 117:19, 118:1, 119:6, 119:11, 122:22, 123:14, 123:17, 125:19, 125:20, 125:23, 126:1, 126:6, 126:12, 131:17, 168:6, 203:1 murdered [4] - 72:20, 93:24, 150:13 murdering [2] - 103:16 murders [19] - 72:14, 74:13, 80:13, 90:17, 90:20. 91:5. 92:17. 102:17, 104:13, 110:21, 115:13, 122:10, 123:10, 123:15, 128:16, 129:9, 142:8, 142:9, 164:19 music [16] - 60:4, 60:10, 61:3, 62:6, 62:11, 63:8, 64:8, 73:21, 73:24, 76:23, 78:10, 78:14, 78:15, 78:23, 79:10, 130:20 musical [2] - 85:11, 123:3 must [6] - 63:5, 87:14,

Ν

87:17, 107:12, 132:14,

mutual [1] - 109:14

myriad [1] - 109:12

216:6

nail [1] - 166:11 name [36] - 21:11, 22:25, 23:15, 27:23, 28:8, 42:2, 42:5, 43:4, 43:5, 43:18, 43:22, 43:24, 48:11, 48:14, 104:20, 114:6, 144:13, 144:21, 145:6, 148:22, 149:2, 149:22, 156:5, 158:15, 158:16, 178:4, 178:7, 179:1, 179:9, 189:16, 189:21, 193:23, 193:25, 194:23, 205:19

Page 250 of 261

name's [2] - 164:11, 187:5 names [1] - 145:11 narcotics [3] - 56:2, 67:14, 205:6 Natasha [5] - 15:15, 15:18, 15:21, 16:9, 112:24 nature [5] - 82:17, 87:12, 87:20, 87:24, 130:24 natures [1] - 88:3 near [2] - 118:14, 228:3 nearing [1] - 14:24 nearly [2] - 34:2, 88:8 neater [1] - 70:8 necessarily [1] - 60:24 necessary [10] - 2:25, 6:7, 10:21, 14:5, 51:13, 52:17, 58:3, 74:11, 75:14, 118:25 need [38] - 4:7, 6:24, 6:25, 7:17, 8:21, 11:12, 40:1, 51:7, 51:10, 52:13, 52:19, 59:1, 59:2, 76:20, 88:12, 88:25, 109:15, 110:22, 120:21, 120:22, 129:10, 136:4, 136:5, 136:15, 136:20, 136:22, 138:25, 165:23, 181:15, 200:20, 204:1, 204:5, 204:7, 212:20, 221:22, 224:25, 225:4, 229:4 needed [1] - 66:7 needs [3] - 57:10, 84:11, 115:23 negotiate [1] - 45:14 neighborhood [2] -132:16, 189:22 Nephew [1] - 104:20 nephew [1] - 156:16 net [1] - 70:9 Network [1] - 39:11 never [24] - 40:3, 82:9, 84:10, 97:3, 98:12, 100:4, 113:10, 130:4, 140:11, 164:19, 170:16, 173:24, 185:10, 186:21, 187:24, 188:4, 192:23, 192:25, 193:6, 195:9, 202:2, 221:18, 224:6 nevertheless [2] -114:17, 167:17 **New** [3] - 54:1, 67:8, 144:20 new [4] - 23:9, 24:8, 43:8, 43:14 newly [1] - 103:10 next [47] - 2:24, 3:1,

4:10, 5:9, 19:7, 50:15,

50:21, 50:23, 50:25, 51:4, 51:6, 51:16, 51:18, 51:23, 52:1, 52:13, 52:18, 52:20, 54:8, 75:5, 82:12, 89:12, 97:12, 134:14, 141:16, 143:4, 182:1, 186:3, 186:12, 188:17, 191:17, 194:7, 201:16, 201:22, 201:24, 202:4, 202:5, 202:8, 202:9, 214:14, 215:18, 217:11, 218:16, 218:17, 220:12 Nice [1] - 217:22 nice [1] - 165:3 Nicole [3] - 144:6, 144:14, 222:6 **NICOLE** [2] - 144:10, 230:7 niece [3] - 8:5, 8:16, 156:16 Niedermeier [16] - 26:9, 26:23, 29:6, 29:10, 29:22, 30:3, 33:20, 47:15, 91:20, 101:5, 101:18. 102:9. 102:13. 102:23. 102:24. 210:24 Niedermeier's [1] **night** [26] - 2:12, 9:11, 14:14, 16:12, 16:16, 16:23, 17:11, 17:18, 34:6, 40:17, 91:25, 93:20, 93:21, 100:9, 122:19, 129:13, 181:4, 181:20, 182:1, 185:21, 193:13, 194:6, 194:11, 209:22, 218:14 nine [8] - 28:25, 29:1, 29:2, 140:12, 175:8, 186:5, 186:6 Nine [2] - 53:18, 56:17 NO [1] - 1:6 **nobody** [3] - 129:19, 130:1, 170:14 Nobody [3] - 184:17, 198:11, 199:16 non [1] - 97:1 non-withdrawal [1] -97:1 None [1] - 133:4 none [1] - 52:6 noon [3] - 20:20, 138:8, 186:11 normally [1] - 180:24 **NORTHERN** [1] - 1:2 Northwest [1] - 189:23 notarize [1] - 224:25

notarized [2] - 224:22,

224:24

note [9] - 2:10, 52:16, 52:23, 53:24, 89:3, 93:6, 199:10, 214:19, 217:20 noted [2] - 49:2, 106:8 notes [4] - 9:7, 69:15, 102:4, 105:6 nothing [21] - 35:12, 56:5, 56:21, 60:3, 60:22, 62:15, 72:15, 79:9, 80:21, 80:24, 83:20, 83:22, 92:15, 92:16, 93:3, 96:2, 105:24, 123:9, 137:14, 151:5, 153:20 Nothing [2] - 44:1, 157:23 notice [5] - 6:25, 7:23, 21:11, 173:3, 220:6 noticeable [1] - 7:1 notion [4] - 57:19, 93:17, 108:14, 110:5 November [5] - 1:11, 95:19, 95:21, 196:8, 231:5 number [77] - 4:4, 16:11, 16:21, 16:22, 16:24, 18:6, 18:8, 18:12, 21:9, 21:11, 22:14, 22:25, 23:1, 23:2, 23:7, 23:10, 23:11, 23:12, 23:18, 23:24, 24:5, 24:8, 24:10, 24:15, 24:19, 24:20, 28:9, 29:2, 29:3, 31:1, 31:10, 38:14, 40:22, 40:23, 40:25, 41:7, 41:8, 41:17, 41:21, 42:2, 43:3, 43:9, 43:11, 43:12, 43:15, 43:16, 43:18, 43:21, 43:23, 45:1, 47:20, 68:23, 82:3, 86:20, 87:7, 92:5, 92:11, 93:9, 94:16, 94:23, 94:24, 96:6, 98:25, 103:25, 116:18, 118:4, 118:5, 118:6, 123:21, 146:3, 218:19 Number [12] - 8:4, 8:5, 8:7, 13:21, 13:22, 14:13, 14:16, 14:18, 88:5, 159:14, 160:15, 214:17 **NUMBER** [7] - 8:9, 8:14, 8:17, 8:20, 8:23, 9:3, 9:6 Number(s [1] - 231:5 numbers [9] - 38:1, 44:20, 44:21, 45:17, 46:7, 46:8, 47:20, 47:22, 48.6

0 oath [4] - 15:6, 25:20, 83:5, 84:1 **object** [6] - 7:23, 20:17, 87:8, 87:21, 177:7, 188:10 Objection [10] - 39:25, 150:15, 157:10, 171:4, 172:8, 173:13, 173:14, 190:15 objection [7] - 102:11, 104:11, 197:7, 211:14, 211:15, 228:17, 228:18 objection's [3] - 40:10, 172:10. 211:24 obligated [2] - 25:20, 141.20 **observation** [1] - 77:18 obtain [3] - 30:20, 109:14, 152:23 obtained [5] - 28:9, 28:24, 30:23, 30:24, 91:21 Obtaining [1] - 30:6 obtaining [1] - 29:7 obviously [13] - 6:1, 7:9, 7:10, 7:17, 44:5, 76:5, 84:8, 123:11, 128:17, 162:13, 162:19, 164:19, 168:5 **Obviously** [4] - 6:10, 14:15, 52:5, 133:16 occasion [4] - 98:13, 108:25, 148:23, 208:15 occasions [3] - 45:8, 148:8, 150:2 occupant [1] - 170:16 occupants [4] - 167:23, 167:25, 172:20, 174:6 occupation [1] - 148:24 occur[1] - 161:24 occurred [5] - 19:4, 19:5, 29:17, 91:5, 164:20 occurrence [1] - 8:13 occurs [1] - 30:17 October [3] - 106:25, 107:2, 107:12 OF [3] - 1:2, 1:5, 1:11 offed [1] - 96:4 offense [1] - 216:8 offenses [3] - 80:10, 133:12, 205:6 offer [3] - 45:12, 45:21, 159.9 offered [2] - 90:22, 175:20

offers [1] - 137:10

office [4] - 148:6, 148:9,

148:14, 148:18 Office [2] - 136:24, 211:11 officer[1] - 103:13 officers [2] - 44:17, 225.1 official [4] - 99:17, 211:17, 212:1, 231:8 Official [1] - 231:16 offline [1] - 215:4 often [4] - 17:4, 55:19, 216:22, 216:25 old [4] - 147:22, 156:18, 189:5. 189:6 once [3] - 146:23, 185:11, 226:6 one [172] - 2:11, 2:20, 3:25, 4:6, 4:16, 4:22, 4:23, 5:6, 6:4, 6:14, 6:24, 11:15, 11:16, 12:5, 14:6, 17:7, 17:14, 18:22, 19:4, 19:5, 19:25, 22:6, 23:25, 26:6, 26:24, 27:5, 27:6, 27:12, 33:5, 33:6, 34:13, 38:2, 38:14, 38:19, 39:18, 40:22, 41:17, 43:8, 43:22, 44:8, 45:9, 47:12, 49:20, 50:1, 53:22, 54:5, 54:10, 54:22, 55:1, 58:8, 58:22, 61:1, 62:5, 67:15, 71:2, 72:15, 72:21, 72:22, 73:19, 75:19, 75:22, 76:21, 81:1, 82:9, 85:19, 86:18, 87:7, 92:14, 94:23, 97:5, 98:24, 101:6, 101:20, 102:9, 104:1, 104:23, 104:24, 105:15, 108:25, 109:12, 109:13, 109:14, 109:20, 110:21, 111:11, 111:18, 112:3, 112:4, 112:6, 112:12, 115:18, 117:8, 118:4, 118:5, 120:4, 121:2, 121:13, 122:8, 122:17, 123:21, 123:25, 124:17, 125:7, 125:8, 125:13, 125:22, 125:23, 125:24, 126:6, 132:10, 132:12, 134:2, 135:8, 138:2, 141:9, 142:17, 145:12, 154:7, 157:2, 162:13, 163:1, 164:1, 167:25, 171:18, 171:20, 172:25, 174:5, 175:5, 175:14, 176:17, 177:20, 177:22, 188:4, 188:5, 188:7, 188:16, 190:14, 199:8, 199:15, 199:24, 201:5, 201:15, 201:16,

204:22, 205:6, 208:7, 208:10, 209:23, 212:7, 212:9, 215:14, 219:12, 221:7, 221:8, 221:9, 222:5, 226:2, 226:8, 226:9, 228:6, 228:10, 229:11, 229:12 One [30] - 13:22, 14:16, 45:21, 57:5, 76:5, 76:6, 76:16, 76:17, 77:15, 77:19, 79:11, 79:20, 81:18, 81:23, 82:21, 87:5, 90:12, 92:21, 92:22, 93:1, 110:1, 125:10, 129:6, 129:7, 131:10, 132:3, 156:14, 156:20, 221:6, 229:10 one's [3] - 83:3, 84:15, ones [2] - 19:4, 120:6 ongoing [7] - 57:11, 62:25, 71:1, 92:24, 94:24, 97:14, 111:18 online [1] - 215:4 open [24] - 103:9, 161:1, 162:3, 162:13, 162:24, 163:7, 163:10, 167:1, 167:25, 168:4, 168:11, 168:12, 168:18, 174:14, 174:19, 174:20, 175:3, 176:7, 176:11, 176:17, 199:12, 215:2 opened [1] - 163:13 opening [17] - 12:7, 13:10, 78:22, 89:24, 95:11, 135:2, 135:20, 139:23, 139:24, 140:1, 140:3, 140:5, 140:15, 141:1, 141:3, 228:4, 228:7 opens [2] - 174:9, 174:25 operate [2] - 108:12, operated [2] - 130:21, 166:16 operating [2] - 55:18, 55:19 operation [5] - 108:21, 109:4, 110:13, 113:7, 175:25 operational [4] -111:10, 111:13, 130:16, 131:3 operations [7] - 109:5, 110:8, 110:20, 111:19, 111:23, 119:9, 165:19 opined [1] - 81:4 opinion [2] - 33:7, 164:2

opportunity [7] - 13:2, 46:4, 50:9, 70:6, 75:18, 134:11, 139:10 oppose [1] - 211:2 opposed [2] - 12:22, 29.24 option [5] - 12:10, 12:13, 12:21, 12:24, 104:8 orally [1] - 106:2 orchestrates [1] - 111:6 order [16] - 2:16, 9:23, 11:12, 11:21, 57:12, 87:13, 87:16, 118:18, 135:3, 140:6, 158:4, 176:20, 207:7, 207:10, 224:19, 225:6 orders [1] - 207:4 organization [28] -55:15, 57:11, 59:22, 61:18, 62:25, 64:14, 71:2, 73:3, 73:6, 73:16, 74:4, 74:7, 74:8, 75:1, 76:17, 84:24, 86:18, 86:19, 108:15, 109:25, 110:1, 110:5, 110:11, 110:12, 110:23, 110:24, 132:7, 132:11 organizational [2] -73:2, 81:14 organizations [2] -72:4, 108:13 organized [1] - 59:19 original [1] - 224:12 originally [4] - 119:11, 127:4, 144:19, 202:25 Orrico [2] - 86:6, 86:10 Otherwise [1] - 229:5 ought [1] - 229:1 ourselves [1] - 12:21 outcome [4] - 215:25, 216:1, 216:4, 216:5 outcomes [1] - 215:24 outgoing [10] - 17:2, 17:21, 18:5, 18:6, 18:8, 19:19, 19:25, 20:19, 21:22, 32:14 outgrowth [1] - 119:12 outlier [1] - 56:21 outside [9] - 20:3, 29:15, 58:2, 70:11, 74:11, 110:8, 139:11, 162:16, 174:8 overall [4] - 33:16, 45:2, 58:15, 71:9 overarching [3] - 56:6, 58:16, 71:9 overlap [2] - 54:9, 216:25 overly [2] - 118:5, 118:7

overruled [1] - 172:10 Overruled [2] - 157:11, 171:5 oversee [1] - 159:3 overstatement [1] -122:5 overwhelming [1] -131:14 Owings [3] - 183:15, 183:16, 197:10 own [12] - 23:15, 42:5, 92:8, 108:16, 110:8, 127:11, 133:4, 133:9, 142:21, 165:8, 165:17, 212:7 owned [1] - 130:21 owner [1] - 174:16 P

p.m [9] - 19:5, 24:4, 40:21, 157:9, 157:17, 194:24, 197:11, 199:21, 229:16 **PA**[2] - 54:18, 67:18 pad [4] - 9:8, 13:21, 167.7 pads [5] - 52:16, 52:23, 89:3, 199:10, 217:20 page [4] - 44:7, 44:24, 160:13, 201:6 PAGE [1] - 230:3 pages [4] - 128:6, 211:5, 226:6, 231:7 paid [11] - 181:22, 183:10, 195:5, 195:9, 195:21, 195:22, 196:8, 198:5, 198:9, 198:12, 199:1 paint [1] - 80:10 paired [1] - 110:19 pan [1] - 220:15 **Panasonic** [1] - 46:12 paper [7] - 47:8, 48:14, 48:24, 48:25, 94:18, 104:24, 115:3 papers [1] - 224:13 Paragraph [5] - 62:4, 72:24, 77:24, 77:25, 94:3 paranoid [1] - 72:16 parcel [1] - 119:8 Pardon [3] - 99:5, 102:7, 145:1 pardon [1] - 222:10 Park [5] - 67:20, 73:15, 108:20, 108:24, 109:6 parking [1] - 198:23 parole [1] - 156:24 part [36] - 29:11, 46:12,

55:15, 60:9, 60:20, 62:10, 62:12, 62:15, 64:9, 71:8, 73:23, 74:2, 75:13, 82:5, 84:4, 84:18, 86:19, 92:2, 96:14, 97:8, 107:7, 110:15, 115:13, 119:8, 119:23, 130:19, 139:24. 140:18. 142:12. 149:18, 166:12, 212:1, 215:17, 217:1, 225:10 partially [3] - 75:20, 148:18, 172:2 participants [1] - 119:7 participate [1] - 97:9 participated [3] - 90:22, 103:16, 141:11 participation [3] - 98:8, 101:24, 141:12 particular [21] - 17:7, 27:4, 29:19, 30:25, 53:16, 65:12, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 65:17, 104:16, 112:20, 143:6, 159:19, 181:4, 183:18, 204:18, 215:25, 216:1, 216:21, 220:19 particularly [11] - 68:8, 69:11, 71:5, 96:2, 100:8, 100:21, 135:19, 148:3, 160:18, 210:3, 215:16 parties [7] - 34:21, 35:20, 44:5, 44:8, 44:13, 49:17, 49:24 parting [1] - 121:1 partly [1] - 131:23 parts [3] - 102:24, 102:25, 160:25 party [8] - 34:13, 34:15, 34:16, 34:17, 34:18, 34:20, 78:16, 204:3 pass [1] - 146:23 passed [3] - 23:25, 26:1, 146:21 passenger [8] - 161:12, 167:24, 168:19, 168:25. 169:5, 169:13, 173:23, 174.19 past [6] - 33:14, 33:17, 55:22, 56:10, 131:22, 167:16 patience [3] - 14:8, 139:17, 214:4 Patrick [2] - 158:11, 158:16 PATRICK [2] - 158:12, Patriot [2] - 39:11, 40:5 pattern [11] - 57:20, 57:25, 58:2, 59:25. 62:19, 64:1, 64:3, 64:4,

71:7, 93:18, 121:8 Paul [1] - 1:19 Pause [5] - 24:25, 146:18, 151:4, 156:21, pay [7] - 21:13, 83:7, 84:23, 86:21, 113:1, 195:13, 198:14 paying [1] - 115:2 payroll [2] - 153:17, **PC-1** [3] - 49:9, 49:13, PC-2 [3] - 49:10, 49:22, peculiar [1] - 76:20 pecuniary [1] - 84:23 Pennsylvania [14] -54:10, 54:21, 80:22, 80:23, 81:1, 81:2, 81:8, 93:14, 108:21, 109:5, 113:7, 113:14, 135:10 **People** [3] - 93:20, 113:2, 130:25 people [23] - 4:4, 27:1, 58:4, 63:16, 64:16, 66:11, 96:17, 110:10, 111:2, 111:24, 112:25, 113:4, 114:16, 124:1, 142:10, 155:6, 164:16, 168:7, 168:20, 169:12, 169:22, 190:14, 225:21 people's [1] - 155:5 Perfect [2] - 120:9, 227:18 perfect [1] - 80:22 perfectly [2] - 125:17, 168:1 perform [1] - 159:2 performing [1] - 79:10 perhaps [17] - 2:25, 3:1, 10:22, 51:21, 52:3, 79:9, 103:3, 132:4, 133:2, 133:13, 158:2, 207:21, 226:7, 227:5, 227:10 period [22] - 14:22, 31:14, 41:6, 80:12, 80:16, 92:25, 93:1, 93:5, 94:10, 94:25, 96:8, 96:10, 107:13, 108:3, 109:20, 111:3, 121:20, 123:24, 152:7, 191:18, 207:11 periods [3] - 95:10, 111:19, 148:3 permission [2] -105:25, 221:12 permit [3] - 70:19, 77:11, 220:3 permits [1] - 166:21

persisted [2] - 92:24, 93.4 person [20] - 13:15, 21:13, 24:11, 26:6, 26:7, 26:24, 87:11, 98:9, 116:9, 135:7, 146:19, 148:23, 149:2, 149:5, 149:9, 153:10, 153:11, 168:1, 168:6 personal [3] - 71:12, 73:1, 150:5 personally [4] - 16:5, 71:2, 150:6, 154:14 **PG**[1] - 6:18 phone [113] - 6:1, 6:16, 11:11, 16:10, 16:19, 17:1, 17:18, 17:21, 18:24, 19:1, 19:19, 19:20, 20:5, 20:6, 20:10, 20:23, 21:20, 21:22, 22:5, 22:8, 22:13, 22:17, 22:23, 23:14, 24:10, 27:6, 27:7, 27:12, 27:13, 27:25, 28:6, 28:8, 28:10, 28:16, 28:18, 29:2, 29:3, 30:18. 30:25. 31:11. 31:25, 32:2, 32:7, 32:8, 32:20, 33:7, 33:23, 34:25, 35:1, 35:24, 36:8, 36:10, 36:14, 36:19, 36:20, 37:5, 37:16, 37:17, 37:25, 38:9, 38:15, 38:17, 38:18, 38:19, 38:21, 40:21, 40:23, 41:18, 43:8, 47:20, 47:21, 47:23, 47:25, 51:9, 91:11, 92:8, 92:10, 92:12, 92:13, 96:9, 96:23, 97:16, 98:1, 98:6, 98:15, 98:17, 98:23, 98:24, 98:25, 99:12, 99:18, 100:5, 100:15, 101:7, 116:19, 122:18, 129:13, 143:7, 184:5, 184:6, 184:8, 194:11, 194:14, 200:15, 209:11, 222:15 **Phones** [1] - 128:2 phones [14] - 16:12, 16:14, 16:15, 20:24, 27:22, 31:8, 39:5, 40:12, 42:17, 42:18, 42:19, 47:21, 96:18, 129:18 photo [4] - 46:21, 46:23, 47:4, 47:11 photograph [2] - 46:17, 107:25 photographs [1] -164:25 physically [2] - 161:13,

162:11 pick [3] - 100:6, 103:8, 154:13 picked [5] - 67:18, 148:7, 181:22, 182:16, 182.17 picks [3] - 69:20, 80:3, 100.4 picture [8] - 125:17, 165:22, 166:6, 166:20, 167:4, 167:6, 170:8, 171:21 pictures [3] - 170:24, 176:25, 177:3 piece [2] - 107:24, 130:20 pin [1] - 103:17 Pinkerton [13] - 117:10, 117:15, 127:17, 128:5, 128:7, 128:8, 128:10, 128:13, 128:14, 128:16, 128:18, 128:25, 129:9 place [8] - 72:23, 108:4, 121:16, 124:1, 142:9, 142:10, 173:25, 191:11 placed [3] - 21:9, 22:21, 36:20 plan [2] - 119:12, 119:13 planned [2] - 51:1, 127:5 planning [1] - 218:24 plans [2] - 2:20, 2:21 play [4] - 209:10, 211:13, 212:23, 226:8 played [5] - 140:18, 140:19, 143:8, 213:2, 213:14 playing [2] - 79:10, 196.6 pleasant [1] - 217:18 pleased [1] - 14:9 pled [6] - 202:10, 202:12, 202:25, 203:1, 204:19, 205:6 Plus [1] - 204:17 pocket [1] - 46:20 pockets [2] - 116:5, 116:10 point [52] - 4:24, 29:13, 33:11, 33:21, 39:18, 54:5, 54:17, 54:18, 56:18, 60:25, 67:15, 67:16, 67:19, 67:20, 74:22, 80:8, 87:23, 94:20, 100:2, 103:2, 108:9, 116:6, 118:3, 120:2, 121:6, 121:23, 121:25, 126:3, 140:13,

141:15, 142:2, 143:14,

143:18, 145:2, 154:19, 159:18, 161:20, 161:25, 162:16, 163:13, 164:1, 169:7, 171:3, 175:8, 176:12, 182:11, 185:21, 189:11, 198:7, 215:15, point-by-point [1] pointed [5] - 22:15, 76:18, 83:25, 201:13, 201:14 pointing [2] - 17:11, 140:24 points [7] - 92:5, 113:25, 116:10, 116:18, 137:12, 175:18, 203:15 police [5] - 103:12, 115:7, 115:11, 116:11, 197:18 polish [1] - 227:25 portfolio [1] - 130:19 portion [4] - 45:9, 54:15, 102:3, 161:1 portions [3] - 203:14, 203:19, 203:20 posit [1] - 96:23 position [27] - 25:19, 76:6, 78:23, 79:25, 81:24, 82:2, 83:3, 83:21, 84:16, 85:17, 86:3, 86:17, 87:2, 118:11, 118:20, 118:23, 118:25, 119:17, 121:7, 122:3, 122:11, 122:12, 122:14, 154:18, 161:16, 163:22, possessed [1] - 90:16 possession [4] - 28:2, 28:11, 28:16, 94:13 possessions [2] -222:18 possibilities [1] - 45:19 possibility [6] - 7:21, 10:7, 11:1, 168:22, 168:23, 220:24 possible [18] - 10:9, 11:20, 13:5, 31:3, 38:11, 42:23, 42:25, 167:19, 168:1, 169:2, 171:1, 174:2, 174:4, 174:12, 179:23, 220:9, 220:23, 221:14 Possibly [1] - 151:23 possibly [5] - 3:25, 219:16, 223:1, 223:24 Post [2] - 165:24 post [2] - 54:24, 224:13 post-conviction [1] -224:13

Document 695

Post-Its [2] - 165:24 posting [1] - 147:4 posture [1] - 52:8 potential [2] - 5:8, 200:13 power [8] - 72:3, 147:6, 160:8, 160:17, 161:14, 163:14, 171:9, 171:10 powerful [1] - 97:3 prayers [3] - 8:24, 14:19, 14:20 pre [2] - 59:4, 106:20 pre-1999 [1] - 106:21 pre-RICO [1] - 59:4 precise [1] - 95:25 precisely [1] - 222:20 preconceived [2] -108:14, 110:5 predict [1] - 52:6 predicted [1] - 90:3 predictions [1] - 7:17 preemptive [1] - 71:15 prefer [2] - 12:4, 105:16 **preference** [1] - 11:22 prejudicial [1] - 205:10 preliminary [1] - 199:24 premise [1] - 53:18 prepared [12] - 33:18, 33:23, 33:25, 45:8, 106:12, 106:13, 137:19, 143:9, 143:10, 143:11, 212:8. 212:10 presence [2] - 139:11, 185:22 present [17] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:14, 28:13, 66:4, 126:14, 131:4, 135:8, 140:4, 154:24, 162:15, 186:18, 198:21, 199:22, 207:22. 212:7 presentation [4] - 47:5, 50:11, 63:9, 139:18 presented [7] - 31:4, 33:20, 33:22, 73:5, 73:19, 85:6, 132:18 presently [2] - 158:23, preservation [3] -71:16, 71:17, 73:11 preserved [1] - 79:8 preserving [1] - 72:2 President [1] - 130:15 press [1] - 162:4 pressed [2] - 70:25, 71:1 prestige [1] - 118:24 pretrial [1] - 127:5 pretty [8] - 67:24, 68:24, 95:25, 133:1,

135:9, 157:5, 157:14,

204:14 prevail [1] - 2:21 prevent [1] - 154:16 previous [2] - 19:7, 101:15 PREVIOUSLY [1] -15:10 previously [7] - 41:5, 44:25, 97:7, 106:7, 212:14, 216:17, 217:4 principal [2] - 90:5, 92:9 principle [1] - 69:18 principles [2] - 75:9, 122:6 print [1] - 46:16 printed [3] - 33:15, 33:19, 40:3 **printouts** [1] - 21:15 prism [2] - 118:2, 132:18 prison [2] - 190:24, 191:10 privilege [3] - 133:6, 207:4, 208:18 probative [1] - 205:10 problem [11] - 6:15, 6:22, 82:15, 89:11, 136:8, 138:20, 221:20, 221:21, 224:8, 226:11, 226:16 problems [3] - 58:23, 59:7, 121:13 procedural [1] - 53:4 procedures [1] - 223:14 proceed [6] - 15:4, 15:9, 134:19, 139:18, 140:5, 172:11 proceeded [1] - 170:18 proceeding [4] - 137:6, 139:12, 201:20, 216:3 Proceedings [4] - 2:1, 146:18, 151:4, 229:16 proceedings [12] -15:8, 24:25, 63:19, 127:5, 156:21, 158:5, 208:14, 210:5, 215:13, 216:6, 231:4, 231:8 proceeds [3] - 60:9, 60:14, 61:2 process [1] - 153:18 produced [1] - 91:16 producing [2] - 20:15, professional [1] proffer [1] - 220:8 proffered [1] - 214:2 profit [1] - 72:6 profits [2] - 57:18, 72:3

programmed [1] -217:12 progress [3] - 3:10, 33.21 199.9 progressed [1] - 34:6 progression [1] - 34:6 project [2] - 130:16 project-by-project [1] promise [5] - 13:4, 84:22, 113:21, 138:23, promises [1] - 140:25 promote [3] - 62:6, 62:11, 73:24 **promoting** [4] - 58:15, 63:10, 64:6, 76:22 prong [1] - 120:1 pronouncing [1] - 82:6 proof [6] - 57:20, 77:22, 90:16, 90:24, 142:3, 217:1 proper [1] - 34:6 property [6] - 44:20, 44:21, 146:9, 146:21, 223:5, 225:2 proposed [8] - 76:1, 87:6, 87:8, 87:9, 118:12, 120:5, 120:15, 121:9 **proposition** [1] - 68:10 prosecute [2] - 136:12, 137:10 prosecuted [4] - 81:11, 206:6, 216:18, 217:4 prosecution [7] -215:20, 216:12, 216:20, 216:21, 216:23, 217:2, 217:7 prosecutions [2] -215:24, 216:22 prosecutors [5] - 121:5, 146:15, 187:14, 211:18, 216:17 protect [1] - 73:15 protecting [2] - 72:2, 72:25 protection [2] - 93:23, 109:12 prove [17] - 2:25, 53:19, 57:8, 57:10, 59:9, 59:12, 62:24, 64:15, 68:10, 74:18, 87:13, 87:14, 87:16, 87:17, 141:5, 141:18, 141:24 proved [12] - 56:3, 56:10, 69:24, 81:22, 118:18, 141:10, 141:11, 141:14, 141:22, 143:2, 144:1 proven [6] - 53:20,

53:22, 70:17, 71:11, 80:4, 216:8 proves [2] - 68:19, 76:12 provide [3] - 66:3, 74:19, 149:7 provided [8] - 39:12, 51:17, 54:6, 74:24, 91:22, 132:9, 148:11, 212:13 **providing** [5] - 55:13, 55:16, 143:9, 149:4 proving [1] - 81:13 provisionally [2] -45:13, 104:17 prudent [2] - 9:20, 169:9 Public [2] - 57:3, 57:4 public [2] - 115:13 pull [6] - 145:17, 167:1, 167:15, 172:14, 178:6, 227:20 pulled [1] - 166:5 pulls [3] - 169:7, 169:11, 169:21 punch [1] - 143:16 punishable [2] - 49:19, 49.25 purchased [2] - 197:12, 198:22 purchases [1] - 183:5 purge [1] - 30:13 **purport** [1] - 68:22 purpose [19] - 52:11, 57:11, 59:16, 60:2, 60:5, 62:10, 62:12, 63:1, 63:10, 71:24, 72:1, 72:2, 73:24, 76:21, 79:15, 133:15, 133:19, 137:5, 216:5 purposes [13] - 53:24, 54:23, 62:5, 63:3, 63:5, 76:22, 79:24, 84:7, 94:2, 111:10, 119:9, 130:17, 159:14 pursuant [1] - 105:25 pursue [1] - 61:3 push [6] - 162:11, 162:24, 167:13, 167:15, 171:11, 172:14 pushed [1] - 174:23 pushes [1] - 174:10 pushing [3] - 168:13, 168:18, 169:14 put [32] - 4:7, 7:6, 7:13, 32:5, 44:6, 46:6, 49:11, 52:8, 71:10, 72:12, 73:3, 74:9, 80:6, 82:4, 94:16, 103:9, 106:16, 110:9, 111:17, 116:5, 127:5,

133:17, 137:19, 142:15, 149:12, 154:18, 165:8, 177:11, 197:6, 203:17, 223:1 puts [1] - 119:4 putting [2] - 37:2, 119:15 Pyne [16] - 1:21, 16:9, 16:25, 17:6, 17:14, 75:4, 95:8, 97:20, 97:21, 104:4, 105:14, 138:6, 177:22, 195:12, 213:13 **PYNE** [11] - 27:18, 138:7, 138:14, 177:24, 178:12, 180:1, 188:10, 198:19, 230:5, 230:12, 230:13 Pyne's [2] - 135:14, 135:16 Q

Document 695

Q-45 [16] - 160:8, 160:9, 86:18 160:12, 160:14, 161:8, 162:16, 164:3, 164:19, 166:8, 167:8, 167:17, 167:22, 168:17, 173:1, qualifications [3] -138:19, 159:9, 171:6 218.9 quality [2] - 148:11, quantity [1] - 55:15 150:3 questioned [2] - 16:25, 205:23 questioning [1] -195:12 questions [24] - 11:17, 15:13, 24:22, 25:1, 27:21, 39:3, 40:14, 143:13, 154:19, 155:12, 164:6, 175:14, 177:15, 198:16, 198:20, 200:23, 201:1, 201:9, 201:11, 131.8 201:25, 202:10, 206:15, 206:16, 226:23 quick [8] - 6:16, 11:15, 34:16, 124:18, 171:16, 175:18, 196:3, 221:10 quicker [1] - 220:13 quickly [2] - 57:19, 138:15 Quite [1] - 100:20 quite [11] - 2:3, 9:11, 216:6 13:5, 69:13, 84:19, 103:25, 114:8, 142:4, 148:4, 153:4, 222:11 reaches [1] - 169:23 quote [1] - 62:11

quote-unquote [1] -

62:11 quotes [1] - 123:23 R

Racketeering [1] racketeering [14] -53:18, 57:20, 57:25, 58:3, 58:5, 59:25, 62:19, 64:1, 64:3, 64:4, 71:7, 81:14, 131:7, 142:7 Raise [1] - 144:9 raise [4] - 53:5, 167:19, 168:16, 199:24 raised [1] - 117:21 raising [1] - 173:16 ram [2] - 108:14, 110:4 Randallstown [1] -189:18 Randallstown/Park [4] - 61:17, 74:8, 84:24, range [2] - 20:4, 21:12 rap [16] - 47:9, 60:3, 60:4, 62:6, 62:11, 63:8, 64:8, 73:21, 73:24, 76:22, 78:10, 78:14, 78:15, 78:23, 130:20, rapid [1] - 34:16 rare [3] - 19:24, 20:1, rate [1] - 19:12 rather [14] - 5:18, 12:5, 43:4, 53:15, 88:23, 96:20, 99:22, 100:12, 124:8. 131:11. 135:5. 206:22, 206:24, 228:23 rational [12] - 73:13, 73:17, 83:4, 83:6, 83:11, 87:1, 126:25, 129:12, 130:5, 130:12, 131:2, rationale [1] - 71:22 raw [1] - 33:2 re [3] - 40:7, 176:7, 176:22 re-direct [1] - 40:7 re-lock [2] - 176:7, 176:22 reach [6] - 16:11, 65:22, 161:12, 163:11, 168:2, reached [3] - 163:14, 167:25, 207:20

reaction [1] - 72:16

read [15] - 44:5, 49:14,

55:9, 62:3, 81:25, 86:16, 188:11, 203:10, 203:14, 217:11, 217:13, 226:7, 226:23 Reader's [1] - 106:18 reading [6] - 69:15, 77:3, 77:4, 79:5, 118:5, 226:19 reads [1] - 72:2 ready [7] - 14:23, 15:9, 88:23, 134:19, 139:17, 140:9, 229:3 real [8] - 74:22, 80:2, 80:4, 80:21, 124:18, 157:1, 211:22, 220:1 realize [2] - 101:15, 183:13 realized [1] - 123:13 realizes [1] - 169:23 really [49] - 2:9, 29:13, 33:12, 47:17, 65:7, 69:4, 71:12, 76:7, 80:6, 80:11, 80:15, 81:12, 83:22, 84:19, 88:25, 90:21, 90:24, 91:14, 93:14, 94:6, 97:7, 104:25, 105:10, 106:18, 106:20, 118:8, 118:11, 119:25, 128:19, 130:4, 135:6, 142:20, 142:21, 148:4, 151:20, 153:22, 164:1, 167:11, 169:20, 171:16, 172:19, 175:19, 207:1, 211:4, 225:19, 227:17, 227:24, 227:25 realtor [1] - 146:11 rear [16] - 161:8, 161:15, 162:9, 162:25, 163:7, 163:10, 163:11, 163:13, 167:24, 168:19, 168:25, 169:5, 169:13, 173:23, 174:19, 174:23 reason [14] - 26:24, 34:17, 65:13, 66:1, 93:21, 106:23, 118:22, 125:6, 127:7, 135:20, 207:15, 222:11, 223:10, 228:14 reasonable [20] - 64:19, 64:22, 65:10, 65:11, 70:17, 72:23, 72:24, 83:1, 87:14, 87:17, 126:23, 129:22, 130:13, 131:23, 141:5, 141:10, 141:13, 141:18, 144:2, 216.9

reasonably [4] - 94:25,

95:23, 99:25, 227:14

reasons [8] - 64:24,

80:18, 86:19, 117:17,

relationship [9] -

122:20, 132:21, 142:17, **RECROSS** [5] - 25:3, 223.14 27:17, 230:5, 230:5, rebuttable [1] - 7:6 230:6 rebuttal [6] - 5:8, 7:16, recross [1] - 32:1 10:22, 11:18, 219:8, redact [1] - 203:21 228.9 redacted [4] - 45:9, recalled [1] - 200:12 46:5, 106:18, 204:14 received [2] - 21:13, redaction [1] - 45:15 36:15 **REDIRECT** [5] - 175:16, receives [2] - 36:19, 198:18, 230:4, 230:10, 230:13 recent [2] - 42:12, 51:16 redirect [4] - 14:24, recently [2] - 107:20, 32:1, 39:4, 157:24 117:7 refer [4] - 159:19. Recess [2] - 90:1, 160:16, 160:24, 215:21 reference [9] - 81:5, 199:21 recess [18] - 9:17, 10:1, 123:2, 123:6, 123:8, 13:19, 15:2, 15:4, 15:5, 124:6, 124:7, 124:10, 50:13, 51:5, 51:9, 52:15, 126:18, 203:21 references [3] - 91:11, 52:23, 89:19, 89:25, 139:8, 199:7, 199:13, 127:6, 216:2 199:19 referred [4] - 39:21, recognize [6] - 110:15, 97:16, 121:8, 146:13 149:18, 149:20, 160:3, referring [4] - 46:1, 166:6, 200:7 124:13, 182:3, 182:9 recognizing [1] - 86:3 refers [1] - 126:19 recollect [1] - 117:24 reflect [2] - 34:3, 200:15 recollection [5] - 29:8, refresh [2] - 196:7, 95:8, 183:14, 196:7, 196:24 196:24 refuse [1] - 192:5 record [37] - 20:21, refusing [1] - 200:17 21:3. 46:6. 49:14. 71:10. regard [4] - 31:7, 79:1. 79:8. 81:17. 83:10. 133:19, 159:12, 214:17 83:24, 85:14, 86:20, regarding [6] - 15:3, 95:5, 97:17, 126:4, 54:11, 55:5, 56:15, 57:6, 126:5, 126:11, 126:17, 176:25 127:1, 144:13, 158:15, regardless [2] - 56:9, 159:8, 178:4, 180:8, 182:16, 186:20, 200:15, regards [9] - 27:21, 203:16, 208:11, 208:19, 29:5, 29:6, 30:21, 31:9, 211.1 211.22 212.2 34:24, 50:20, 53:12, 55:9 212:4, 226:7, 229:14 registered [4] - 43:18, recorded [4] - 47:16, 43:21, 43:24, 92:12 101:7, 116:4, 231:3 regularly [1] - 132:20 recording [2] - 212:14, reject [1] - 77:5 213:14 rejected [2] - 79:4, records [41] - 20:7, 84.12 20:20, 20:25, 21:2, 21:4, rejects [2] - 77:8, 77:12 21:5, 21:7, 21:10, 21:12, rejoin [1] - 97:15 21:14, 27:6, 27:7, 27:13, relate [4] - 37:23, 48:4, 28:6, 28:9, 28:21, 30:9, 84:13, 205:23 30:13, 30:15, 30:18, related [13] - 31:25, 30:24, 30:25, 32:8, 58:5, 73:5, 73:12, 77:10, 32:20, 35:18, 35:24, 82:1, 82:3, 87:25, 92:25, 36:10, 38:13, 42:8, 94:25, 206:16, 224:17 97:17, 122:18, 128:20, relates [1] - 222:24 151:20, 152:23, 153:12, relating [4] - 39:20, 153:20, 153:21 49:9, 49:10, 152:24 recovered [4] - 23:10, relation [2] - 56:24,

24:10, 28:6, 28:19

171:9

131:24, 132:18, 147:11, 147:13, 147:25, 153:9, 155:17, 181:2, 206:16 relationships [1] -156:15 relative [4] - 49:7, 135:8, 222:5 relatively [1] - 135:9 release [3] - 88:19, 223:4, 225:2 released [4] - 95:19, 95:24, 98:5, 152:9 relevant [1] - 134:9 reliability [1] - 212:3 reliable [1] - 148:15 relies [2] - 86:7, 118:5 Reluctantly [1] - 207:24 rely [1] - 112:6 remain [6] - 3:6, 15:6, 133:10, 134:15, 200:10, 212:20 remained [1] - 197:14 remaining [2] - 49:4, 205.8 remains [3] - 46:17, 46:20, 116:12 remarked [1] - 48:25 Remember [1] - 109:16 remember [84] - 13:9, 16:11, 16:14, 16:25, 17:8, 27:5, 41:9, 53:16, 97:10, 101:25, 104:4, 104:8, 105:12, 107:25, 125:12, 125:19, 139:19, 139:23, 139:25, 141:3, 151:12, 152:1, 152:13, 152:14, 152:15, 152:21, 183:11, 187:6, 187:7, 187:11, 187:13, 187:14, 187:20, 187:25, 188:3, 188:9, 188:12, 188:15, 188:16, 188:18, 188:20, 188:22, 189:16, 190:3, 190:5, 190:9, 191:1, 191:3, 191:4, 191:10, 191:12, 191:14, 191:17, 191:19, 191:20, 191:21, 191:23, 192:1, 192:2, 192:3, 192:4, 192:9, 193:15, 193:23, 194:2, 194:12, 194:14, 195:7, 195:10, 195:17, 195:20, 195:22, 195:25, 196:11, 196:14, 196:16, 196:20, 197:1, 197:16, 198:11, 198:15, 198:16 remembered [7] -13:15, 188:2, 192:11, 194:23, 195:1, 195:4,

215:25 remind [2] - 105:14, 217:10 reminded [2] - 112:13, 139:20 reminder [1] - 89:18 reminding [1] - 89:11 renege [1] - 204:4 renew [2] - 101:3, 106:6 renewed [1] - 101:1 repaid [1] - 113:10 repair [1] - 159:10 repeat [3] - 82:23, 157:12. 215:17 Repeat [1] - 47:24 repeatedly [5] - 17:18, 47:22, 96:3, 129:14, 220:18 replaced [1] - 23:11 report [1] - 156:25 Reported [1] - 1:23 reporter [1] - 89:1 Reporter [2] - 82:14, 231:16 REPORTER'S [1] -231:1 reports [5] - 44:12, 44:25, 106:15, 115:13, 215:3 represent [2] - 164:11, 204:6 representation [1] -160:11 represented [2] - 57:2, representing [2] -139:22. 140:1 request [3] - 210:22, 224:12, 227:18 requests [1] - 15:3 **require** [4] - 90:16, 154:15, 217:1, 224:24 required [5] - 70:25, 71:3, 133:5, 154:25, 156:24 requirements [1] -154:20 requires [2] - 11:24, 211:18 resale [1] - 54:7 rescheduled [2] -50:20, 50:25 $\pmb{\text{reserved}}\ [2] - 140:1,$ 222:10 residence [1] - 130:23 respect [40] - 7:5, 7:10, 53:4, 68:13, 75:11, 75:13, 75:21, 76:3, 76:4, 76:7, 76:21, 76:25, 78:25, 79:14, 80:14,

81:9, 81:20, 84:22, 86:15, 92:20, 94:8, 95:2, 97:10, 117:25, 118:1, 118:10, 118:14, 121:6, 122:8, 122:9, 126:12, 126:24, 127:14, 128:18, 129:5, 129:23, 134:24, 149:3. 202:14. 219:14 respected [1] - 113:3 respectfully [1] - 127:9 respond [2] - 106:9, 113:24 response [3] - 26:4, 52:21, 121:4 responsibilities [1] responsive [1] - 37:14 rest [3] - 9:17, 10:16, 160:20 restaurant [1] - 150:4 restore [1] - 158:2 restored [2] - 49:21, 50:2 restrictive [1] - 118:5 restricts [1] - 118:7 rests [1] - 50:7 result [5] - 2:12, 50:15, 113:15, 148:23, 216:21 resulted [2] - 113:9, 216:23 resume [2] - 52:22, 214:8 retail [1] - 108:15 retailers [3] - 55:14, 109:8, 110:9 retaliating [1] - 72:4 retire [1] - 139:7 retrieve [2] - 39:14, 146:12 return [4] - 50:12, 141:20, 141:21, 143:22 returned [3] - 141:1, 197:13, 198:2 revenge [1] - 83:18 reverse [1] - 57:12 review [8] - 42:7, 46:4, 48:6, 48:22, 50:9, 53:1, 151:19, 227:13 reviewed [2] - 49:8, reworked [1] - 34:9 Reynolds [3] - 83:25, 97:12, 107:20 Reynolds's [1] - 97:10 **RHODES** [16] - 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:15, 10:12, 11:4, 11:13, 88:11, 89:8, 209:5, 209:8, 218:3, 218:7, 218:9, 218:12,

227:8

Rhodes [14] - 1:17, 4:5, 5:20, 10:10, 11:3, 89:11, 89:18, 89:21, 208:6, 209:4, 209:16, 209:25, 218:2. 227:7 Rhodes's [2] - 5:12, 10.8 Rice [4] - 73:15, 110:1, 110:10, 110:12 Richard [1] - 94:12 **RICO** [30] - 57:5, 57:6, 58:16, 58:21, 58:22, 59:3, 59:4, 59:6, 59:23, 70:25, 71:9, 74:15, 74:20, 74:21, 74:22, 76:17, 77:15, 81:9, 84:1, 90:12, 90:14, 92:22, 94:22, 94:23, 119:8, 121:22, 130:11, 141:6, 142:13 rights [2] - 49:21, 50:2 rip [1] - 172:21 rips [1] - 173:19 risk [1] - 72:12 risks [3] - 112:16, 112:17, 112:19 Road [1] - 178:17 rob [1] - 119:13 robbed [1] - 112:9 robberies [5] - 56:5, 110:19, 119:10, 190:13 robberv [10] - 83:15. 121:13. 121:15. 202:11. 202:12, 202:17, 202:20, 202:24, 203:2, 205:6 robbery/murder [1] -119.6 robbing [3] - 63:14, 81:15, 88:1 Robert [3] - 1:16, 164:11, 187:5 Rodney [4] - 15:16, 15:23, 45:7, 46:2 role [3] - 87:2, 202:21, 226:8 roll [1] - 170:18 room [5] - 50:12, 51:9, 143:13, 184:20, 217:17 Room [1] - 1:24 Roughly [1] - 145:16 rounded [1] - 30:17 rounding [1] - 18:21 row [1] - 182:14 RPR [1] - 1:24 Rule [16] - 53:3, 76:10, 76:14, 79:24, 84:8, 105:25. 107:17. 117:18. 122:23, 126:22, 127:10, 127:13, 129:11, 204:9, 205:9, 205:10

rule [3] - 82:20, 103:20, 200:2 ruled [1] - 84:3 rules [2] - 123:1, 140:2 ruling [1] - 84:18 rulings [2] - 86:4, 207:21 rummage [1] - 174:7 run [4] - 10:5, 29:6, 135:22, 146:22 running [5] - 113:7, 161:9, 162:17, 167:16, 177:6

S

S-62 [1] - 46:25 S-66 [1] - 47:1 sake [1] - 62:15 salient [1] - 45:9 Samuel [3] - 40:23, 41:8, 41:17 sang [1] - 91:12 sat [2] - 63:21, 197:11 satisfactory [2] -207:23, 207:25 satisfied [3] - 9:10, 21:19, 130:12 satisfy [1] - 120:1 Saturday [1] - 105:19 Saturdays [1] - 148:19 save [1] - 81:1 saw [1] - 164:19 scale [2] - 13:24, 55:12 scant [1] - 56:24 scattered [2] - 108:17, 110.9 scenario [8] - 168:17, 169:18, 172:16, 173:11, 174:2, 174:12, 175:19, 175:23 scenarios [4] - 167:16, 167:19, 174:4, 175:9 scene [4] - 116:25, 123:18, 165:1, 173:22 scenes [1] - 215:5 schedule [5] - 13:13, 51:15, 51:19, 52:11, 225:19 scheduled [5] - 6:14, 50:14, 50:21, 51:6, 225:20 schedules [2] - 51:8, 51:17 scheduling [1] - 15:3 scheme [3] - 56:2, 56:7, 111:12 schemes [2] - 55:19, 67:6

School [1] - 107:10 school [6] - 111:25, 131:25, 132:15, 145:20, 146:20 scope [2] - 40:6, 76:2

Document 695

scrap [1] - 48:14 scraps [2] - 48:24, 48:25

scratch [2] - 82:10, 82:16

screen [2] - 44:6, 197:6 SE-16[1] - 48:20

SE-19 [1] - 47:9 SE-2 [1] - 48:9

SE-20 [2] - 48:22, 48:23

SE-20A [1] - 48:25 SE-5 [1] - 48:13

SE-6 [2] - 47:7, 48:16

SE-7 [1] - 48:18 sealed [1] - 214:22 Seamon [3] - 48:4,

48:24, 49:3

search [4] - 48:4, 48:18, 48:19, 104:19

seat [32] - 14:18, 26:19, 26:21, 26:25, 27:1, 161:8, 162:9, 167:23, 168:7, 168:20, 168:24,

169:11, 169:12, 169:16, 169:19, 169:21, 170:1, 170:15, 170:16, 170:21, 172:18, 173:8, 173:18, 174:6, 174:7, 174:8, 175:11, 176:6, 176:20,

215:10 seated [3] - 144:12,

158:14, 178:3 seats [1] - 26:16

Second [4] - 23:6, 56:1, 56:4, 60:16

second [9] - 4:1, 18:22, 20:2, 20:4, 28:18, 38:17, 44:24, 169:24, 175:4 seconds [27] - 17:3,

17:24, 17:25, 18:10, 18:11, 18:13, 18:18,

18:19, 19:24, 20:1, 20:8, 20:21, 20:22, 21:21, 21:24, 35:13, 36:6, 37:7,

38:4, 38:15, 38:18, 99:1, 99:13, 99:19, 99:20,

Seconds [1] - 36:7 Section [2] - 49:20,

100:17

50:2 secure [1] - 147:8

security [2] - 29:7,

see [56] - 2:13, 9:12, 13:5, 14:20, 20:25,

21:10, 30:18, 30:25, 32:14, 34:10, 35:18, 37:6, 45:10, 45:14, 51:12, 54:5, 92:10, 93:14, 94:1, 96:7, 97:22, 98:19, 103:5, 107:16, 120:2, 132:10, 135:13, 139:14. 144:23. 159:19. 161:18, 164:25, 170:10, 173:3, 173:9, 175:5, 176:25, 177:3, 182:8, 183:19, 186:12, 194:5, 200:13, 204:20, 208:17, 211:3, 214:23, 215:7, 217:18, 218:21, 225:9, 227:24, 228:23, 229:9 See [2] - 61:9, 171:21 seek [2] - 211:11, 220:8 seem [4] - 62:16, 97:12, 138:13, 158:3 seemingly [2] - 54:19, 59:5

sees [1] - 132:12 seized [2] - 44:9, 104:18

selective [1] - 128:14 self [5] - 71:16, 71:17, 73:11, 93:23, 133:7

self-incrimination [1] -133:7 self-preservation [2] -

71:16, 73:11 self-protection [1] -93:23

selling [1] - 64:8 semi [1] - 165:7 semi-automatic [1] -165:7

send [6] - 120:8, 214:18, 214:20, 214:23, 223:21, 225:5

sense [14] - 35:16, 45:22, 54:23, 54:25, 56:17, 58:22, 58:24, 59:6, 64:13, 66:23, 100:12, 162:5

sensitive [1] - 167:10 sent [4] - 45:18, 200:18, 217:16, 227:16

sentence [2] - 152:11,

sentenced [1] - 80:10 separate [4] - 61:9, 82:1, 127:24, 217:15

September [3] - 107:2, 136:19, 224:10

sequence [2] - 123:12, 123:14

sequestration [1] -200:2

series [4] - 23:20, 39:3, 98:24, 146:24 serious [1] - 142:6 serve [1] - 51:2 served [1] - 118:19 serves [2] - 98:4, 102.22 service [4] - 9:5, 22:16, 153:17, 160:13 services [3] - 148:11,

149:5, 149:7 serving [2] - 95:14, 206:8

session [12] - 2:19, 2:24, 2:25, 6:5, 6:11, 10:6, 14:5, 50:15, 50:23, 51:4, 51:16, 214:7 set [5] - 77:24, 120:5,

137:3, 175:19, 184:22 **sets** [2] - 20:6, 109:4 seven [11] - 32:8, 32:10, 32:19, 32:20, 33:2,

34:16, 46:16, 129:13, 148:5, 148:17, 151:13 Seven [2] - 84:22, 147:23

several [15] - 4:9, 46:7, 70:6, 133:11, 145:21, 147:3, 148:5, 148:8, 153:5, 153:18, 154:10, 203:1, 210:24, 217:1 severance [4] - 101:1. 101:3. 106:6. 132:23

Shakedown [33] -58:12. 58:13. 58:15. 60:2, 60:4, 60:12, 60:15, 60:21, 60:22, 60:24, 61:25, 62:7, 74:4, 76:24, 77:15, 77:23, 78:2, 78:4, 78:7, 79:3, 79:9, 79:11, 79:25, 80:4, 81:12, 81:13, 81:16, 87:25, 88:1, 130:19

share [1] - 63:5 shared [3] - 57:11, 60:2,

sharing [1] - 57:18 **SHAWN** [1] - 1:8 Shawn [9] - 49:16, 49:18, 79:2, 144:21, 145:8. 147:12. 149:3. 150:11, 156:16 Sheehy [1] - 158:24 sheet [6] - 47:13, 68:4,

120:22 **Shelly** [12] - 27:22, 31:14, 32:8, 179:1,

120:3, 120:14, 120:15,

179:13, 180:16, 182:4, 183:23, 183:24, 185:5,

198:21, 199:1 SHELLY [1] - 1:8 Shelton [6] - 15:21, 49:23, 49:24, 53:12, 53:13, 193:11 **SHELTON** [1] - 1:7 shifting [1] - 41:16 shirt [2] - 145:3 Shock [4] - 2:11, 8:6, 8:19. 9:14 shock [2] - 150:19 shocked [2] - 150:14, 150:18 shocking [3] - 96:20, 96:21, 171:20 shook [1] - 171:1 **shoot** [1] - 168:20 **shooter** [3] - 85:4, 85:7, 85:15 **shooting** [1] - 126:14 shootings [1] - 126:6 shoots [5] - 168:7, 169:12, 169:21, 172:20, 174:6 **shop** [2] - 7:3, 159:4 Shop [1] - 159:1 **shopping** [1] - 179:13 shops [1] - 108:16 short [7] - 13:12, 92:15, 126:24, 129:11, 135:9, 136:18, 199:19 shorter [1] - 222:6 Shortly [1] - 22:11 shortly [6] - 4:24, 5:4, 11:7, 15:1, 15:5, 133:2 shot [4] - 32:20, 70:5, 168:20, 170:15 **show** [28] - 20:20, 20:21, 21:6, 21:16, 23:13, 32:8, 34:6, 34:7, 34:8, 34:12, 37:23, 37:24, 38:5, 60:18, 65:8, 66:4, 71:8, 71:19, 90:22, 96:9, 97:7, 165:22, 170:8, 171:20, 202:11, 219:5, 219:6 **Show** [1] - 149:14 showed [7] - 28:24, 32:22, 33:2, 35:21, 99:11, 201:3, 202:22 showing [4] - 31:10, 159:13, 197:15, 212:3 **shown** [7] - 46:24, 48:5, 61:22, 61:24, 100:16, 104:21, 220:2 shows [8] - 18:10, 21:7, 36:3, 99:17, 100:20, 122:18, 204:12 Shreveport [1] - 6:9 shut [12] - 161:21,

163:3, 163:17, 170:2, 170:3, 172:18, 172:19, 173:24, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15 shuts [1] - 169:20 shutting [3] - 163:11, 169:1, 174:11 sic [1] - 82:7 side [9] - 3:21, 110:13, 167:7, 167:24, 168:19, 168:25, 169:13, 174:9, 175:1 sides [3] - 142:17, 142:23, 143:23 sign [4] - 224:19, 224:20, 224:23, 225:5 signature [4] - 196:22, 225:1, 225:6, 231:11 signed [2] - 91:17, 136:19 significant [3] - 51:11, 92:6, 216:25 signs [1] - 129:17 silent [2] - 133:10, 134:15 similar [6] - 165:6, 165:11, 165:17, 165:19, 165:21, 216:24 **similarly** [1] - 14:13 simple [2] - 59:13, 109:24 simply [22] - 45:20, 47:17. 60:8. 60:21. 64:16, 68:23, 69:19, 75:18, 77:8, 77:16, 79:12, 90:25, 93:3, 105:25, 113:16, 132:15, 149:7, 162:3, 177:10, 212:3, 221:23, 222:19 single [4] - 53:19, 56:15, 93:4, 125:2 sit [4] - 135:20, 139:3, 168:19, 205:13 site [18] - 30:4, 30:6, 30:9, 30:11, 30:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:2, 31:4, 39:11, 39:20, 39:24, 40:2, 40:9, 166:5, 166:6, 221:15, 221:20 sitting [1] - 10:20 situation [6] - 4:2, 4:3, 5:14, 86:6, 154:12, 207:2 **situations** [2] - 152:3, Six [8] - 90:13, 122:23, 127:9, 127:14, 129:4, 129:7, 213:23, 213:24 six [4] - 148:18, 181:14, 229:11, 229:12

skip [1] - 53:7

sleep [2] - 70:6, 185:25 sleeping [1] - 104:7 slender [1] - 86:16 slight [2] - 75:12, 167:13 slightly [2] - 75:7, 88:20 slip [2] - 47:8, 91:17 slot[1] - 163:23 Slow [1] - 87:15 small [2] - 55:14, 214.11 soda [1] - 183:9 sole [3] - 128:15, 129:3, 129.8 solely [1] - 133:20 someone [8] - 25:25, 144:21, 148:22, 149:1, 152:4, 154:13, 198:7, 226:13 sometime [7] - 94:14, 117:1, 119:16, 134:14, 143:4, 183:13, 186:6 **Sometime** [1] - 181:9 Somewhat [1] - 147:13 somewhat [4] - 3:6, 57:12, 91:14, 187:19 soon [2] - 210:13, 229:8 sorry [33] - 8:18, 16:20, 19:6, 41:16, 61:5, 65:17, 71:25, 87:5, 87:16, 89:10, 99:2, 101:12, 103:22, 124:4, 137:7, 155:21, 156:7, 159:24, 160:1, 179:19, 187:10, 191:2, 191:9, 196:4, 202:1, 202:16, 203:24, 208:18, 213:7, 215:10, 218:6, 223:3, 224:6 Sorry [4] - 37:12, 146:8, 158:22, 229:10 sort [17] - 42:4, 44:6, 58:2, 66:8, 72:16, 86:6, 93:18, 118:11, 119:12, 134:24, 136:13, 149:9, 160:22, 167:7, 171:1, 215:4, 225:6 sorts [1] - 110:20 **sound** [3] - 151:22, 189:9. 216:24 sounded [4] - 101:20, 138:14, 157:14, 165:17 sounds [11] - 6:6, 9:16, 9:25, 10:13, 13:5, 70:23, 157:5, 207:20, 227:3, 227:12 source [3] - 123:11, 224:2, 224:3 south [1] - 190:3 South [3] - 220:18,

220:19, 220:20

Document 695

southwest [5] - 107:22, 108:21, 108:25, 117:7, 190:1 sovereignty [2] - 210:6, 216:15 span [2] - 54:3, 55:6 spanned [1] - 56:13 spans [2] - 61:8, 80:20 spasm [2] - 80:12, 80:15 speaking [3] - 100:21, 159:25, 205:18 special [5] - 70:14, 75:14. 75:25. 121:9. 155:1 **Special** [1] - 48:5 specific [11] - 141:6, 141:7, 141:9, 141:12, 141:23, 142:2, 143:2, 143:3, 152:11, 152:21 specifically [4] - 104:6, 117:25, 203:6, 203:21 **specifics** [1] - 75:15 SPECTATOR [1] -115:25 speculate [2] - 123:25, 216:5 speculation [3] - 90:23, 92:19, 100:2 **speculative** [1] - 91:13 spell [4] - 144:13, 158:15, 178:4, 178:7 Spence [28] - 4:21, 24:13, 47:6, 56:19, 56:23, 57:1, 80:15, 82:25, 83:15, 83:20, 84:13, 94:5, 111:20, 118:1, 119:6, 119:11, 119:12, 121:16, 123:17, 125:19, 125:24, 125:25, 126:12, 131:17, 142:8, 201:14, 203:4 Spence's [1] - 125:2 spent [1] - 111:21 split [2] - 169:24, 175:4 spoken [5] - 68:5, 112:13, 155:22, 155:24, 155:25 **Sports** [1] - 41:8 **spouse** [1] - 51:11 Sprint [1] - 30:12 **squarely** [1] - 119:4 squashed [1] - 219:14 ST-1 [2] - 45:4, 45:5 stage [2] - 133:1, 224:15 stand [13] - 47:9, 89:19, 91:16, 95:10, 95:22, 133:9, 133:14, 133:20,

140:14, 144:8, 163:12,

209:12, 209:14 standard [6] - 76:10, 84:8, 114:5, 126:22, 127:13. 129:21 standing [3] - 89:23, 108:24, 119:14 standpoint [1] - 109:6 start [8] - 10:17, 13:17, 58:6, 70:13, 165:9, 178:21, 178:22, 181:25 started [7] - 43:8, 43:22, 74:3, 91:24, 116:20, 183:21, 194:12 Starting [1] - 208:5 starting [2] - 64:13, 224:11 starts [1] - 70:3 state [36] - 46:11, 84:14, 90:25, 118:13, 136:22, 137:11, 137:13, 173:17, 196:13, 200:24, 201:4, 201:20, 202:13, 203:6, 204:13, 205:18, 205:19, 205:25, 206:8, 208:14, 209:1, 210:5, 210:23, 211:10, 211:15, 211:18, 215:24, 216:11, 216:13, 216:17, 216:18, 216:23, 217:2, 217:7, 226:5 State [12] - 48:12, 144:13, 145:25, 154:25, 158:15, 178:4, 178:7, 206:5, 211:10, 215:22, 217:3, 217:6 State's [1] - 136:21 state's [1] - 210:22 statement [28] - 65:21, 78:22, 84:1, 84:5, 84:6, 86:7, 89:24, 95:11, 101:6, 102:9, 102:18, 102:22, 102:23, 103:14, 103:19, 116:3, 118:13, 123:20, 128:24, 139:23, 140:1. 140:5. 141:1. 141:4, 195:17, 213:14, 213:16, 224:24 **statements** [7] - 83:4, 86:24, 106:10, 136:25, 137:4, 137:11, 139:24 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 **States** [3] - 49:16, 49:22, 164:11 station [1] - 26:11 statute [2] - 84:21, stay [1] - 174:24 stayed [4] - 91:25, 182:1, 184:2, 184:3

steering [3] - 171:9,

171:10, 172:15 stenographer [1] -180:8 stenographically [1] -231:4 step [2] - 14:17, 162:5 stepdaughter [5] - 8:17, 8:18, 8:19, 8:25, 14:14 steps [4] - 185:4, 185:5, 185:9, 185:12 stick [1] - 163:24 sticker [1] - 136:15 sticking [1] - 167:6 still [28] - 2:16, 3:10, 4:1, 6:14, 36:21, 37:17, 38:9, 39:10, 45:13, 48:22, 71:7, 97:8, 103:24, 113:14, 126:24, 128:17, 145:13, 155:14, 155:16, 162:1, 170:1, 172:9, 172:12, 208:20, 208:23, 221:14, 227:14 **stipulate** [8] - 44:8, 44:13, 138:19, 204:7, 205:4, 208:20, 221:19, 221:24 stipulated [3] - 49:17, 49:23, 159:9 **stipulation** [7] - 44:4, 45:3, 49:22, 106:15, 203:14, 204:3, 223:1 stipulations [1] - 49:5 Stocksdale [1] - 136:21 stole [1] - 223:12 stop [2] - 103:23, 104:3 stopped [4] - 22:8, 43:5, 167:22, 181:23 store [5] - 130:21, 181:23, 183:3, 183:6 stored [1] - 130:25 story [1] - 136:18 stove [1] - 123:24 straight [1] - 184:20 Street [1] - 1:25 street [6] - 108:13, 108:15, 109:25, 110:5, 110:16, 157:18 stress [1] - 210:11 stretch [1] - 72:10 stricken [2] - 104:17, 104:22 strictly [1] - 131:15 strike [2] - 71:15, 155:13 striped [1] - 145:3 strong [3] - 11:1, 84:19, 114.6 **structure** [21] - 57:12, 57:13, 57:17, 58:2, 59:2, 59:15, 59:24, 62:1,

62:19, 62:25, 66:3, 66:9, 70:25, 74:11, 74:19, 75:1, 81:14, 131:3, 131:4, 131:8 structured [1] - 132:6 structures [1] - 111:18 stuck [1] - 127:7 stuff [7] - 14:3, 80:8, 80:20, 113:16, 172:21, 173:18, 194:21 sub [1] - 77:25 subject [2] - 46:3, 50:8 **submission** [1] - 107:9 submit [7] - 76:4, 92:2. 92:16, 92:18, 92:23, 97:7, 119:21 submits [2] - 70:15, 119:25 submitted [5] - 46:5, 120:5, 121:8, 121:19 **submitting** [1] - 196:11 subparagraphs [1] -94:3 subpoena [2] - 28:10, 192:6 subpoenaed [5] - 31:2, 187:11, 191:23, 193:4, 205:22 subscribed [1] - 22:25 subsequent [1] - 100:5 substance [2] - 91:23, 97:6 substances [4] - 44:11, 44:14, 44:15, 44:23 **substantial** [7] - 68:15, 68:17, 73:13, 73:17, 74:24, 90:21, 157:5 substantially [6] -68:11, 68:12, 74:18, 92:25, 94:10, 207:20 substantive [9] - 57:6, 83:6, 83:11, 86:9, 86:25, 90:6, 90:19, 94:20, 128:12 successful [1] - 113:2 succession [1] - 34:17 suffered [1] - 121:13 suffice [1] - 225:9 sufficiency [3] - 84:6, 84:7, 86:11 sufficient [6] - 9:25, 69:5, 84:4, 86:12, 120:1, sufficiently [1] - 132:6 suggest [4] - 72:18, 79:13, 213:10 **suggesting** [2] - 62:2, 127:21 suggests [2] - 99:21, 131:20

sui [1] - 94:6 Sullivan [1] - 219:12 summarized [1] - 44:15 summary [8] - 25:16, 25:19, 29:15, 32:5, 32:25, 44:7, 44:22, 164:16 **Sunday** [1] - 105:19 Sundays [1] - 148:19 Supermax [3] - 224:22, 224:25, 225:1 Superseding [1] - 88:4 superseding [4] -53:15, 63:18, 94:3, 95:1 Suplussage [1] - 82:7 supplement [1] - 55:21 supplemental [1] -120:6 supplied [5] - 108:8, 108:23, 109:1, 109:18, 109:20 suppliers [6] - 55:5, 55:20, 67:6, 67:7, 109:7, 109:15 **supply** [4] - 55:24, 108:4, 109:8, 113:12 supplying [2] - 109:2, 113.6 **support** [2] - 119:22, 121:17 **supports** [1] - 81:17 suppose [3] - 14:12, 221:25, 224:20 supposed [2] - 32:9, Supposing [6] - 167:22, 168:17, 169:18, 170:13, 170:14, 174:5 **supposing** [2] - 168:5, **supposition** [1] - 64:13 surety [1] - 147:4 surmised [1] - 26:24 **Surplusage** [1] - 82:8 surplusage [1] - 82:11 surplusages [1] - 82:13 surprise [1] - 140:22 surprised [5] - 3:12, 3:13, 7:2, 12:6, 88:18 surrounding [1] - 180:3 surveillance [2] - 125:3, 155:5 survives [2] - 131:10, 131:11 suspect [2] - 12:5, 12:8 Sustained [2] - 173:15, 190:16 sustained [2] - 40:10,

211:24

sweet [1] - 81:2

switch [23] - 4:4, 159:20, 160:17, 161:13, 163:14, 166:19, 166:21, 167:6, 168:14, 168:18, 168:21, 169:10, 170:14. 171:2. 171:9. 171:10. 172:14, 174:10, 175:1, 176:1 **sworn** [4] - 143:1, 196:11, 196:16, 197:2 **SWORN** [4] - 15:10, 144:10, 158:12, 178:1 synonymous [8] -57:20, 58:21, 59:13, 60:17, 74:15, 76:17, 77:18, 77:20 system [6] - 49:13, 133:6, 153:8, 165:8, 216:10, 217:12 systems [3] - 160:7, 165:6, 165:11 Т

tail [1] - 103:17

220:22

talkie [1] - 220:20

talkies [2] - 155:9,

talks [2] - 86:6, 127:25

tangentially [1] - 77:10

Tape [3] - 196:6, 213:2, 213:19 tape [21] - 114:7, 114:9, 114:16, 114:18, 116:3, 127:6, 143:7, 196:2, 197:3, 197:4, 209:11, 210:2, 210:14, 211:13, 212:14, 212:16, 212:18, 213:14, 213:20, 213:22 taped [1] - 195:17 tapes [2] - 29:7, 122:24 target [1] - 113:3 tax [3] - 153:2, 153:12, 153:21 taxes [1] - 153:6 tease [1] - 68:14 technical [1] - 21:18 technically [1] - 207:19 technician [1] - 159:6 technicians [1] - 159:4 telephone [17] - 20:8, 30:10, 32:10, 33:8, 33:10, 41:7, 42:13, 46:12, 46:19, 91:4, 92:10, 92:15, 96:8, 96:16, 97:5, 128:20 ten [6] - 93:4, 145:5, 209:6, 209:16, 225:18, 226:7

tentative [1] - 91:9 term [9] - 49:19, 50:1, 54:1, 55:6, 60:17, 61:20, 152:16, 152:18, 152:19 termed [1] - 54:24 terminate [1] - 145:15 terminated [1] - 22:17 terms [12] - 40:9, 79:9, 90:20, 118:15, 134:24, 135:7, 141:17, 153:20, 159:15, 181:20, 218:24, 221:16 terribly [2] - 63:12, 69:2 territory [2] - 72:3, 73:15 test [1] - 210:11 testified [34] - 22:8, 29:11, 31:24, 33:24, 41:5, 42:7, 42:12, 43:1, 44:17, 45:8, 54:6, 78:11, 78:12, 86:23, 115:7, 124:19, 124:22, 126:7, 126:20, 136:13, 142:5, 177:9, 192:8, 192:9, 194:2, 194:22, 195:4, 200:5, 200:6, 202:18, 205:25, 206:18, 209:1, 219:13 testify [39] - 102:24, 133:4, 133:5, 133:9, 133:14, 133:24, 134:1, 134:2, 134:3, 134:6, 134:11, 134:15, 136:22, 137:17, 137:18, 139:11, 166:4, 191:4, 192:5, 192:6, 200:17, 200:19, 203:9, 205:1, 205:22, 206:1, 206:3, 206:20, 206:22, 206:25, 207:4, 207:13, 219:16, 219:22, 220:4, 220:21, 222:2, 225.15 testifying [12] - 25:16,

testifying [12] - 25:16, 26:9, 29:22, 30:3, 32:4, 39:18, 56:25, 133:22, 137:1, 159:16, 207:8, 207:11

Testifying [1] - 133:22 testimony [46] - 29:8, 30:5, 32:25, 33:1, 45:7, 45:20, 45:23, 45:24, 46:2, 48:6, 48:24, 53:5, 67:8, 81:7, 86:8, 95:18, 97:11, 99:3, 102:12, 103:4, 103:11, 103:12, 103:22, 104:11, 109:3, 11:11, 114:20, 123:11, 123:22, 123:23, 124:1, 134:8, 137:10, 137:13, 172:6, 198:13, 201:5,

204:11, 206:2, 207:22, 208:14, 210:4, 215:6, 216:2, 227:10 tests [1] - 146:24 TFO [2] - 15:10, 230:4 Thanksgiving [4] -11:2, 52:3, 52:4, 52:10 **THE** [473] - 1:1, 1:2, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 3:19, 3:21, 3:24, 4:2, 4:5, 4:8, 4:11, 4:13, 4:18, 5:10, 5:16, 5:18, 5:22, 5:24, 6:3, 6:6, 6:10, 6:17, 6:20, 6:23, 6:25, 7:2, 7:7, 7:12, 7:15, 7:19, 7:25, 8:3, 8:8, 8:10, 8:15, 8:18, 8:21, 8:24, 9:4, 9:7, 9:10, 9:14, 10:13, 11:6, 11:19, 11:25, 12:3, 12:18, 12:25, 13:4, 13:8, 13:11, 13:14, 13:19, 14:1, 15:7, 15:8, 20:18, 24:24, 25:2, 32:17, 37:10, 37:21, 38:23, 39:17, 40:1, 40:10, 44:2, 44:3, 45:1, 45:5, 45:16, 45:22, 46:1, 46:9, 46:14, 46:18, 46:22, 47:2, 48:2, 48:10, 49:2, 49:11, 49:15, 50:4, 50:6, 50:8, 52:25, 53:6, 53:9, 53:11, 58:18, 60:8, 60:19, 60:25, 61:6, 61:9, 61:15, 61:19, 62:2, 62:14, 62:21, 63:2, 63:7, 63:18, 63:23, 64:2, 64:6, 64:18, 64:22, 65:1, 65:5, 65:7, 65:16, 65:21, 66:12, 66:16, 66:18, 66:20, 67:1, 67:7, 67:21, 68:6, 68:9, 68:18, 69:1, 69:7, 69:9, 69:14, 69:21, 69:24, 70:14, 70:19, 70:22, 71:24, 72:1, 73:8, 73:23, 74:9, 74:16, 75:2, 75:4, 75:6, 77:4, 77:24, 78:4, 78:14, 79:4, 79:7, 79:23, 82:8, 82:13, 84:17, 85:1, 85:7, 85:16, 85:23, 86:1, 87:4, 87:15, 88:7, 88:13, 88:16, 89:10, 89:13, 89:14, 89:18, 90:2, 95:4, 95:12, 95:16, 95:20, 96:1, 96:12, 96:17, 96:20, 96:25, 97:16, 97:22, 98:3, 98:14, 98:16, 99:4, 99:6, 99:9, 99:14, 99:19, 99:21, 99:24, 100:11, 100:23, 101:12, 101:16, 101:22, 101:25, 102:5,

102:8, 102:18, 102:21, 103:1, 103:7, 103:18, 103:24, 104:4, 104:9, 105:2, 105:4, 105:9, 105:20, 105:22, 106:4, 106:8, 106:14, 106:17, 106:23, 107:8, 107:24, 108:6. 109:22. 112:8. 112:11, 112:16, 113:18, 113:23, 114:3, 114:11, 115:12, 115:15, 115:18, 116:1, 117:22, 120:2, 120:8, 120:12, 120:17, 120:21, 121:3, 123:12, 124:4, 124:8, 124:10, 124:13, 124:16, 124:21, 124:25, 125:4, 125:9, 125:16, 125:21, 126:2, 126:8, 126:14, 127:16, 127:21, 127:25, 128:4, 128:22, 130:7, 130:9, 134:21, 135:1, 135:18, 135:23, 136:2, 136:4, 136:8, 136:17, 137:7, 137:16, 137:18, 137:22, 137:25, 138:4, 138:11, 138:16, 138:19, 138:22, 138:24, 139:5, 139:8, 139:14, 139:16, 144:4, 144:8, 144:11, 144:12, 144:14, 145:17, 146:14, 146:17, 149:12, 149:14, 149:17, 150:18, 150:19, 150:20, 150:21, 150:22, 151:3, 151:7, 157:11, 157:25, 158:7, 158:9, 158:13, 158:14, 158:16, 159:11, 159:24, 160:1, 166:1, 166:3, 167:5, 170:9, 171:5, 171:7, 171:25, 172:10, 173:7, 173:15, 173:17, 177:16, 177:20, 177:23, 177:25, 178:2, 178:3, 178:5, 178:6, 178:9, 179:20, 179:24, 179:25, 180:6, 180:7, 188:11, 190:16, 191:2, 197:7, 197:9, 199:4, 199:6, 199:7, 199:15, 199:23, 199:25, 200:3, 200:6, 200:9, 201:1, 201:7, 201:9, 201:15, 201:22, 201:24, 202:4, 202:8, 202:16, 202:20, 202:23, 203:4, 203:9, 203:22, 203:25, 204:5, 204:16, 204:20, 204:23, 204:25, 205:3, 205:12, 205:14, 205:17, 205:21, 206:11, 206:14, 206:20, 206:22, 206:24,

207:3, 207:6, 207:9, 207:12, 207:15, 207:18, 208:2, 208:8, 208:12, 208:17, 208:22, 208:24, 209:7, 209:9, 209:12, 209:15, 209:20, 209:24, 210:8, 210:13, 210:16, 210:19, 211:3, 211:7, 211:14, 211:21, 211:24, 212:10, 212:22, 212:25, 213:5, 213:9, 213:12, 213:16, 213:18, 213:20, 213:22, 214:1, 215:10, 215:14, 217:22, 218:1, 218:6, 218:8, 218:11, 218:15, 218:17, 218:21, 219:1, 219:3, 219:6, 219:8, 219:11, 219:18, 219:22, 219:24, 220:10, 220:16, 220:25, 221:4, 221:7, 221:11, 221:13, 221:17, 222:3, 223:3, 223:10, 223:16, 223:18, 223:20, 223:23, 224:3, 224:6, 224:15, 224:19, 225:5, 225:10, 225:13, 225:16, 225:23, 226:3, 226:9, 226:14, 226:21, 227:2, 227:9, 228:10, 228:14, 228:20, 228:23, 229:1, 229:5, 229:13 The9203 [1] - 16:19 theater [13] - 29:20, 32:9, 32:11, 115:2, 129:15, 183:14, 184:9, 184:12, 195:1, 197:11, 197:12, 197:14 theaters [2] - 128:21, 129:16 themselves [7] - 11:10, 54:19, 64:4, 71:21, 74:13, 131:7, 162:20 Theoretically [1] - 38:7 theoretically [1] - 38:11 theories [1] - 71:21 theory [10] - 78:21, 93:22, 93:24, 119:22, 123:6, 127:17, 128:14, 129:3, 129:8, 129:10 thereabouts [1] - 108:3 thereafter [3] - 10:2, 91:24, 99:1 Therefore [2] - 83:12, 137:4 therefore [3] - 17:2, 35:20, 83:11 they've [13] - 2:22, 53:20, 53:22, 58:11,

61:24, 71:20, 74:25,

121:12, 141:10, 141:11,

219:20 They've [1] - 200:6 think's [1] - 221:9 thinking [4] - 2:14, 4:20, 75:22, 83:17 thinks [4] - 117:17, 169:9, 204:14, 222:8 third [2] - 34:25, 38:18 Thomas [1] - 1:20 thorough [1] - 75:17 threat [1] - 71:15 threats [1] - 72:5 three [42] - 12:4, 12:6, 20:1, 26:18, 33:14, 33:17, 52:9, 56:22, 58:8, 65:18, 65:23, 69:8, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 77:25, 80:7, 81:7, 112:1, 114:14, 117:5, 123:1, 123:6, 123:19, 124:6, 124:10, 124:20, 125:14, 132:2, 137:12, 143:8, 145:21, 156:8, 187:12, 218:3, 228:4, 228:5, 228:6, 228:12 Three [12] - 8:4, 8:5, 8:7, 13:21, 14:13, 14:18, 45:21, 45:25, 77:25, 214:17, 228:10 threw [1] - 143:16 throughout [1] - 109:19 throw [6] - 68:23, 76:6, 79:19. 79:22. 82:20. 128:17 thrown [2] - 170:22, 172:3 thugs [2] - 112:14 Thursday [10] - 1:11, 10:22, 10:23, 10:24, 12:11, 12:15, 13:3, 51:22, 228:17, 229:2 thwart [2] - 173:21 ticket [1] - 115:2 tickets [11] - 195:5, 195:14, 196:9, 197:2, 197:13, 197:19, 197:22, 197:25, 198:5, 198:9, 198.22 tie [9] - 77:23, 77:24, 87:25, 104:17, 106:19, 120:22, 122:25, 128:18, 129:25 tied [4] - 83:19, 104:20, 128:22 ties [2] - 107:5, 123:9 tight [8] - 69:4, 107:15, 109:19, 112:6, 135:17, 135:19, 136:7, 190:10 Tillett [1] - 57:23 timing [1] - 17:2

title [1] - 158:25 today [34] - 2:15, 3:16, 5:8, 5:11, 7:25, 10:5, 11:5, 27:4, 67:23, 89:25, 95:8, 95:22, 133:2, 135:7, 136:4, 136:5, 142:18, 153:25, 159:16, 175:9, 176:25, 182:8, 193:17, 194:3, 198:13, 199:16, 209:6, 209:19, 210:6, 215:15, 221:7, 221:8, 221:23, 225:20 today's [1] - 200:4 together [27] - 54:21, 54:23, 58:4, 59:12, 64:17, 66:3, 66:5, 66:7, 66:10, 67:8, 74:21, 89:12, 94:17, 106:20, 107:5, 109:10, 110:7, 111:25, 119:15, 122:19, 174:11, 179:14, 190:14, 217:8, 217:16, 227:20, 227:24 toll [16] - 19:18, 20:7, 20:20, 21:3, 21:7, 30:18, 30:24, 31:2, 32:2, 32:19, 35:8, 36:2, 42:8, 97:17, 116:15, 128:20 tolls [26] - 17:20, 18:6, 18:8, 18:14, 19:14, 19:19, 19:20, 19:21, 20:6, 20:14, 21:22, 22:16, 23:3, 23:7, 23:13, 23:14, 24:5, 24:18, 28:24, 30:16, 32:14, 33:2, 35:12, 35:21, 37:22, 37:23 tomorrow [5] - 105:18, 209:23, 210:12, 214:7, 214:8 tonight [1] - 139:3 Tonya [7] - 24:13, 83:19, 111:20, 125:2, 125:19, 125:24, 125:25 took [14] - 20:6, 38:13, 88:24, 108:4, 125:2, 142:9, 142:10, 146:21, 180:16, 181:1, 181:5, 186:9, 186:10, 195:17 tool [1] - 30:7 top [6] - 21:12, 27:25, 106:5, 119:25, 173:1, 173.8 totality [3] - 25:23, 37:22, 132:17 totally [2] - 60:11, 123:1 touch [6] - 34:18, 176:6, 176:22, 191:5, 220:18 touched [1] - 170:14

tough [2] - 112:22,

155:12

137:3

85:6

55:3

undertake [1] - 216:11

undetermined [1] - 97:6

undisputed [2] - 85:4,

unequivocally [1] - 3:4

unfortunate [1] - 8:12

unindicted [2] - 54:3,

unit [5] - 55:14, 59:22,

62:25, 119:15, 119:18

UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:5

University [1] - 145:25

United [3] - 49:16,

49:22, 164:11

unfortunately [2] -

unique [1] - 165:5

93:25, 165:18

undo [1] - 163:2

undertakings [1] -

223:15 toward [4] - 121:10, 144:13, 158:14, 178:3 tower [3] - 20:25, 21:3, 21:14 town [3] - 14:7, 110:9, 135.13 track [2] - 124:2, 158:3 traditional [3] - 58:23, 59:7, 59:10 trail [1] - 115:3 training [1] - 159:5 transaction [1] - 169:9 transactions [1] - 56:4 transcribed [1] - 231:8 transcript [20] - 50:10, 143:9, 203:10, 203:15, 203:19, 204:10, 204:15, 206:2, 207:22, 209:11, 210:24, 211:5, 211:12, 212:6, 212:13, 212:17, 213:11, 214:1, 226:19, 231:8 transcripts [5] - 46:5, 104:1, 143:10, 212:7, 226:5 Trauma [4] - 2:12, 8:6, 8:19, 9:15 trauma [1] - 14:14 travelers [1] - 65:24 **Travis** [1] - 110:3 tree [1] - 170:18 **trial** [30] - 33:13, 33:16, 33:18, 63:21, 80:17, 82:18, 86:8, 90:25, 101:2, 102:2, 102:6, 115:22, 139:21, 140:19, 141:9, 200:10, 200:24, 202:13, 202:18, 203:6, 204:10, 204:11, 204:13, 214:6, 215:8, 215:16, 215:23, 216:3, 217:5, 224:10 trials [3] - 136:22, 137:1, 137:11 tried [10] - 31:1, 36:22, 58:12, 77:14, 79:16, 85:25, 91:6, 102:11, 110:7 trigger [1] - 137:15 triple [1] - 129:20 Trooper [1] - 103:22 trouble [3] - 115:1, 115:17, 116:3 troubled [2] - 84:19 true [9] - 60:8, 60:11, 60:21, 72:19, 94:9, 168:16, 202:2, 224:14, 228:12 Trust [1] - 13:13

trust [1] - 132:9 trustworthy [1] - 148:13 truthful [3] - 206:7, 208:14, 209:2 truthfulness [2] - 137:2, 208:16 try [19] - 16:11, 17:2, 52:5, 56:9, 58:10, 58:21, 78:23, 120:16, 125:7, 130:3, 138:5, 138:11, 141:3, 172:16, 174:16, 179:22, 209:22, 225:9 trying [10] - 29:10, 30:23, 51:3, 77:17, 84:14, 108:13, 110:4, 169:25, 222:13, 227:20 Trying [1] - 158:3 Tuesday [47] - 3:3, 3:7, 3:10, 4:17, 5:12, 5:14, 5:25, 7:5, 10:9, 10:10, 10:14, 10:15, 10:16, 11:2, 11:11, 11:12, 50:15, 50:21, 50:23, 51:1, 51:4, 51:6, 51:13, 51:17, 51:18, 51:21, 51:22, 52:2, 52:13, 52:18, 52:20, 89:12, 133:2, 214:12, 214:13, 218:5, 218:7, 218:9, 218:11, 226:12, 227:8, 227:9, 227:10, 227:13, 227:15, 227:24, 227:25 turn [1] - 129:18 turned [1] - 2:3 **Turning** [1] - 40:12 turns [1] - 83:18 Twelve [1] - 68:2 twice [2] - 45:8, 143:8 two [87] - 3:13, 3:18, 3:25, 4:16, 4:21, 10:9, 10:10, 16:13, 18:20, 18:22, 18:23, 19:11, 20:1, 20:6, 20:23, 26:16, 27:1, 27:21, 29:2, 33:6, 34:21, 36:16, 36:18, 36:21, 36:23, 36:24, 37:1, 37:16, 38:9, 39:5, 39:23, 44:11, 45:7, 45:9, 45:19, 46:10, 46:16, 46:19, 54:18, 54:25, 58:4, 60:6, 61:1, 61:24, 65:19, 67:11, 76:25, 80:7, 80:13, 80:17, 86:20, 90:10, 94:22, 94:24, 96:3, 100:16, 100:17, 107:13, 111:4, 111:21, 114:15, 118:6, 123:7, 123:21, 125:19, 126:5, 126:19, 127:23, 137:1, 141:12, 142:7,

142:11, 160:25, 167:23, 169:22, 174:6, 188:3, 188:4, 188:13, 190:14, 191:13, 198:20, 210:25, 219:16, 227:7 Two [16] - 3:19, 11:17, 45:21, 45:24, 56:4. 91:25, 147:21, 164:16, 181:12, 183:20, 184:14, 184:16, 184:22, 188:17, 194:1, 197:15 **type** [8] - 58:4, 59:21, 59:22, 74:11, 74:19, 74:25, 155:22, 159:25 types [1] - 159:2 typically [3] - 160:22, 226:1, 226:21

U

U.S [3] - 1:24, 57:23,

unknown [1] - 61:16 136:24 unless [3] - 137:10, ultimately [1] - 133:24 221:24, 221:25 Um-hum [6] - 152:8, unlike [1] - 55:8 163:15, 171:22, 180:4, unlikely [5] - 164:5, 180:23, 189:24 170:19, 171:8, 172:3, **Um-um** [1] - 192:2 172:12 umbrella [4] - 56:6, unlock [7] - 161:15, 56:12, 58:16, 59:6 162:3, 166:22, 167:11, unambiguously [1] -167:14, 174:15, 175:4 127:14 unlocked [1] - 162:17 unanimity [2] - 120:13, unlocking [1] - 173:23 120:19 unlocks [3] - 169:5, unarmed [4] - 202:11, 169:18, 172:17 202:12, 202:17, 203:2 unquote [1] - 62:11 unavailable [1] - 208:23 unrelated [9] - 54:19, unbroken [5] - 66:17, 56:6, 56:23, 59:5, 60:6, 66:18, 66:20, 66:23, 67:2 60:11, 65:19, 67:18, uncertainty [1] - 7:10 71:13 unclear [1] - 106:20 unusual [7] - 19:18, uncommon [4] - 26:5, 27:4, 27:9, 36:24, 91:14, 129:16, 156:9, 156:10 103:5, 180:24 uncouples [1] - 83:12 unusually [1] - 103:3 Under [4] - 59:3, **Up** [1] - 36:18 117:10, 216:10, 216:15 up [107] - 7:21, 8:1, under [25] - 15:6, 27:22, 9:11, 12:4, 12:11, 12:22, 33:5, 59:3, 59:4, 59:5, 13:3, 13:6, 18:21, 20:20, 59:9, 63:18, 83:5, 84:1, 22:25, 23:3, 23:18, 24:4, 88:2, 88:22, 94:3, 25:10, 30:17, 32:14, 103:10, 117:15, 128:13, 33:4, 33:21, 37:6, 38:4, 129:21, 132:17, 204:9, 39:3, 43:1, 47:7, 54:14, 205:9, 208:25, 214:24, 56:22, 65:24, 67:8, 216:13, 216:18 67:12, 67:18, 69:20, underlying [4] - 97:17, 72:9, 80:3, 80:9, 86:16, 216:12, 216:13, 216:18 86:21, 93:6, 93:9, 93:13, **undermine** [1] - 63:9 100:4, 100:6, 100:17, understood 131 - 58:25. 104:12. 104:18. 104:21. 95:23, 200:23 106:16, 107:13, 108:8, Understood [1] -109:4, 110:19, 115:21,

117:25, 122:25, 126:16, 132:16, 134:17, 135:20, 138:15, 140:14, 140:25, 148:7, 154:13, 158:10, 159:18, 160:8, 160:23, 160:25, 161:4, 163:20, 166:5, 167:6, 171:1, 172:4. 173:8. 173:19. 175:10, 176:6, 179:20, 179:22, 181:22, 182:17, 182:18, 184:22, 185:8, 185:9, 185:10, 186:2, 186:12, 186:21, 190:22, 190:24, 191:7, 191:11, 191:13, 192:12, 192:14, 203:17, 205:8, 208:5, 218:23, 220:14, 224:22, 225:19, 227:25, 228:24 upcoming [1] - 136:22 upshot [1] - 222:16 upstairs [1] - 185:15 urge [1] - 132:17 **USA**[1] - 231:4 usage [1] - 28:24 **USC** [2] - 49:20, 50:2

V

V-17 [2] - 149:16, 149:18 vague [3] - 29:8, 63:12, 87:22 value [1] - 84:23 variance [6] - 68:17, 76:11, 76:15, 79:18, 82:19 variation [1] - 20:23 variations [1] - 175:20 varies [2] - 20:5, 212:16 variety [3] - 80:18, 122:20, 216:20 various [1] - 110:19 vary [1] - 20:8 varying [1] - 20:9 vast [1] - 31:24 vehicle [22] - 26:17, 159:25, 160:19, 161:9, 161:10, 161:11, 161:22, 161:25, 162:10, 162:17, 162:19, 162:21, 163:4, 163:12, 164:17, 165:9, 165:10, 175:23, 176:21, 177:3, 177:9 vengeance [1] - 71:12 verdict [10] - 11:2, 68:4, 120:3, 120:14, 120:15, 120:22, 122:2, 141:21, 143:22, 217:5 verifying [1] - 225:6

version [5] - 99:10, 99:11, 106:19, 120:9, 227:18 versus [2] - 49:16, 49.23 Vice [1] - 130:15 victim [1] - 150:8 view [2] - 132:18, 204:9 viewed [1] - 112:21 views [3] - 93:7, 115:24, violations [1] - 215:22 violence [4] - 56:11, 72:5. 132:11 violent [4] - 55:23, 80:12, 80:15, 110:25 virtually [3] - 23:23, 41:16, 92:7 Virtually [1] - 41:25 virtue [1] - 164:4 visit [1] - 215:5 **VOA** [3] - 95:24, 96:17, 97:11 voice [39] - 15:14, 15:15, 19:13, 32:22, 33:4, 34:4, 34:7, 34:11, 35:5, 35:16, 35:22, 36:1, 91:10, 98:2, 98:15, 98:18, 99:3, 99:18, 100:13, 101:7, 101:20, 114:7, 114:9, 114:16, 114:18, 116:4, 116:8, 116:18, 116:21, 122:24, 127:6, 128:1, 128:3, 179:20, 179:22, 196:10, 212:14 voices [3] - 101:6, 101:20, 102:9 voir [1] - 199:19 volume [1] - 34:5 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:11 Volunteers [4] - 31:15, 31:20, 96:13, 96:15

W

W-33 [1] - 213:1 W-34 [1] - 46:25 W-37 [1] - 46:25 W-37B [1] - 47:11 W-41 [1] - 47:13 W-48 [1] - 47:17 W-59 [1] - 47:19 W-5K [1] - 105:6 W-67 [1] - 47:20 W-68 [2] - 47:23, 47:25 wagon [2] - 26:10, 26:11 wait [6] - 2:13, 11:13,

53:5, 53:6, 113:23, 158:7 Wait [4] - 201:7 waited [1] - 197:24 waiting [5] - 4:1, 6:13, 88:24, 178:13, 181:24 waived [1] - 140:15 walk [5] - 161:17, 162:4, 185:1, 185:2, 185:3 Walk [1] - 124:17 walkie [3] - 155:9, 220:20, 220:22 walkie-talkie [1] -220:20 walkie-talkies [2] -155:9, 220:22 Walter [2] - 145:12, 156:1 **Walter's** [1] - 156:4 wants [7] - 34:17, 48:1, 58:21, 138:4, 140:17, 221:18, 228:17 warning [1] - 129:17 warnings [1] - 129:17 warranted [1] - 93:19 Washington [1] - 51:2 watched [1] - 198:3 watching [1] - 110:2 Watson [1] - 104:21 **WAYNE** [1] - 1:8 Wayne [23] - 27:22, 31:14, 32:8, 109:23, 114:9, 116:6, 116:10, 116:11, 179:1, 179:6, 179:13, 179:14, 182:6, 183:24, 185:5, 187:18, 187:24, 189:25, 190:10, 192:12, 194:6, 198:21, 199:1 Wayne's [2] - 114:6, ways [5] - 86:22, 94:16, 109:12, 132:13, 222:11 weak [2] - 112:15, 112:21 weapon [7] - 85:10, 123:17, 124:14, 125:9, 125:23, 126:12 weapons [3] - 122:25, 125:10, 126:6 Weaze [2] - 16:7, 91:11 web [9] - 39:11, 39:20, 39:24, 40:2, 40:9, 166:5, 166:6, 221:15, 221:19 Wednesday [15] - 3:1, 7:13, 10:18, 10:20, 11:23, 12:1, 12:8, 12:14, 12:19. 13:1. 51:22. 228:1, 228:15, 228:22, 229:3

week [31] - 2:24, 3:1,

4:10, 4:21, 5:9, 39:12, 39:19, 42:12, 42:21, 50:23, 51:23, 52:1, 52:13, 52:18, 52:20, 80:12, 80:16, 80:17, 89:12, 134:14, 138:3, 141:16, 143:4, 148:17, 148:18. 214:14. 215:18. 215:19, 217:11, 220:18, 221:16 weekend [8] - 120:16, 203:20, 215:1, 215:7, 217:18, 220:11, 224:23, 227:20 weeks [8] - 111:20, 139:20, 140:12, 141:9, 142:1, 190:18, 210:24 weight [2] - 37:2, 83:11 Weissman [1] - 70:4 welcome [1] - 186:25 Welcome [1] - 199:6 West [2] - 1:25, 178:15 whatsoever [4] - 6:15, 35:22, 42:8, 72:15 wheel [4] - 55:10, 171:10, 172:15 Whereas [1] - 19:13 whereas [2] - 20:21, 43:21 Whereof [1] - 231:10 whichever [1] - 105:15 white [4] - 145:3, 182:19. 182:23 whole [10] - 12:23, 13:3, 23:20, 102:23, 109:19, 111:14, 139:12, 184:3, 207:2, 225:21 wholesalers [10] -55:12, 55:13, 108:10, 108:12, 108:16, 109:9, 110:1, 110:17, 110:18 Willard [2] - 94:12 Willie [6] - 74:3, 74:7, 148:22, 148:25, 193:8, 231:4 WILLIE [1] - 1:7 willing [2] - 206:15, win [2] - 129:6, 129:7 window [1] - 160:17 Winters [1] - 108:5 wish [10] - 8:24, 9:2, 133:3, 200:2, 200:17, 201:9, 201:11, 214:20, 214:23 wishes [4] - 2:7, 7:23, 214:20, 214:24 withdraw [2] - 97:3, 105:7

withdrawal [1] - 97:1

withdrawn [1] - 105:9 withholding [2] - 153:2, 153.6 Witness [1] - 231:10 WITNESS [23] - 15:7, 15:10, 38:23, 44:3, 144:10, 144:11, 144:14, 150:19, 150:21, 158:12, 158:13, 158:16, 178:1, 178:2, 178:5, 178:9, 179:25, 180:7, 199:6, 230:4, 230:7, 230:9, 230:11 witness [37] - 5:3, 20:18, 25:16, 25:19, 32:5, 32:16, 37:9, 39:16, 46:2, 135:12, 135:15, 136:11, 137:6, 144:5, 144:8, 149:11, 159:11, 177:21, 199:8, 199:16, 200:12, 200:14, 205:22, 209:9, 209:17, 209:18, 209:20, 209:22, 215:25, 219:25, 220:1, 220:4, 220:21, 222:1, 222:9, 225:1, 226:2 witness' [2] - 171:6, 210:4 witnesses [27] - 3:16, 5:11, 5:12, 5:20, 9:21, 9:22, 9:25, 10:5, 10:9, 10:10, 63:16, 71:21, 86:22, 88:23, 89:25, 114:6, 126:20, 135:3, 135:5, 140:6, 140:8, 142:4, 200:1, 200:3, 200:4, 218:4, 225:21 woke [1] - 186:2 woman [1] - 193:2 wonder [2] - 39:9, 39:14 Wooden's [1] - 103:22 woods [9] - 123:15, 123:16, 124:14, 125:18, 125:23, 125:25, 157:8, 157:15, 157:18 word [7] - 14:9, 37:24, 82:11, 84:19, 114:8, 116:5, 121:1 Word [4] - 120:9, 227:18 **words** [5] - 51:15, 68:18, 69:1, 168:12, 194:15 works [2] - 33:17, 217:23 world [3] - 9:2, 112:14, worried [1] - 73:22 worst [2] - 72:15, 122:5

worth [1] - 218:4 wound [2] - 173:8, 175:10 writ [1] - 2:16 writing [5] - 105:18, 106:3, 220:8, 220:10, written [5] - 48:15, 53:1, 82:24, 90:6, 153:19 Wyche [65] - 15:15, 15:18, 15:21, 16:9, 16:10, 16:15, 16:22, 17:12, 17:18, 22:11, 26:5, 27:12, 29:3, 30:21, 31:7, 31:11, 35:1, 36:19, 36:22, 37:16, 38:8, 38:14, 38:17, 38:19, 40:16, 41:6, 41:25, 47:21, 54:6, 90:14, 90:18, 96:3, 98:11, 102:16, 109:1, 109:2, 109:17, 109:18, 112:2, 112:8, 112:21, 112:24, 113:6, 113:11, 113:12, 114:1, 114:18, 114:21, 116:25. 117:6. 117:9. 122:9. 123:7. 123:10. 123:14, 124:14, 125:22, 125:24, 127:1, 128:16, 129:9, 142:8, 219:14 Wyche's [2] - 18:24, 21.20 Wyches [5] - 41:3, 41:13, 42:9, 43:23, 112:8 Wyches' [1] - 91:5

X

XXV [1] - 1:11 **XXXVII** [1] - 1:11

Y

yank [1] - 139:2 **year** [11] - 49:20, 50:1, 68:13, 68:17, 93:1, 121:20, 148:5, 151:24, 151:25, 177:6, 179:15 years [27] - 33:14, 33:17, 68:2, 68:24, 68:25, 69:8, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 70:12, 80:20, 82:15, 93:4, 98:4, 145:5, 145:21, 147:1, 147:11, 148:5, 148:6, 151:13, 151:15, 187:12, 189:8, 191:5, 191:13, 210:25 yesterday [3] - 14:11,

19:21, 137:21 yesterday's [1] - 14:5 York [3] - 54:1, 67:8, 144:20 young [1] - 115:20 yourself [1] - 183:10 yourselves [3] - 9:23, 50:17, 143:16

Z

Zajac [7] - 1:24, 82:14, 88:25, 103:25, 229:5, 231:3, 231:15 zero [5] - 81:5, 84:25, 122:15, 122:21 **Zero** [1] - 84:25 zoom [2] - 42:20, 42:23